

NEPF FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs) OTHER LICENSED EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL (OLEPs)

Refer to the current year's **NEPF Protocols** for additional information regarding the NEPF process.



GENERAL QUESTIONS

What are the goals of the NEPF?

Goal 1: Foster student learning and growth.

Goal 2: Improve educators' effective instructional practices.

Goal 3: Inform human capital decisions based on a professional growth system.

Goal 4: Engage stakeholders in the continuous improvement and monitoring of a professional growth system.

What is the purpose of the NEPF?

The overall purpose of the NEPF is to identify effective instruction and leadership and to establish criteria to determine:

- The professional development needs of educators;
- Information on which to base human capital decisions including rewards and consequences; and
- Whether educators are
 - Using data to inform decision-making,
 - Helping students meet achievement targets and performance expectations,
 - Effectively engaging families, and
 - Collaborating effectively.

How was the NEPF created?

The passage of AB 222 during the 2011 Legislative Session created a 15-member Teachers and Leaders Council (TLC) to develop a statewide performance evaluation system for teachers and school administrators. This group was comprised of 11 members selected by the governor from recommendations made by stakeholder groups and 4 approved by the state superintendent and the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) chancellor. These members brought expertise in PreK-12 standards, curriculum, pedagogy, assessment, personnel evaluation, professional development, parent involvement, public policy, and critical elements identified in driving Nevada's next-generation accountability system. The TLC began meeting in October 2011 and met on more than 25 occasions. The first phase of their work concluded in December 2012, with an appearance before the State Board of Education to begin the regulatory process.

The TLC made a deliberate decision to focus on high-leverage instructional practices based on input from guidance by national experts and with the reinforcement of research demonstrating that, by narrowing the scope to the assessment of instructional practice and professional development, the TLC will broaden the depth and breadth of the system. The domains were determined by a rigorous review of existing standards, including the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS), as well as examples of other state standards such as Iowa, Colorado, and Delaware. The standards were based on a vast body of empirical evidence demonstrating an immediate and important connection to fostering student success in post-

NEPF FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs) OTHER LICENSED EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL (OLEPs)

secondary environments by building students' 21st century skills so that they graduate college and are career ready. The performance indicators for each standard and the corresponding rubrics were developed by Dr. Margaret Heritage of the University of California, Los Angeles National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing (CRESST), and her team. The rubrics and associated performance levels to assess the indicators were designed to look at teacher and student behavior, with a focus on outcomes, not process results. Dr. Heritage and her team prepared a research synthesis documenting the empirical research supporting the focus on these five (5) high-leverage instructional standards. Consistent with the legislative charge, the TLC continues to meet to further develop and refine the statewide performance evaluation system for Nevada educators.

Who represents OLEPs on the Teachers and Leaders Council?

Please refer to the Teachers and Leaders Council webpage found at

https://doe.nv.gov/Boards_Commissions_Councils/Teachers_and_Leaders_Council/

Who is required to use the NEPF?

All licensed and contracted educational personnel are required to use the NEPF. This list is inclusive of teachers across all educational settings and contexts, school-level administrators, school nurses, school counselors, school psychologists, school social workers, speech-language pathologists, educational audiologists, and teacher-librarians (refer to [NRS 391.675](#) for further definition of these positions). TOSAs, instructional coaches, consultants, etc. are not included in the statewide evaluation system and are to be evaluated using measures approved by local districts or school boards based on their role/function.

Do Other Licensed Educational Personnel (OLEP) groups follow the same protocols as the Teachers and Administrators?

OLEP groups have their own protocols that outline their evaluation frameworks. All groups, except Teacher-Librarians, use the same document called the Other Licensed Educational Personnel (OLEP) Protocols. Teacher-Librarians use the Teacher-Librarian Protocols.

What components are required to be completed as part of a full evaluation cycle?

The NEPF evaluation cycle consists of a self-assessment, pre-evaluation conference and goal setting, observation cycle, mid-cycle goal review, and summative evaluation conference. Embracing each component empowers the educational personnel being evaluated to engage in reflective and continuous professional growth.



SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL

Is the Self-Assessment required?

Yes, completing the self-assessment form will aid in the Goal Setting process. Embracing the self-assessment step of the process empowers the educator being evaluated to shape the conversation by stating what they identify as strengths, the areas on which they want to focus, and what support they will need.

NEPF FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs) OTHER LICENSED EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL (OLEPs)



GOAL SETTING PROCESS

Do OLEP groups have to do Student Learning Goals?

The only OLEP group that has a Student Performance Domain and required Student Learning or Library Program Goal (SLG/ LPG) is the Teacher-Librarian. Educational Audiologists, School Counselors, School Nurses, School Psychologists, School Social Workers, and Speech-Language Pathologists DO NOT write a student learning goal (SLG).

What is the Professional Practice Goal (PPG) and what should it entail?

All educational personnel using NEPF frameworks MUST set a Professional Practice Goal (PPG); however, the PPG is NOT scored in the educational personnel's evaluation. Educational personnel use the Self-Assessment Tool and/or previous evaluation to identify and set a professional practice goal. The goal should align and provide support for implementation of their professional standards. If the educator is required to set an SLG/LPG (teacher-librarian), the PPG should align and provide support for the SLG/LPG. Progress toward the PPG should be discussed during the Mid-Cycle Goal Review process.



