

Steve Sisolak  
Governor

Jhone Ebert  
Superintendent of  
Public Instruction



Southern Nevada Office  
2080 East Flamingo Rd,  
Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-0811  
(702) 486-6458  
Fax: (702) 486-6450

**STATE OF NEVADA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**  
700 E. Fifth Street | Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096  
Phone: (775) 687-9200 | [www.doe.nv.gov](http://www.doe.nv.gov) | Fax: (775) 687-9101

To: Elaine Wynn, President, State Board of Education

From: Heidi Haartz, Deputy Superintendent, Business Support Services

Date: August 29, 2019

RE: Report to the State Board of Education: Class Size Reduction Variances and Justifications, 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Fiscal Year 2019 CSR for the period January 1- March 31, 2019.

## Introduction

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 388.700(4), the State Board of Education is required to submit a quarterly report on class size ratios and each variance requested by a school district during the preceding quarter to the Interim Finance Committee (IFC); charter schools are exempt. For the purposes of evaluating the effectiveness of the class-size reduction (CSR) program, the report must include an identification for each elementary school for which a variance was granted, as well as the specific justification for the variance.

- There are two types of CSR programs funded in Nevada during the 2017-2019 biennium:
  - Regular: Pupil-teacher ratio; Kindergarten – 16:1; Grades 1 and 2 – 17:1; and Grade 3 – 20:1. This program is currently funded through sections 15 and 16 of S.B. 544
  - Alternative: School districts which are located in a county whose population is less than 100,000 may select the Alternative CSR program which provides flexibility in implementing pupil-teacher ratios in grades 1 through 6 (as applicable, grade 5 and 6 must be included in the elementary school to be counted) for - Kindergarten – 16:1; Grades 1 through 3 – 22:1 and Grades 4 through 6 (as applicable, grade 5 and 6 must be included in the elementary school to be counted) – 25:1. This program is authorized through NRS 388.720

For the fiscal year (FY) 2019, SB 544 section 13, subsection 2 provides that funding for CSR shall pay for the salaries and benefits of not less than 1,968 teachers to meet the required ratios. CSR provides sufficient funds for school districts to meet required ratios at a district level. Additionally, SB544 provides that remaining funds may be allocated to the lowest performing schools with variances.

## Executive Summary

In the third quarter, there were 1,019 variances in grades kindergarten through sixth as applicable, compared to 1,040 variances in second quarter. As a result of the discontinuation of the kindergarten class size reduction (KCSR) in FY17, the prescribed ratio decreased from 21-to-1 to 16-to-1 per NRS 388.700. Thus, the number of kindergarten class size variances increased dramatically, despite class size ratios remaining relatively unchanged overall. The number of kindergarten variances for the third quarter of FY19 remained flat at 318 variances compared to 317 in the second quarter of FY19 with the overall ratio for kindergarten classes remaining steady at approximately 21.07. Grades first through third however, experienced an average decrease of 21 variances, or -3.5%, in the number of variances compared to last quarter. Much of this decline is attributed to Clark and Washoe County decreasing the number of variances in second grade by 12. Fourth through sixth grade increased slightly, with an aggregate increase of 2 variances, or +6.3%, as a result of enrollment changes. Generally, enrollment declined leading to a decline in the number of variances requested, however for Churchill, Nye, Storey, and White Pine each added a variance.

## Scope of Report

This report provides a summary of variance requests by district and school and includes the specific variance justification by school. For each variance request, the following information is presented:

- Class size ratios by school and grade.
- Star rating pursuant to the Nevada School Performance Framework (FY18).
- Demographic data such as, percent that is low income (FRL) and percent who are English Language Learners (EL). Please note, figures below 10% are suppressed for student protection.

