# Nevada State Performance Plan Annual Performance Report

#### Carson City School District Performance Indicator Data – 2022-2023 (May 2024)

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the period of the SPP. States are required to report publicly on the performance of local education agencies (LEAs) for SPP indicators 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14. The table below shows how this LEA performed on specific indicators and whether or not the LEA met the state's annual targets for those indicators as defined in the Nevada State Performance Plan. A link to the Nevada State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report can be found at <a href="https://sites.ed.gov/idea/spp-apr-letters?selected-category=sppapr-part-b&selected-year=&state=Nevada">https://sites.ed.gov/idea/spp-apr-letters?selected-category=sppapr-part-b&selected-year=&state=Nevada</a>.

Unless otherwise noted, an LEA percentage at or <u>above</u> the state target meets the state target.

#### INDICATOR 1—EXITING DUE TO GRADUATION

Indicator	Indicator			Indicator [	Description		
Number	Name						
1	Exit due to graduating with regular diploma	school diplom	a (this indicato	r is required to	ucation due to g be reported usin pecial education	ng one-year lag	
Reporting Yea	nr <sup>1</sup>	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		72.72%	74.00%	75.00%	76.00%	77.00%	78.00%
State Result		72.72%	65.66%	54.97%			
LEA Result		72.73%	86.49%	82.35%			
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes			

#### INDICATOR 2—EXITING DUE TO DROPPING OUT

Indicator Number	Indicator Name		Percent of youth with IEPs who exited special education due to dropping out—LEA percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target (this indicator is required to be reported using one-year lag data). (NA=No youth with IEPs ages 14-21 exiting special education)								
2	Exit due to dropping out	percentage at									
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		15.85%	15.00%	14.00%	13.00%	12.00%	11.00%				
State Result		15.85%	25.89%	32.63%							
LEA Result		20.00%	20.00% * *								
LEA Met Targ	et?	No	No Yes Yes								

<sup>\*</sup> In compliance with FERPA, data are not reported for groups totaling fewer than 10 students. Groups include # students earning regular high school diplomas (Indicator 1), # students dropping out of school (Indicator 2), # students proficient on statewide examinations (Indicators 3B, 3C), # IEP students ages 5 enrolled in kindergarten and 6-21 (Indicator 5), # IEP students ages 3, 4, and 5 enrolled in preschool (Indicator 6), # IEP students exiting preschool programs (Indicator 7), # parent survey respondents (Indicator 8), and # IEP students who were no longer enrolled in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school (Indicator 14).

Page 1 May 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Reporting Year refers to the year that the Annual Performance Report was submitted to the U.S. Department of Education. The data reported are from the previous school year, except for Indicators 1, 2 and 4, when there is a one-year lag and data are reported for the year prior to the previous school year. For example, for the 2024 Reporting Year, data for all indicators are from the 2022-2023 school year, except for Indicators 1, 2, and 4, where data are from the 2021-2022 school year.

# **INDICATOR 3A—READING PARTICIPATION**

Indicator	Indicator		Indicator Description								
Number	Name										
	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Participation	rates of studen	ts with IEPs in r	egular and alte	rnate statewide	•				
3A	READING	assessments.	(NA-No studen	its with IEPs in §	grade level)						
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%				
State Result		73.16%	96.26%	97.74%							
LEA Result		95.83%	100.00%	100.00%							
LEA Met Targ	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes							
	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade										
3A	READING										
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%				
State Result		57.32%	92.94%	93.50%							
LEA Result		93.83%	100.00%	94.83%							
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	Yes	No							
	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade										
3A	READING										
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%				
State Result		88.56%	91.51%	83.51%							
LEA Result		93.75%	97.26%	97.26%							
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	Yes	Yes							

# **INDICATOR 3A—MATHEMATICS PARTICIPATION**

Indicator	Indicator			Indicator [	Description					
Number	Name			illulcator L	escription .					
Number		Dautiain atian	Dayticination rates of students with IEDs in regular and alternate statewide							
	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	Participation rates of students with IEPs in regular and alternate statewide assessments. (NA-No students with IEPs in grade level)								
3A	MATHEMATICS	assessments.	(NA-NO Stude	nts with IEPS in	grade level)	ı	1			
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target		95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%			
State Result		73.04%	96.33%	97.72%						
LEA Result		95.44%	98.63%	100.00%						
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	Yes						
	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade									
3A	MATHEMATICS									
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target		95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%			
State Result		57.46%	93.07%	92.35%						
LEA Result		93.83%	100.00%	96.55%						
<b>LEA Met Targe</b>	et?	No	Yes	Yes						
	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade									
3A	MATHEMATICS									
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target	·	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%			
State Result		92.32%	96.90%	88.51%						
LEA Result		100.00%	98.63%	98.63%						
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes						

