

Notice of Funding Opportunity
Regional Professional Development Programs
Administrator Funds

Application Due Date: April 3, 2026

Issued By
The Nevada Department of Education
Office of Teaching and Learning

Funding Period:	July 1, 2026 - June 30, 2027
Funds Available:	\$100,000
Source of Funding:	State General Funds
Type of Grant:	Competitive
Submission:	Grant Submission Portal

Questions related to this funding should be addressed to:

Jennese Black | Emily Bleyle
Office of Teaching and Learning
jennese.black@doe.nv.gov | emily.bleyle@doe.nv.gov

Contents

PART I – APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES..... 3

 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND..... 3

Overview of Regional Professional Development Programs Administrator Funds..... 3

Eligible Applicants 3

Goals and Priorities 3

Funding Information 3

Participation and Evaluation 3

Technical Assistance and Support..... 4

Review Process 4

Proposed Timeline..... 5

Appeals Process 5

Whistleblower Protections 6

 OVERVIEW OF APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS 6

Applicant Information..... 6

Applicant Priorities..... 6

Grant Questions for Applicant Response for Regional Professional Development Programs Administrator Funds..... 6

Budget 6

Pre-Award Assessment (PAA) 6

Certification..... 7

Assurances 7

PART II – APPLICATION..... 8

Grant Questions for Applicant Response 8

PART III – APPENDICES 9

Appendix A: Scoring Rubrics 9

Appendix B: Pre-Award Assessment 12

Appendix C: Applicant Information Form..... 14

Appendix D: Statement of Certification 15

Appendix E: Pre-Award Assessment Rubric 16

Appendix F: Glossary 17

PART I – APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Overview of Regional Professional Development Programs Administrator Funds

Pursuant to [391A.125](#), this grant provides administrators with specialized professional development designed to strengthen the Nevada Educator Performance Framework (NEPF). In alignment with the Teachers and Leaders Council (TLC) standards and NRS 391.685–391.710, these funds support the dissemination of research-based leadership skills. The program is dedicated to developing and maintaining high-impact training, ongoing activities, and networking opportunities that foster effective educational leadership across the state.

Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants include educational organizations, professional development providers, and other entities (including non-profit organizations with applicable 501(c)(3) documentation) that are equipped to provide statewide professional learning and training to Nevada’s school administrators.

Goals and Priorities

The primary goal is to provide administrators with specialized professional development to strengthen the NEPF. By aligning with TLC standards and NRS 391.685–391.710, this funding ensures that school evaluations are consistent, rigorous, and designed to drive measurable school improvement. Priority in awarding grants will be given to proposals that align with the recommendations of the TLC and address the following critical areas of development:

- **Administrator Standard 4:** Creation and sustainability of structural systems, including curriculum, instruction, assessment, and resource allocation.
- **Administrator Standard 1 (Professional Responsibilities):** Management of human capital, including support for the ability of administrators to properly conduct evaluations.
- **Teacher Instructional Practice Standard 4:** Supporting metacognitive strategies so students understand what they are learning, how they are learning it, and how to assess their progress.

Funding Information

A total of \$100,000 is available in fiscal year 2026–2027 (FY27) to provide administrators with specialized professional development to strengthen the NEPF by aligning with TLC standards. The FY27 grant period ends June 30, 2027. **Please note that these funds expire when the June 30, 2027 award period ends.**

Proposals for use of the Administrative Training Funds may not exceed \$100,000. Proposals are encouraged to request only the funding amount necessary for the professional development program. These funds are for the purpose of providing additional training for educational administrators, including, without limitation, to pay for:

- Materials and training consumable items.
- Travel expenses of administrators who attend the training program.
- Travel and per diem expenses for any consultants contracted to provide additional training.
- Charges to obtain a conference room for the provision of the training.
- Funds must supplement, not replace, money the entity would otherwise expend for administrator training.

Participation and Evaluation

Participation Requirements

Subrecipients must fully implement the specialized professional development for administrators as described in their approved scope of work. Once approved, no changes may be made to the scope of work during the development of the subaward or subsequent revisions.