## Statewide Summary of Variances & Justifications

The districts were broken into their grouping type according the DSA equity allocation model categories, Large, Centralized, Rural, Small, and Very Small, and were analyzed for commonalities. Clark and Washoe are grouped in the large category, while Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, and Lyon are grouped in the centralized category. While Elko, Humboldt, Lander, Nye, and White Pine are grouped in the rural category, and Lincoln, Mineral, Pershing, and Storey are grouped in the small category. Esmeralda and Eureka are grouped in the very small category.

Class size ratio variance request justifications are grouped into the following four standardized categories, funding limitations, difficulty hiring teachers, facility limitations, and other. Any or all of these justifications are accepted. Difficulty hiring was the most common variance justification, which was a factor in 36% of variances requested by the districts. Funding limitations was the second most common justification at 36%, followed by facility limitations at 28%, other was not cited.

Eleven of the districts reported funding limitations and difficulty hiring as their justification for variances. With six of those districts citing all three justifications, facility limitations, difficulty hiring, and funding limitations. No districts cited other as a justification. In rural and small districts, they reported that available funding did not meet the level needed to provide enough incentive to hire teachers in such

remote locations. In centralized and large districts they reported not being able to offer competitive salaries to compete with neighboring states. Funding limitations was the second most common justification, which was reported both in large districts and in most small and rural districts.

Facility limitations tended to represent a school’s lack of available physical space to create smaller class sizes. Nearly a third of the justifications provided included this justification. In many rural locations, schools were built to support class size ratios of 22-to-1. Thus many schools do not have the physical capacity to create smaller classes. The table below identifies the justifications provided by district.

## District Grouping Variance Justification

District Grouping	District	Facility Limitations	Hiring Difficulty	Funding Limitations	Other
<i>Large</i>	Clark	X	X	X	-
	Washoe	X	X	X	-
<i>Centralized</i>	Carson City	X	X	-	-
	Churchill	-	-	X	-
	Douglas	-	-	X	-
	Lyon	X	X	X	-
<i>Rural</i>	Elko	X	X	-	-
	Humboldt	-	-	X	-
	Lander	X	X	X	-
	Nye	X	X	X	-
	White Pine	-	X	X	-
<i>Small</i>	Lincoln	X	X	X	-
	Mineral	-	X	-	-
	Pershing	-	-	X	-
	Storey	-	X	-	-
<i>Very Small</i>	Esmeralda	-	-	-	-
	Eureka	-	-	-	-

## District Reported Justification for Variances

The variance justifications listed below were provided by the districts with their quarterly class size ratio reports. Individual variance requests are available upon request.

Carson City is experiencing facility limitations in grades K, 1, 3, and 5. In addition, the district is

experiencing difficulty hiring in in grades K-5. There is a shortage of qualified teachers, and recruitment has been difficult, but ongoing. In regards to funding, Carson City is dependent on DSA and CSR dollars to fund teachers to comply with NRS 388.720. If the ratios are one or two students above the prescribed ratios, it is not fiscally neutral to hire an additional teacher to meet the ratios.

Bordewich Bray 1<sup>st</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade variance has two Special Education teachers and one English as a Second Language teacher assigned to support inclusion. In addition, two self-contained Special Education classrooms reduce teacher-student ratios for grades 1 and 2. A Literacy coach and Math coach along with Music, Technology, and Physical Education teachers support classroom instruction. These licensed full time equivalents (FTE) assigned to Bordewich Bray are not accounted for in the teacher-student chart at the top of the form.

Fritsch Elementary School has the additional systems in place to support academic achievement for the 2018-19 school years: Homeroom teachers teach core content areas; music, P.E. technology teachers, and the librarian provide support systems & teachers outside of core content. Two Special education teachers and their paraprofessionals provide support to students in core & non-core content areas. One ESL teacher, plus a para professional provides support to EL students above regular classroom instruction.

Churchill sent recruiters to job fairs and despite increasing the number of Kindergarten through 5 grade teachers by 5 for the 2018-2019 school year, have seen a slight increase in overall growth in pre-k through 12. They are continuing to provide an EL specialist and para-educators to support classrooms using an inclusion and pull-out model.