# INDICATOR 3B—READING PROFICIENCY, GRADE LEVEL STANDARDS

Indicator	Indicator			Indicator [	Description							
Number	Name		Proficiency rates of students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement									
	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	_		_	-		/ement					
3B	READING	standards. (N	IA-No students	with IEPs teste	d in grade level	)						
Reporting Yea	ır	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027					
State Target		18.00%	19.00%	20.00%	21.00%	22.00%	24.00%					
State Result		15.62%	17.27%	17.60%								
LEA Result		*	19.40%	17.19%								
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	No								
	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade											
3B	READING											
Reporting Yea	ır	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027					
State Target		9.00%	10.00%	11.00%	12.00%	13.00%	15.00%					
State Result		10.66%	9.42%	9.14%								
LEA Result		*	*	*								
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	No	No								
3B	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING											
Reporting Yea	ır	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027					
State Target		9.00%	10.00%	11.00%	12.00%	13.00%	15.00%					
State Result		7.79%	8.56%	10.00%								
LEA Result		*	15.87%	*								
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	No								

# INDICATOR 3B—MATHEMATICS PROFICIENCY, GRADE LEVEL STANDARDS

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator [	Description		
3B	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS		ntes of students	•	•		evement
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		17.00%	18.00%	19.00%	20.00%	21.00%	23.00%
State Result		11.64%	14.64%	17.29%			
LEA Result		17.91%	19.70%	25.00%			
LEA Met Targ	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3B	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS						
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		5.00%	6.00%	7.00%	8.00%	9.00%	11.00%
State Result		2.92%	3.80%	4.33%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	No	No			
3B	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS						
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		4.00%	5.00%	6.00%	7.00%	8.00%	10.00%
State Result		2.41%	2.71%	2.82%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	No	No			

# INDICATOR 3C—READING PROFICIENCY, ALTERNATE STANDARDS

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator [	Description		
3C	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING			_	nst alternate aca d in grade level		ment
Reporting Yea	r	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		16.00%	17.00%	18.00%	19.00%	20.00%	22.00%
State Result		13.29%	11.48%	10.34%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
<b>3</b> C	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING						
Reporting Yea	r	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		1.00%	2.00%	3.00%	4.00%	5.00%	7.00%
State Result		0.00%	0.00%	0.34%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	No	No			
<b>3</b> C	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING						
Reporting Yea	r	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		1.00%	2.00%	3.00%	4.00%	5.00%	7.00%
State Result		1.10%	0.26%	0.32%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	No	No			

# INDICATOR 3C—MATHEMATICS PROFICIENCY, ALTERNATE STANDARDS

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator I	Description		
3C	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS			•	inst alternate a ed in grade lev		rement
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		18.00%	19.00%	20.00%	21.00%	22.00%	24.00%
State Result		17.44%	22.37%	21.20%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targ	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3C	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS						
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		2.00%	3.00%	4.00%	5.00%	6.00%	8.00%
State Result		2.75%	2.00%	2.04%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	No	No			
3C	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS						
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		16.00%	17.00%	18.00%	19.00%	20.00%	22.00%
State Result		12.09%	19.48%	12.86%			
LEA Result		*	*	*			
LEA Met Targ	get?	No	Yes	No			

# INDICATOR 3D—READING PROFICIENCY GAP

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator [	Description				
3D	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING	Gap in proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards. LEA gap percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target. (NA-No students with IEPs tested in grade level)							
Reporting Yea	ir	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		24.50%	24.50%	24.50%	24.00%	23.00%	22.00%		
State Result		24.69%	25.42%	24.15%					
LEA Result		27.28%	22.07%	22.71%					
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	Yes					
3D	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING								
Reporting Yea	ir	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		33.50%	33.50%	33.50%	33.00%	32.00%	31.00%		
State Result		33.64%	33.46%	30.28%					
LEA Result		29.68%	46.08%	24.93%					
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	No	Yes					
3D	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade READING								
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		38.50%	38.50%	38.50%	38.00%	37.00%	36.00%		
State Result		38.92%	36.64%	35.92%					
LEA Result		43.14%	25.61%	28.27%					
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	Yes					