Reporting Requirements

All subrecipients must submit all data as requested by the Nevada Department of Education (NDE) for monitoring and reporting purposes. All subrecipients are required to submit requests for reimbursements (RFR) and final financial reports (FFRs) in alignment with the dates in the subaward agreement. Entities must also fully cooperate with any audit or examination of records regarding these funds.

Monitoring and Evaluation Requirements

Subrecipients are subject to both financial and programmatic monitoring on an annual or as-needed basis. All subrecipients of a grant award must maintain current programmatic and fiscal records and make them available for desktop or on-site monitoring visits, which will occur annually or on an as-needed basis.

Technical Assistance and Support

The NDE Office of Teaching and Learning is committed to supporting all eligible entities applying for this grant opportunity through technical assistance and support. The link for a one-hour technical assistance webinar opportunity identified below will be recorded and posted with all other information contained in this NOFO on NDE’s webpage for [Grant Information](#). For general questions about this competitive grant application process, please submit inquiries to all three contacts listed below for subsequent development of an FAQ document, to be published no later than March 19, 2026.

Technical Assistance Contacts

Name	Email
Program	jennese.black@doe.nv.gov or emily.bleyle@doe.nv.gov
Budget/Electronic Grants Management Program	grantsinfo@doe.nv.gov
Pre-Award Assessment Technical Assistance	sidcompliance@doe.nv.gov

Scheduled Technical Assistance:

Technical Assistance	Date and Time
Jennese Black and Emily Bleyle Virtual: Teams Meeting Dial in by phone +1 775-321-6111 United States, Reno Phone conference ID: 898 077 819#	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:30 AM- 10:30 AM

Review Process

Upon receipt of grant applications, the NDE Review Committee will review each application to ensure that all required materials have been submitted. If an application lacks any required documents, it will be removed from further consideration. Completed applications will be shared with the Review Committee for evaluation pursuant to NDE Policy and Procedure 10.2, Funding Opportunities, available upon request.

Scoring and/or Allocation Methodologies

The Review Committee will review and score the applications in accordance with the Scoring Rubric provided within this NOFO. Upon notice of award, applicants may request the scoring rubrics developed to determine allocation.

Applicant Prioritization

In nearly all competitive applications NDE has offered, applicants requests for funds have highly exceeded the

amount of funding available in the grant opportunity. To support allocation determinations, NDE requests that each applicant numerically prioritize each project/service in the Grant Budget Narrative. Should limited funding be available, NDE will make a good faith effort to accommodate the applicant’s highest-ranked priorities first. Further instructions are provided in the applicable sections below.

Proposed Timeline

The proposed timeline for the application period, review of applications, creation of the subaward agreement, and the funding period are available below. Notices of Award and Non-Award will be issued on NDE letterhead and will include required federal and state award identifiers, total allocation, funding period, reviewer summaries and total scores.

<i>Date</i>	<i>Activity</i>
March 16, 2026	Application Opens
March 19, 2026	Technical Assistance Webinar 9:30am – 10:30am
April 3, 2026	Application Closes
April 6, 2026 – April 17, 2026	Applications Reviewed/Scored by NDE Review Committee
April 27, 2026	Applications Presented to Statewide Coordinating Council
April 27, 2026 – May 1, 2026	Awardees Notified of Award or Non-Award through Notice of Award Letters
May 11, 2026	Funding Application Opens in EGMP
June 5, 2026	Funding Application Due in EGMP
June 8, 2026 – July 3, 2026	Development of Subaward Agreement
July 1, 2026 – June 30, 2027	Effective Funding Date/Beginning of Period of Performance
August 3, 2026	First RFR and/or Programmatic Report Due
June 30, 2027	All Funds Obligated or Expended, End of Funding Period
July 31, 2027	All funds to be liquidated
July 31, 2027	Final Financial Report Due

** Please note that dates are subject to change. NDE will post any changes on the NDE website.*

Appeals Process

Any applicant who applies for the award but does not receive funding is entitled to appeal the decision. All review materials and scoring rubrics are public records and may be requested at any time. An applicant, in prelude to or as part of their appeal process, may request the supporting documentation detailing their assessment scores and the assessment scores of the awarded applicants. Select grants may have specific requirements related to appeals; please review the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), found at 34 CFR, to ensure compliance with any grant-specific requirements.