Clark is experiencing all three categories of variance justifications. Elementary schools do not have an adequate number of classrooms to hire additional teachers. Additionally, many schools do not have sufficient physical space to allow for the additional of portable units without impacting playground and parking space.

In regards to difficulty hiring, there is a national shortage of teachers. Teacher education enrollment has dropped 35% between 2009 and 2014. Nevada's higher education teacher candidate programs reported 768 program completers in 2014-2015, a decrease from 950 completers in 2010-2011. The district relies heavily on recruitment from California to fill the shortage created within the state. California's program completion has decline by approximately 21% from 2010-2011 to 2014-2015.

Lastly, if Clark County was able to hire the number of teachers needed to meet the prescribed class size ratios, CSR funding would not cover the salaries and benefits at current levels. Nor would there be sufficient funding to cover the related costs and requirements to supply the additional classrooms.

Douglas is experiencing funding limitations. When the funding shifted from a district-wide average class size reporting to a school-level approach, no new allocations were added to the CSR funding formula to assist with assuring that ratios are met at every grade level. When KCSR was discontinued, it now costs the district an estimated \$77,000-\$80,000 to hire an additional teacher to maintain the 16:1 ratios now prescribed. The district has provided a 4-hour aide to assist in kindergarten classrooms.

Elko is experiencing facility limitations in grades K, 2, and 5. Additionally, they are having difficulty hiring due to being in a rural location and do not have enough funding to provide hiring incentives. Despite SB511 and other grant funding allowing the district to offer \$10,000 signing bonuses in FY16, the district still has 4 positions being filled by long-term substitutes. Lastly, facility limitations in grades K, 2, and 5 do not support hiring an additional teacher.

Esmeralda does not qualify for CSR funding as their baseline ratios are lower than the ratios prescribed by law. For example, Esmeralda's third grade baseline ratio is 15 to 1. Since a district must maintain

baseline ratios with non-CSR funded teachers in order to qualify for funds, the baseline ratio of 15:1 is lower the ratio prescribed in law, the district would not require additional funding needed to meet the prescribed ratio of 20 to 1 on the regular plan.

Eureka experienced no class sizes above the prescribed ratios and did not need to request variances.

Humboldt is experiencing funding limitations in grades K, 3, 5, and 6. Current available revenue prevents HCSD from hiring positions in instances in which class size reduction ratios are missed by less than 1 student. Given limited funds, adding personnel, would negatively impact other identified needs. With Kindergarten no longer falling under CSR, expected ratios should be aligned with prior 21:1 expectations.

Lander reported that there are no additional/empty rooms or buildings available, and that they need to be able to offer more incentives to work in a rural location and the budget does not provide for this.

Lincoln is experiencing difficulty hiring due to being a rural location. The district is unable to provide enough financial incentives to recruit qualified teachers. Lincoln is also experiencing facility limitations in grades K,1,and 3, as the district does not have enough classrooms to be able to hire an additional teacher. Schools were not built to house more than one class per grade.

Lyon is experiencing facility limitations in grades all grades K-6. With the all-day kindergarten and pre-k expansion, the facilities are limited; this limits the ability to hire additional teachers to reduce classes above the prescribed ratios. Additionally, it is not fiscally neutral to hire an additional teacher when the district is one or two students above the prescribed ratio. This is largest contributing factor as it costs \$80,000 to hire an additional teacher.

Mineral reported that due to being a very rural location, it is difficult to recruit and hire new teachers.

Nye stated that due to being a rural location, they have difficulty hiring teachers. Many of their teachers do not live locally and commute, which is a burden. This makes it difficult to offer incentives, as the budget does not allow for this. Additionally, class sizes are often only a little over the target ratio, and therefore, it does not make sense to split a classroom of 20 into 2 with 10 students each. Instead, they will monitor enrollment and provide para-professionals to support where needed.