# INDICATOR 3D—MATHEMATICS PROFICIENCY GAP

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator I	Description					
3D	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade MATHEMATICS		Gap in proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards. LEA gap percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meet state target.							
Reporting Ye	ear	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target	•	16.50%	16.50%	16.50%	16.00%	15.00%	14.00%			
State Result		16.55%	20.24%	20.33%						
LEA Result		19.06%	22.31%	15.11%						
LEA Met Tar	get?	No	No	Yes						
	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade									
3D	MATHEMATICS									
Reporting Ye	ear	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target	•	18.50%	18.50%	18.50%	18.00%	17.00%	16.00%			
State Result		18.50%	18.43%	18.52%						
LEA Result		17.30%	23.52%	18.35%						
LEA Met Tar	get?	Yes	No	Yes						
	_									
	11 <sup>th</sup> Grade									
3D	MATHEMATICS									
Reporting Ye	ear	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
State Target	•	19.50%	19.50%	19.50%	19.00%	18.00%	17.00%			
State Result		19.96%	17.78%	16.92%						
LEA Result		19.23%	14.34%	18.19%						
LEA Met Tar	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes						

# INDICATOR 4—SIGNIFICANT DISCREPANCY IN RATES OF SUSPENSIONS/EXPULSIONS

Indicator Number	Indicator Name			Indicator [	Description						
4A	Significant discrepancy in suspensions/ expulsions	and expulsion when compa meets state t	Percent of LEAs that have a significant discrepancy (SD) in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year, when compared to statewide average. LEA percentage at or <a href="below">below</a> state target meets state target (this indicator is required to be reported using one-year lag data). (NA=LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for significant discrepancy calculations)								
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
State Result		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%							
LEA Result		NA	NA	NA							
LEA Met Targ	et?	NA	NA	NA							
	T	T -									
4В	Significant discrepancy in suspensions/ expulsions by race or ethnicity	and expulsion than 10 days procedures o comply with	Percent of LEAs that have a significant discrepancy (SD) in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities, by race or ethnicity (R/E), for greater than 10 days in a school year, when compared to statewide average, <u>and</u> policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with IDEA requirements (this indicator is required to be reported using one-year lag data). (NA=LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for significant discrepancy								
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
State Result		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%							
LEA Result	·	NA	NA	NA							
LEA Met Targ	et?	NA	NA	NA							

# INDICATOR 5—5K, 6-21 PLACEMENT IN LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT

Indicator	Indicator		Indicator Description								
Number	Name										
	Inside	Percent of chi	ldren with IEPs	aged 5 who are	e enrolled in kin	dergarten and	aged 6				
5A	regular class	through 21 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.									
	80% or more										
	of day										
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		63.60%	65.00%	67.00%	69.00%	71.00%	73.00%				
State Result		63.60%	62.15%	60.90%							
LEA Result		79.73%	83.41%	79.87%							
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes							
	Inside			-	e enrolled in kin	-	-				
5B	regular class	_		-	ss than 40% of t	the day. LEA po	ercentage at				
	less than	or <u>below</u> state	e target meets	state target.							
	40% of day										
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		14.01%	13.50%	13.00%	12.00%	11.00%	10.00%				
State Result		14.01%	15.70%	17.09%							
LEA Result		6.24%	5.82%	5.68%							
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes							
	Separate			-	e enrolled in kin	-	-				
5C	schools,	_	-		lential facilities,		l/hospital				
	residential,	placements.	LEA percentage	at or <u>below</u> sta	ate target meets	s state target.					
	homebound/										
	hospital										
Reporting Yea	nr	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027				
State Target		1.39%         1.36%         1.36%         1.36%         1.36%									
State Result		1.39%	1.25%	1.19%							
LEA Result		0.54%	0.68%	0.67%							