Applicants have 10 business days from the date they receive notification to submit an appeal request. Once an appeal has been requested, all allocations must be paused until the appeal has been resolved. Upon receipt, the grant lead, in collaboration with their office director, has 5 business days to provide a response to the appeal to include justification and information related to the review process. The applicant may accept or refute the response; if they refute the response, review of the appeal is elevated to the Superintendent of Public Instruction, who has 10 business days to provide a determination. Please review Policy and Procedure 6.8, Complaint and Appeal Procedures for more information.

Whistleblower Protections

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.217, applicants, subrecipients, and employees must be informed of Whistleblower rights and protections under both 41 U.S.C 4712 and NRS 281.611-671. An employee must not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing to a person or body information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of gross mismanagement of a Federal contract or grant, a gross waste of Federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a Federal contract or grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a Federal contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or grant. You may report fraud, waste, and abuse via [the Nevada Fraud Hotline](#).

OVERVIEW OF APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Applicant Information

Please see Appendix C, *Applicant Information*. Applicant Information for the applying entity is required for identification and compliance purposes, including up-to-date contacts for the organization.

Applicant Priorities

Each applicant is required to clearly label the numeric and estimated budget (#1, #2, #3...) prioritization of each project/service in the Grant Budget Narrative. If multiple applications are submitted for different agency priorities, a numerical ranking of those applications must also be clearly labeled at the top of the Grant Questions for Applicant Response.

Grant Questions for Applicant Response for Regional Professional Development Programs Administrator Funds

The answers to each of the questions in the **Regional Professional Development Programs Administrator Funds** competitive grant application will be completed by the applicant. There is a 1-page limit for each of the questions. Each question's responses must be single-spaced, in Times New Roman, size 12-point font. Please ensure that all materials are submitted. Supporting documentation may include charts, graphs, evidence supporting effectiveness, or other documents supporting the services, projects, or activities submitted for consideration. Please ensure that all Documents are submitted

Please review the rubric's content and quality standards by which the grant questions will be evaluated and use these to help guide the application.

Budget

Applicants must use the FY27 Budget Summary and Narrative template; request the template by emailing jennese.black@doe.nv.gov or emily.bleyle@doe.nv.gov. The FY27 Budget Summary and Narrative template should be completed in accordance with the guidelines provided in the FY27 [Budget Preparation Guidelines & Chart of Accounts Supplemental Resource](#) document.

Please be sure to reference the instructions provided in the [FY27 Budget Preparation Guidelines & Chart of Accounts Supplemental Resource](#) document regarding what is required to be included in the narrative description, as well as to assist you in placing expenditures in the correct Object Code. All expenditures should be aligned to the implementation plan and should be reasonable, necessary, and allowable to support the implementation of the grant requirements and application.

Pre-Award Assessment (PAA)

The Pre-Award Assessment (PAA) (Appendix B) is the first assessment conducted as part of the financial risk-based assessment cycle. The PAA specifically determines whether a potential subrecipient or contractor is eligible to receive state or federal funds. The score is then used to determine financial subrecipient monitoring.

For competitive applications, the PAA score is used as a weighted factor during the evaluation and review of a potential awardee, as required by state regulation. A Subrecipient PAA score is effective for one fiscal year (e.g., if an application is for FY24, the PAA is effective for FY24; a new score will be calculated for FY25).

The PAA is in alignment with federal requirements under 2 CFR 200, state requirements as outlined within the State Administrative Manual and the Office of Federal Assistance Grant Policy Manual, and best practices as cited by the U.S. Department of Education. In addition, the PAA brings NDE into compliance with state and federal requirements to assess subrecipients prior to awarding funds.

The subrecipient PAA is completed once for each subrecipient across all awards, with only three questions asked specific to each grant. **If the applicant has previously completed the EGMP FYX27 Financial Subrecipient Monitoring Application Supplement for the Pre-Award Assessment, the applicant needs only to submit the attached grant-specific questionnaire as part of their application materials.** If the applicant has not previously completed this documentation, they must submit a complete Pre-Award Assessment packet and submit copies of all associated policies and ledgers.