Pershing has experienced a decline in enrollment, with no growth projected. As the district's enrollment decreases, so does DSA funding. Thus, it is not fiscally neutral to hire additional teachers when ratios are only slightly above the prescribed ratios. The district will continue to monitor class sizes and utilizes para-professionals to provide support.

Storey County asserts that hiring an additional teacher would cost an average of \$60,000, which would cause a financial hardship on their district. With only a slight increase in class size, they are unable to justify hiring a new teacher as it is not fiscally neutral.

Washoe County cited facility limitations and stated that they are in the process of building 2 new middle schools and 1 new elementary school, which will open in the 2019-2020 school and will allow 6<sup>th</sup> graders to move from the elementary school to the middle school and will relieve the overcrowding. They also stated, that they face a lack of teacher candidates that meet the high quality instruction needed for the students. Additionally, WCSD continues to face an annual structural budget deficit as costs continue to exceed revenues. When the student enrollment by grade for a site slightly exceeds the prescribed ratio, lack of available financial support specifically for the reduction of pupil-teacher ratios prohibits the District from hiring another teacher. However, the District as a whole, typically meets the required ratios.

White Pine cited difficulty hiring, stating that there are no available licensed teachers in the community. They hired one ARL teacher in August after an exhaustive search for a qualified candidate.

## School Level Analysis of Variances

Thirteen school districts have variances in 1 and 2 star schools based on the most recent information published September 2018. Of the 169 total 1 and 2 star elementary schools reported for CSR, 160 of those schools have variances with a total of 407 grade level variances in grades kindergarten through 6<sup>th</sup> grade as applicable.

### Count of Variances by District in 1 and 2 Star Schools

School District	Total Number of Schools (All Star Ratings, Grades K-6)	Total Number of 1 and 2 Star Elementary Schools	Number of 1 and 2 Star Schools with Variances	% of 1 and 2 Star schools with Variances	Total Number of Variances in 1 and 2 Star Schools (K Only)	Total Number of Variances in 1 and 2 Star Schools (K-6)
Carson	6	4	4	100%	4	7
Churchill	3	0	0	0%	0	0
Clark	223	102	102	100 %	99	312
Douglas	7	2	2	100%	2	6
Elko	12	6	5	83%	4	7
Esmeralda	3	1	0	0%	0	0
Eureka	2	0	0	0%	0	0
Humboldt	9	4	3	75%	2	5
Lander	2	0	0	0%	0	0
Lincoln	4	1	1	100%	1	2
Lyon	12	9	8	89%	7	23
Mineral	2	2	2	100%	1	3
Nye	11	4	3	75%	2	7
Pershing	2	1	1	100%	0	2
Storey	2	1	1	100%	0	2
Washoe	62	29	25	86%	23	30
White Pine	4	3	3	100%	3	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>407</b>

There were 366 total schools (1-5 star schools, including unrated schools) of which 344 schools had variances in the third quarter. Within these schools, there were 701 variances in first through sixth grade compared to 723 in the second quarter and 709 variances in the first quarter of FY19. Including kindergarten, there were 1,019 variances statewide as opposed to 1,040 last quarter, in aggregate this is a 21 variance decrease, or 2% decrease. Kindergarten variances remained flat at 318 in Q3 compared to 317 in Q2. Second grade saw the biggest decline of 12 variances, or -5%, followed by third grade with 7 fewer variances (or -3%), and first with a decline of 5 variances (or -2%).

In addition, it is important to note, that due to a methodology change in calculating class size ratios, the class size ratios may potentially increase as a result of the Department now utilizing average daily enrollment (ADE) instead of average daily attendance (ADA) when calculating the student to teacher ratio in order to align with current reporting procedures. This results in a slightly higher class size ratio due to evaluating total number of students enrolled instead of evaluating physical student attendance. Additionally, beginning in FY18, after an audit finding, the Department began evaluating class size ratios to the second decimal place, i.e. previously a ratio of 17.32 would have been reported as 17, and would not constitute as a variance. However, rounding to two decimal places, 17.32 is above 17.00, and thus would constitute a variance. Therefore, this would lead to an increase in the number of variances requested despite experiencing no change in the class size ratio specifically.