# INDICATOR 6—3, 4 & 5 (Preschool) – PLACEMENT IN LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT

Indicator	Indicator			Indicator [	Description			
Number	Name							
	Regular	Percent of chi	ildren with IEPs	aged 3, 4, and	aged 5 who are	enrolled in a p	reschool	
6A	early	program atte	program attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program. (NA=No					
	childhood	-						
	program	children with	IEPs in age rang	ge)				
Reporting Yea	nr	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target		40.29%	40.26%	41.29%	42.29%	43.29%	44.29%	
State Result		40.29%	37.12%	36.54%				
LEA Result		32.20%	26.67%	40.00%				
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	No	No				
	Separate				aged 5 who are	•		
6B	class,		program attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential					
	separate		facility. LEA percentage at or <u>below</u> state target meets state target. (NA=No children					
	school,	with IEPs in a	ge range)					
	residential							
Reporting Yea	nr	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target		49.19%	49.19%	48.19%	47.19%	46.19%	45.19%	
State Result		49.19%	51.04%	52.01%				
LEA Result		45.76%	44.00%	32.00%				
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes				
	Home			•	aged 5 who are	-		
6C					ated services in			
		at or <u>below</u> st	ate target mee	ts state target.	(NA=No childre	en with IEPs in a	age range)	
Donouting Vos	 	2022	2022	2024	2025	2026	2027	
Reporting Yea	II	_	2023	2024				
State Target		0.65%	0.65%	0.65%	0.65%	0.65%	0.64%	
State Result		0.65%	0.57%	0.70%				
LEA Result		6.78%	0.00%	0.00%				
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	Yes				

# INDICATOR 7A—POSITIVE SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL SKILLS

Indicator	Indicator Name			Indicator [	Description			
Number		F	Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)					
	OUTCOME A	Of those child	Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in					
7A.1	Positive social-		Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the					
	emotional skills	_	time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. (NA=no students fit					
		measuremen	t parameter)		T			
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target		68.71% 68.71% 71.71% 73.63% 76.09% 7				78.56%		
State Result		68.71%	71.31%	67.58%				
<b>LEA Result</b>		95.00%	95.24%	100.00%				
<b>LEA Met Targ</b>	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes				
	OUTCOME A	The percent of	of children who	o were function	ning within age	expectations	in Outcome	
7A.2	Positive social-	A by the time	they turned 6	years of age o	r exited the pr	ogram. (NA=n	o students fit	
	emotional skills	measuremen	t parameter)					
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target		42.56%	42.56%	46.20%	49.84%	53.48%	57.14%	
State Result		42.56%	50.57%	49.04%				
LEA Result		34.88% 44.68% 41.18%						
LEA Met Targ	et?	No	Yes	No				

# INDICATOR 7B—ACQUISITION AND USE OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS

Indicator Number	Indicator Name	Acquisition a	Indicator Description Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)						
7B.1	OUTCOME B Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	Outcome B, t time they tur	Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. (NA=no students fit measurement parameter)						
Reporting Y	ear	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Targe	t	70.74%	70.74%	72.32%	73.90%	75.48%	77.07%		
State Result		70.74%	72.96%	68.17%					
<b>LEA Result</b>		89.47%	95.24%	96.55%					
LEA Met Tar	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes					
<b>7B.2</b>	OUTCOME B Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome E by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. (NA=no students fit measurement parameter)							
Reporting Y	Reporting Year		2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target							2027		
State Targe	t	45.41%	45.41%	47.59%	49.77%	51.95%	54.15%		
State Target State Result		<b>45.41%</b> 45.41%	<b>45.41%</b> 46.03%	<b>47.59%</b> 45.88%	49.77%	51.95%			
					49.77%	51.95%			

# INDICATOR 7C—USE OF APPROPRIATE BEHAVIORS

Indicator	Indicator Name			Indicator E	Description			
Number			Use of ap	propriate beha	viors to meet tl	heir needs		
	OUTCOME C	Of those child	Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in					
7C.1	Use of		Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the					
	appropriate	-	•	age or exited t	he program. (	NA=no student	ts fit	
	behaviors	measuremen	t parameter)					
Reporting Yea	rting Year 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026				2027			
State Target		70.31%	70.31%	70.78%	71.25%	71.72%	72.22%	
State Result		70.31%	69.51%	68.05%				
<b>LEA Result</b>		91.67%	94.74%	100.00%				
<b>LEA Met Targ</b>	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes				
	OUTCOME C			o were function				
7C.2	Use of	•		ears of age or e	exited the prog	ram. (NA=no s	students fit	
	appropriate	measuremen	t parameter)					
	behaviors							
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target 41.71% 41.71% 46.36% 51.01%				51.01%	55.66%	60.33%		
State Result		41.71% 54.35% 55.04%						
LEA Result		60.47% 46.81% 73.53%						
LEA Met Targ	et?	Yes	Yes	Yes				