OBSERVATION CYCLE

What should be discussed in the pre-observation conference?

A Pre-Observation Conference should precede each scheduled observation cycle. This provides the educator an opportunity to discuss needs and evidence for the strategies used. It is also recommended that the educator being evaluated leads these discussions and provides the rationale for the basis of his/her instructional practices. This is also a good time for the educator to discuss the context of their class(es) including, but not necessarily limited to, student-to-teacher ratio considerations, student characteristics that impact instructional practices, specific procedures the teacher has in place and purpose for the procedures, information from previous lessons, and where students are in the continuum etc.

How long should a scheduled observation be?

“Scheduled” (announced) observations are those observations for which prior notice is given and a pre-observation conference has been held. The minimum number of scheduled observations is differentiated according to experience and performance as outlined in the NEPF Protocols. For educators, each scheduled classroom observation, as one component of the evaluation process, needs to be conducted for a *minimum* of twenty minutes.

What is the purpose of the post-observation conference?

The Post-Observation Conference should be a joint discussion between the educator and evaluator. This is a time during which the evaluator and educator discuss additional evidence related to what happened prior to and after the observation to highlight the continuum of instruction. In addition, the evaluator should provide explicit feedback on performance, and educator professional learning needs are discussed and identified. Based on observations and evidence, if an educator's performance is

NEPF FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs) OTHER LICENSED EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL (OLEPs)

likely to be rated ineffective or developing, the evaluator uses the Educator Assistance Plan Tool to develop and implement an assistance plan pursuant to NRS 391.695 and/or 391.715. Early support is best; therefore, this tool should be used to provide assistance to educators at any time during the evaluation cycle.



MID-CYCLE GOAL/S REVIEW

Is the Mid-Cycle Goal/s Review required?

Yes, a conference should be held mid-year to discuss educator progress towards attaining goal/s (Professional Practice Goal and the SLG/LPG for teacher-librarians) and performance on all NEPF Standards and Indicators.

What should be discussed at the Mid-Cycle Goal/s Review?

This step is used to prompt reflection, promote dialogue between the educator and evaluator, and plan changes to practice and/or goals as necessary. The Mid-Cycle Goal/s Review is the time when the educator and evaluator formally meet to discuss students' progress toward the SLG and the educator's performance (including progress toward the Professional Practice Goal) to date. At this time, the educator and evaluator may choose to revise the SLG, if appropriate. In addition, if an educator is having difficulty, the Mid-Cycle Goal/s Review allows the evaluator to provide the educator with the assistance required.



SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

What is the purpose of the summative evaluation?

Thoughtful summative evaluation identifies trends and patterns in performance and offers feedback for improvement. It also provides the educator with valuable information that strengthens self-reflection and analysis skills.

What is the procedure for observing and evaluating educators that have achieved highly effective status on their NEPF evaluations for two consecutive years?

For educators who receive a Highly Effective rating for two consecutive years, the final summative evaluation requirement is waived the following year; however, educators who meet this criterion are expected to participate in the evaluation cycle. Evaluators complete the Summative Evaluation Exemption Verification Tool. During the subsequent school year, educators who met this criterion will once again participate in the evaluation cycle and receive a summative evaluation (three-year cycle: 2 years of earning a Highly Effective summative rating + one year of a summative evaluation waiver).

NEPF FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs)

OTHER LICENSED EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL (OLEPs)

How are the scores calculated?

The following domain weights will be used for teacher-librarians: 42.5% for Instructional Practice, 42.5% for Professional Responsibilities, and 15% for Student Performance (SLG). Furthermore, the passage of Section 4 of AB 266 (2021) provides for post-probationary teacher-librarians who provide direct, regular instruction and who are designated as effective or highly effective to be awarded an additional weight (adjusted score) in certain Standards and Indicators equivalent to the percentage by which the ratio of pupils for which the teacher is responsible exceeds the recommended ratio of pupils per licensed teacher (K-3 = 15:1; 4-12 = 25:1). The adjusted score is not to exceed the maximum score that would otherwise be possible for a teacher rated as highly effective (4). The teacher-librarian Standards and Indicators that are eligible for the additional weight are:

- The manner in which the teacher employs the cognitive abilities and skills of all pupils, Instructional Practice Standard 2 Indicator 1 (IPS 2.1),
- The manner in which the teacher provides an opportunity for extended discourse (IPS 3.1),
- The manner in which the teacher structures a classroom environment (IPS 3.4), and
- The manner in which the teacher engages with the families of pupils, Professional Responsibilities Standard 4 (PRS 4).

For all other OLEP groups, the final summative score is calculated by averaging the scores for each Standard.



NEPF TRAINING AND RESOURCES

Are trainings available on the NEPF?

Educational personnel are encouraged to review resources offered by the Regional Professional Development Program (RPDP) centers serving [Southern](#), [Northeastern](#), and [Northwest](#) Nevada and those resources made available through state professional organizations. In addition, NEPF Courses are available in Canvas.

For additional questions or comments,
visit the Nevada Department of Education's [NEPF](#) webpage
or contact Tina Statucki at tstatucki@doe.nv.gov.