Certification

Please see Appendix D, *Statement of Certification*; this form is required to complete the application. Program-specific certifications may also be added here.

Assurances

Please see the relevant assurances for this award. Please note that assurances, once signed, are a binding legal contract setting the terms and conditions of this grant award. A signed copy of the applicable standard assurances (Attachment A) must be submitted for consideration. Please read the assurances and their requirements thoroughly prior to submission.

PART II – APPLICATION

The application will be reviewed to ensure: (1) it is submitted by the deadline and (2) all required elements are included and complete. All submissions will be scored by a review committee pursuant to NDE Policy and Procedure 10.2, Funding Opportunities, and in alignment with the rubric designed for this application. Please note that once the application has been submitted, no changes may be made to the scope of work submitted and approved during the development of the subaward agreement or subsequent revisions. The project plan submitted is binding upon approval. Please submit all required application documents and forms to the [Grant Submission Portal](#).

All requested forms must be submitted for consideration, to include:

1. Grant Questions for Applicant Response
 - Responses must be single-spaced, Times New Roman, 12-point font, with a 1-page limit per question.
 - Scoring Rubrics; See Appendix A for further support
2. *FY27 Budget Summary & Narrative* and the *FY27 Budget Preparation Guidelines & Chart of Accounts Supplemental Resource* for assistance
3. Pre-Award Assessment; see Appendix B, and Appendix E for the Rubric
4. Applicant Information Form; see Appendix C
5. Statement of Certification; see Appendix D
6. Assurances, signed; see Attachment A for the Standard Assurances – available on the <https://doe.nv.gov/grants> webpage

Grant Questions for Applicant Response

Question 1: Organization Overview and Target Audience (30 Points)

Provide an overview of your organization and describe the targeted statewide audience for this training. Include the anticipated number of participants and how your organization is equipped to support Nevada administrators who evaluate licensed educators (such as teachers and counselors).

Question 2: Scope of Work and Priority Alignment (40 Points)

Describe your proposed Scope of Work, including intended outcomes, success measures, agendas, and topics. You must explicitly describe how your program will address:

1. The Teachers and Leaders Council recommendations regarding Administrator Standards 1 and 4, and Teacher Instructional Practice Standard 4.
2. The advanced calibration of the NEPF and alignment with SB460 mandates.

Question 3: Timeline and Method of Delivery (25 Points)

Provide a comprehensive timeline for the training program. Describe your delivery platform and how you will provide a differentiation of delivery (In-Person, Hybrid, and Virtual). Include assignments, tasks, and/or data collection that will be included to show evidence of training effectiveness.

Question 4: Pre-Award Assessment (5 Points)

Complete and attach the Pre-Award Assessment (PAA) Questionnaire and all required documentation as outlined in Appendix B. If your organization has already completed the FY26 and FY27 Financial Subrecipient Monitoring Application Supplement in EGMP, you only need to submit the grant-specific questionnaire. If not, the full packet (including internal controls, policies, and ledgers) is required.

By checking this box, you hereby acknowledge that the Pre-Award Assessment (Appendix B) is attached to this application with all required supporting documentation (or the grant-specific questionnaire if a previous PAA is on file)

PART III – APPENDICES

Appendix A: Scoring Rubrics

The following rubric identifies the quality standards by which the Review Committee shall review each grant question for applicant response. All responses will be reviewed and scored by multiple reviewers, who will assign a score of 0-5 for each question. Please ensure that as grant question responses are developed, this scoring rubric is reviewed for alignment and quality purposes.