# **INDICATOR 8—PARENT INVOLVEMENT**

Indicator	Indicator	Indicator Description							
Number	Name								
8	Parent involvement	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. (NA=LEA was not surveyed because LEA was not selected for monitoring during relevant reporting year)							
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		78.00%	78.00%	78.00%	79.00%	79.00%	79.00%		
State Result		72.62%	72.24%	73.04%					
LEA Result		NA NA NA							
LEA Met Targ	et?	NA NA NA							

# INDICATOR 9—DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION (DR) OF RACIAL AND ETHNIC GROUPS IN SPECIAL EDUCATION IDENTIFICATION

Indicator Number	Indicator Name		Indicator Description						
9	DR of race/ethnic groups	groups in specidentification.	Percent of LEAs with disproportionate representation (DR) of racial and ethnic (R/E) groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. (NA=LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disproportionate representation calculations)						
Reporting Yea	ir	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
State Result		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
LEA Result		No DR by	No DR by	No DR by					
		R/E	R/E	R/E					
LEA Met Targe	et?	Yes Yes Yes							

# INDICATOR 10—DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION (DR) OF RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUPS IN SPECIAL EDUCATION IDENTIFICATION IN SPECIFIC DISABILITY CAGEGORIES

Indicator Number	Indicator Name	Indicator Description					
10	DR of race/ethnic groups in specific disability categories	Percent of LEAs with disproportionate representation (DR) of racial and ethnic (R/E) groups in specific disability categories (SDC) that is the result of inappropriate identification. (NA=LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disproportionate representation calculations)					
Reporting Y		2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target	<u>;</u>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
State Result		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
LEA Result	_	No DR by	No DR by	No DR by			
		R/E in SDC	R/E in SDC	R/E in SDC			
LEA Met Tar	get?	Yes	Yes	Yes			

#### **INDICATOR 11—INITIAL EVALUATION TIMELINE**

Indicator Number	Indicator Name	Indicator Description					
11	Initial evaluation timeline	Percent of children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 45 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation. (NA=LEA was not selected for monitoring during relevant reporting year)					
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
State Result		96.55%	100.00%	100.00%			
LEA Result		NA NA NA					
LEA Met Targe	et?	NA NA NA					

# INDICATOR 12—IEP BY THIRD BIRTHDAY FOR CHILDREN TRANSITIONING FROM PART C TO PART B

Indicator Number	Indicator Name		Indicator Description					
12	DR of race/ethnic groups in specific disability categories	and who have	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. (NA= LEA was not selected for monitoring during relevant reporting year)					
Reporting Yea	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
State Target		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
State Result		96.16% 55.56% 78.57%						
LEA Result		NA NA NA						
LEA Met Targ	et?	NA NA NA						

# **INDICATOR 13—SECONDARY TRANSITION IEP COMPONENTS**

Indicator Number	Indicator Name		Indicator Description				
13	Secondary transition IEP components	measurable p appropriate to will reasonabl goals related that the stude discussed and that is likely to appropriate, p with the prior	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services, including, if appropriate, pre-employment transition services, was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority. (NA=LEA was not selected for monitoring during relevant reporting year)				
Reporting Ye	ar	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
State Target	·	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
State Result		88.71% 45.45% 27.78%					
LEA Result		NA NA NA					
LEA Met Targ	et?	NA NA NA					

# **INDICATOR 14—POST-SECONDARY OUTCOMES**

Indicator	Indicator			Indicator [	Description				
Number 14A	Name Enrolled in higher education	effect at the t	Percent of youth (who were no longer enrolled in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) who were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. (NA=No youth responded to survey)						
Reporting Yea	ır	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		27.00%	27.00%	30.00%	30.00%	33.00%	33.00%		
State Result		22.46%	19.90%	18.76%					
LEA Result		7.1%	*	*					
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	No					
14B	Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	the time they	left school) wh	no were enrolle	condary school ed in higher edu chool. (NA=No	cation or comp	etitively		
Reporting Yea	ır	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		63.00%	63.00%	66.00%	66.00%	70.00%	70.00%		
State Result		61.86%	61.21%	59.90%					
LEA Result		50.0%	*	*					
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	No	No					
14C	Enrolled in higher education or some other postsecondary education or training, or competitively employed or in some other employment	Percent of youth (who were no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) who were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program, or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school. (NA=No youth responded to survey)							
Reporting Yea	nr	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		
State Target		74.00%	76.00%	78.00%	78.00%	80.00%	80.00%		
State Result		71.19%	78.72%	72.45%					
LEA Result		53.6%	*	*					
LEA Met Targe	et?	No	Yes	Yes					

#### **Determination Under IDEA for 2022-2023**

In accordance with federal requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the Nevada Department of Education (NDE) is required to make an annual determination of each LEA's status in implementing the purposes and requirements of Part B of the IDEA. This annual determination is based in part upon a review of each LEA's data against the state targets established for performance and compliance indicators under the Nevada State Performance Plan. "Performance" indicators include Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4a, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 14. "Compliance" indicators include Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 as well as correction of noncompliance identified during the previous year reported under Indicators 11, 12, and 13.

### □ LEA "Meets Requirements" if:

- ☑ The LEA either met the target (100%) or demonstrated substantial compliance for Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 (a compliance percentage of 95-99%) in the current data reporting year.
- The LEA either met the target (100%) or demonstrated substantial compliance for the requirement to correct noncompliance within one year (95-99% of noncompliance identified in the previous data reporting year was corrected within one year) in the current data reporting year.
- The LEA provided valid and reliable (timely and accurate) child count and local plan data for the current data reporting year.
- The LEA had no unresolved audit findings, no uncorrected noncompliance, and no significant or persistent noncompliance concerns from other sources.

#### ☐ LEA "Needs Assistance" if:

- The LEA did not meet the target (100%) or demonstrate substantial compliance for Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 (a compliance percentage of 95-99%), but had compliance at a 50-94% rate.
- The LEA did not meet the target (100%) or demonstrate substantial compliance for the requirement to correct noncompliance within one year (95-99% of noncompliance identified in the previous data reporting year was corrected within one year), but had compliance at a 50-94% rate.
- The LEA did not provide valid and reliable (timely and accurate) child count and/or local plan data for the current data reporting year, but took necessary actions to collect and report valid and reliable (timely and accurate data) for the succeeding data reporting year.
- The LEA met the target(s) for at least one "performance" indicator in the current data reporting year.
- ☑ The LEA had no unresolved audit findings, no uncorrected noncompliance, and no significant or persistent noncompliance concerns from other sources.

If the Department determines for two consecutive years that the LEA needs assistance, the Department shall take one or more of the following enforcement actions:

- advise the LEA of available sources of technical assistance
- direct the use of LEA-level federal funds to area(s) in which the LEA needs assistance
- require participation in specified technical assistance activities
- require a review of data to ensure information is valid, reliable and submitted to the Department on a timely basis

#### ☐ LEA "Needs Intervention" if:

- The LEA <u>did not meet</u> the target (100%) or demonstrate substantial compliance for Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 (a compliance percentage of 95-99%), and the compliance percentage was very low (below 50%).
- The LEA did not meet the target (100%) or demonstrate substantial compliance for Indicator 15 (95-99% of noncompliance identified in the previous data reporting year was corrected within one year), and the compliance percentage was very low (below 50%).
- The LEA did not provide valid and reliable (timely and accurate) child count and local plan data for the current data reporting year, and took no actions to collect and report valid and reliable (timely and accurate data) for the succeeding data reporting year.
- The LEA may have had unresolved audit findings, uncorrected noncompliance, and/or significant or persistent noncompliance concerns from other sources.

If the Department determines for three consecutive years that the LEA needs intervention, the Department may take any of the actions described under "needs assistance" and shall take one or more of the following enforcement actions:

- require the LEA to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan, if the Department determines that the LEA should be able to correct the problem within one year
- require the LEA to enter into a compliance agreement, if the Department has reason to believe that the LEA cannot correct the problem within one year
- withhold federal funds; seek to recover funds; and/or withhold any further payments to the LEA

#### □ LEA "Needs Substantial Intervention" if:

The LEA's substantial failures to comply significantly with legal requirements affected the core requirements of the program (such as the delivery of services to children), or the LEA informed the state that it was unwilling to comply.

Such determination may occur for any LEA at any time. In such case, the LEA would be required to comply with a corrective action plan that may be developed by the LEA or the Department, at the discretion of the Department. Required activities may include any of those activities already identified in determinations under "Needs Assistance" or "Needs Intervention" and must include one or more of the following enforcement actions:

- withhold federal funds; seek to recover funds; and/or withhold any further payments to the LEA
- deny the LEA participation in discretionary grant programs until specific improvements are completed

THREE PRIOR YEARS STATUS FOR DETERMINATIONS:								
2023		□ Needs Assistance	☐ Needs Intervention					
2022		☐ Needs Assistance	☐ Needs Intervention					
2021	☐ Meets Requirements	Needs Assistance	☐ Needs Intervention					