Question 1	Exemplary 25-30 Points	Strong 19-24 Points	Proficient 13-18 Points	Emerging 7-12 Points	Inadequate 1-6 Points	Absent – 0 Points
Provide an overview of your organization and describe the targeted statewide audience for this training. Include the anticipated number of participants and how your organization is equipped to support Nevada administrators who evaluate licensed educators (such as teachers and counselors).	Detailed recruitment plan. Personnel are NEPF experts. Clear logistical plan to support high-volume statewide training. Includes specific support for Teachers, Counselors, and OLEP.	Evidence of successful past performance with Nevada administrators. Shows specific capacity to support evaluations for multiple roles. Identifies a logical "Target Audience."	Identifies a clear statewide audience and provides realistic participant numbers. Demonstrates a working knowledge of NEPF and NRS 391 requirements.	Mentions a target audience but lacks a specific strategy for statewide recruitment or logistical support. Capacity is stated but not proven.	Basic organizational info only. No mention of Nevada-specific needs, participant numbers, or specialized educational personnel.	No answer is provided, the answer does not address the prompt in any way, or the response fails to comply with programmatic and/or federal requirements for the grant.
Question 2	Exemplary 33-40 Points	Strong 25-32 Points	Proficient 17-24 Points	Emerging 9-16 Points	Inadequate 1-8 Points	Absent – 0 Points
Describe your proposed Scope of Work, including intended outcomes, success measures, agendas, and topics. You must explicitly describe how your program will address items 1 (Teachers and Leaders Council recommendations) and 2 (NEPF alignment with SB460 mandates).	Provides a comprehensive, week-by-week agenda. Explicitly integrates all three mandated standards (Admin 1, Admin 4, Teacher 4) and SB460 calibration. Success measures are quantitative and highly rigorous.	Clearly addresses all mandated standards and calibration requirements. Includes detailed agendas and topics. Success measures are clear, though perhaps less granular than an "Exemplary" response.	Addresses the major components of Admin Standards 1 & 4 and Teacher Standard 4. Mentions SB460 and calibration but may lack detail on how they will be measured or implemented.	Mentions the standards but fails to provide specific agendas or topics. The connection to SB460 or the "advanced calibration" of the NEPF is vague or missing.	Provides a generic professional development plan that lacks specific alignment with the Teachers and Leaders Council (TLC) recommendations or the specific Nevada standards listed.	The response is missing or does not address the required standards and mandates.

Question 3	Exemplary 21-25 Points	Strong 16-20 Points	Proficient 11-15 Points	Emerging 6-10 Points	Inadequate 1-5 Points	Absent – 0 Points
<p>Provide a comprehensive timeline for the training program. Describe your delivery platform and how you will provide a differentiation of delivery (In-Person, Hybrid, and Virtual). Include assignments, tasks, and/or data collection that will be included to show evidence of training effectiveness.</p>	<p>Detailed, month-by-month timeline with clear milestones. Delivery is robustly differentiated (In-Person, Hybrid, Virtual). Includes sophisticated data collection (e.g., pre/post-assessments, artifact reviews) that proves NEPF mastery.</p>	<p>Comprehensive timeline and clear description of delivery platforms. Differentiates delivery methods effectively. Evidence of effectiveness is clear and logically tied to the training tasks.</p>	<p>Includes a basic timeline and identifies a delivery platform. Mentions differentiation (e.g., "we offer Zoom and in-person") and includes simple data collection like attendance or satisfaction surveys.</p>	<p>Timeline is vague or missing key milestones. Limited differentiation of delivery. Success measures are weak (e.g., only "participation") and lack rigorous data collection.</p>	<p>Timeline is unrealistic or lacks detail. No clear platform identified and no mention of how the organization will measure if the training actually worked.</p>	<p>The response is missing or does not address the timeline, platform, or evidence requirements.</p>

The following rubric identifies the total scoring rubric for the application; all applications will be assigned scores in each section to develop a total score for evaluation.

Grant Questions for Applicant Response	Scored Result	Total Points Possible
<i>Pre-Award Assessment – 5%</i>		
TOTAL		

Notes and/or Justifications:

Appendix B: Pre-Award Assessment

Organization’s Legal Name	
Unique Entity Identification Number (UEI)	
Nevada Vendor Identification Number	

**If your organization is not currently set to “public” on SAM.gov, please submit a snip of SAM.gov relating your organization’s current status and expiration date.*

Document Requests		
<i>Please submit up-to-date copies of the following documents as applicable to your organization. If you do not have any of the below documents, please mark it accordingly and attach a brief written response related to its absence.</i>		
Internal Controls	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Financial Management Policies	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Conflict of Interest Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Civil Rights/EEO Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Student Privacy/FERPA Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Ledger of Expenditures	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document
Last 3 Financial Audit Reports	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> No applicable document

Please note, the ledger of expenditures should be an excerpt of your organization’s transaction-level data, illustrating budget account by revenues and expenditures, categories, function codes, and object codes. As applicable, please include a reference to your chart of accounts.

Does your organization plan to subcontract* the award?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If match** or maintenance of effort are required, has your organization identified an allowable source?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, what source has been identified?		
Does your organization believe that it will be able to comply with the assurances as written?***	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

**Please note that this refers to a competitive bidding process/solicitation of contracts or further breaking out the award to additional organizations through a subaward.*

***Match refers to a requirement that your organization be able to contribute a certain amount of funds proportional to the award amount to the project; your grant application will have clearly stated whether there are matching requirements*

****Please note that requesting a quarterly reimbursement request qualifies as an amendment to the assurances.*

Does your organization have a time-and-effort accounting system in place? *If you do not have a time and effort accounting system in place, please provide a brief description of why and note whether it is reflected in your policies and procedures.*

What accounting system does your organization use?

Does your organization utilize an indirect rate or the de minimus administrative rate? How are these rates reflected in your ledger/chart of accounts? Please note whether this information is reflected in your policies and procedures.

Does your organization have previous experience managing similar grants? Please provide an example/describe in 3-4 sentences.

Does your organization have adequate financial staffing and experience to implement and manage the grant? (e.g., are you currently hiring or training new employees, planning for retirements, etc.) Please describe in 3-4 sentences.

Appendix C: Applicant Information Form

Each entity wishing to apply for funding must complete this Applicant Information Form and submit it along with their application materials. The contact information your entity provides should be of the individual who will manage the grant funding, should your entity be awarded funding.

Organization's Legal Name	
Type of Organization/Eligible Entity	
Employer Identification Number (EIN)	
Unique Entity Identification Number (UEI)	
SAM.gov Registration Status	
Nevada Vendor Identification Number	
Physical and/or Mailing Address	
Organization Website	

Primary Contact Name	
Title	
Email	
Phone Number	
Additional Contacts	
Additional Contact's Emails	

Project/Priority Applied For	
Amount Requested	

Submitter Name	
Title	
Signature	
Date	

Appendix D: Statement of Certification

Organizational Information	
Legal Name of Organization	
Mailing Address	
Authorized Representative Name and Title	
Authorized Representative Email	
Fiscal Representative Name and Title	
Fiscal Representative Email	
Amount of Application	

Grant Application Certification	
I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application is both accurate and correct. Furthermore, I certify that I am authorized to apply for this grant application on behalf of my organization, to include the obligations attested to in this certification and the grant assurances attached to this application.	
Board Meeting Approval	
Authorized Representative Signature	
Date	

Programmatic Certification(s)	
[If the program has additional assurances, please include them here. If none, please remove from the form.]	
Authorized Representative Signature	
Date	

NDE USE ONLY

Application Review	
Date Received	
Date of Review for Completion and Eligibility	
Reviewer Name	
Signature	

Appendix E: Pre-Award Assessment Rubric

#	PAA Factors	Score
1	Is the applicant currently debarred? (1)	
2	Is the applicant currently in receivership? (1)	
3	What is the applicant’s Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) status? (1)	
4	What is the applicant’s SAM.gov status? (1)	
5	What is the applicant’s Nevada Vendor status? (1)	
6	Did the applicant have and submit their Internal Controls Manual? (1)	
7	Did the applicant have and submit their Financial Management Policy Manual? (1)	
8	Did the applicant have and submit their Conflict-of-Interest Policy? (1)	
9	Did the applicant have and submit their Civil Rights/EEO Policy? (1)	
10	Did the applicant have and submit their Student Privacy Policy? (1)	
11	Did the applicant have and submit their Ledger of Expenditures? (1)	
12	Does the organization document an indirect rate and/or administrative rate, and is the applicant able to segregate these costs (particularly indirect) from other funds? (1)	
13	What accounting system does the applicant use, and can it identify receipts and expenditures of program funds separately and by budget categories? (1)	
14	Does the applicant have a time and effort accounting system in place? (1)	
15	What is the status of the most recent (prior fiscal year) financial audit for the applicant? (1)	
16	Is the applicant’s single audit, as applicable, clear of any significant findings in the past three years? (1)	
17	What was the Financial Subrecipient Monitoring score for the applicant in the previous fiscal year? (5)	

PAA Score	Criteria
0-2	Low Risk
3-5	Medium Risk
6-21	High Risk
Debarred	Not Eligible for Funds

Appendix F: Glossary

Assurances: The grant Assurances provide information regarding the obligations, requirements, and agreements associated with a grant subaward, and are included as a component of the contractual agreement between NDE and the subrecipient entity. The grant Assurances will be specific to either state or federal funding and may include additional provisions based on the particular requirements of the grant funding.

Competitive Funds: Competitive funds are those that NDE has discretion to award based on merit of application, specifically through the use of open competitive applications which are scored by a review committee, using the rubric included in the Notice of Funding Opportunity, and allocated according to project proposal and fit.

Compliance: All references to Compliance (as a proper noun) specifically refer to the Office of Division Compliance, Student Investment Division. Division Compliance is responsible for the Pre-Award Assessment and financial monitoring activities associated with subaward agreements as conducted on behalf of NDE. Division Compliance maintains a universal email address for all financial risk assessment and monitoring inquiries: sidcompliance@doe.nv.gov.

Contractor: Individuals or entities from which state agencies procure goods and services to carry out a project or program. May also be referred to as “vendors”.

Electronic Grants Management Program (EGMP): The electronic platform used by NDE for online grants management activities, including submission and approval of original subaward budgets, budget revisions, Requests for Reimbursement (RFR), and Final Financial Reports (FFRs).

Grants Management Unit: The Grants Management Unit (GMU), within the Office of District Support Services, Student Investment Division, is responsible for the financial management of all grant subawards within NDE. The GMU maintains a universal email address for all grant related fiscal inquiries: grantsinfo@doe.nv.gov.

Notice of Funding Opportunity: A Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) is a formally issued announcement regarding an upcoming opportunity to apply for pass-through funding from NDE. The NOFO includes eligibility and evaluation criteria as well as information about the purposes and goals of the specific grant funding, the application process, including submission requirements and deadlines, opportunities for technical assistance, and expected timelines.

Notice of Intent to Apply: A Notice of Intent to Apply is a preliminary form completed by interested grant applicants prior to the submission of their complete application. This prerequisite may or may not be required as part of a NOFO or grant application process but should always be clearly identified.

Pre-Award Assessment: The Pre-Award Assessment (PAA) is a financial-based risk assessment which must be completed for all subrecipients prior to entering into a subaward agreement. The results of the PAA are used for financial monitoring purposes, including identification of technical assistance and supports specific to each subrecipient.

Program: All references to Program (as a proper noun) specifically refer to programmatic offices within NDE. Programmatic offices serve as the lead in all grant-funded initiatives and are responsible for ensuring that the purposes and goals for which the grant funding was appropriated are met, including through the provision of technical assistance and support, training opportunities, programmatic monitoring, and other similar strategies and activities.

Subaward: An award of financial assistance provided by a pass-through entity (in this case NDE) to a subrecipient entity for the subrecipient to carry out the terms of the originating award and as agreed upon via state or federal assurances.

Subrecipient: A non-federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out the terms of the originating award; the term does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of the program.

UEI Number: The Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) number replaced the Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number effective April 2022 and is required for all subrecipient entities, pursuant to 2 CFR 200. The UEI is assigned via [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov).

Vendor Number: A state of Nevada vendor number is assigned by the [State Controller's Office](#) when an organization submits a vendor registration form, which process is required for all payees of the state. Registration as a vendor is required prior to and enables payment by a state agency to an external organization, including subrecipient entities. Changes or updates to any information submitted through the vendor registration process, including changes to bank account information, must be provided to the Controller's Office to ensure receipt of payments.