## District Grade Variances

Plan Type	District	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Grand Total
<b>Alternative Total</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>120</b>
	Carson	6	2	1		1	2		12
	Churchill	1		1			1		3
	Douglas	6	1	3	3	3	3		19
	Elko	8	2	1	2		3	1	17
	Humboldt	4	1	1			1	1	8
	Lyon	8	3	4	3	4	2	2	26
	Mineral	1	1		1				3
	Nye	7	1	3	4	2	4		21
	Storey	1	1		2	1	2		7
	White Pine	3					1		4
<b>Regular Total</b>		<b>273</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>201</b>				<b>899</b>
	Clark	216	179	188	178				742
	Lander	1	1	1					3
	Lincoln	2	2						4
	Washoe	1	1	2	1				126
		53	28	23	22				
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>318</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1,019</b>

## District Level Analysis of Variances

There were 136,343.04 students in grades kindergarten through 6<sup>th</sup> in the third quarter. For which, there were 1,906.32 CSR funded teachers and 4,658.79 non-CSR funded teachers for 6,565.11 total teachers. The total aggregate class size ratio is 20.76. The district level class size ratios for the first quarter are listed below by grade. In the table below, bolded values represent ratios which exceed the prescribed ratio at the district level. All but three districts exceed the prescribed ratios in at least one grade level, Eureka, Esmeralda, and Lander. The grade with the most variances is kindergarten, with 13 of the 17 districts exceeding the prescribed ratio of 16-to-1 student to teacher ratio. First and third grade had the second most number of districts with a variance, with 4 out of 17 districts exceeding the prescribed ratio (17-to-1 for the regular plan, and 22-to-1 for those on the alternative program).

## District Level Class Size Ratios

District	K	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>
Churchill-A	<b>20.42</b>	21.43	<b>22.87</b>	21.10	23.29	25.02	N/A
Clark-R	<b>22.52</b>	<b>20.45</b>	<b>20.92</b>	<b>23.34</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Douglas-A	<b>24.13</b>	20.59	20.72	<b>22.03</b>	23.48	<b>25.26</b>	22.00
Elko-A	<b>18.78</b>	20.25	19.15	19.57	22.18	22.56	19.68
Esmeralda-*	9.45	8.74	12.12	11.77	10.61	14.76	12.59
Eureka-A	11.74	9.85	13.01	14.95	18.71	20.61	17.50
Humboldt-A	<b>16.79</b>	15.85	15.97	12.38	15.96	13.67	9.07
Lander-R	13.28	12.34	12.63	18.08	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lincoln-R	<b>17.27</b>	<b>17.07</b>	12.25	16.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lyon-A	<b>20.32</b>	19.58	21.10	20.12	23.21	22.64	22.66
Mineral-A	14.50	<b>22.33</b>	12.67	20.75	10.50	11.67	15.75
Nye-A	<b>16.31</b>	18.86	17.56	20.82	19.12	19.88	N/A
Carson City-A	<b>20.92</b>	20.76	20.23	20.78	20.79	<b>25.09</b>	N/A
Pershing-R	<b>18.28</b>	<b>19.76</b>	<b>20.71</b>	<b>20.77</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storey-A	<b>17.00</b>	19.36	13.64	<b>25.85</b>	<b>27.14</b>	<b>29.35</b>	N/A
Washoe-R	<b>19.44</b>	16.38	16.48	18.98	N/A	N/A	N/A
White Pine-A	<b>18.11</b>	17.25	17.03	17.34	18.79	15.03	17.00
<b>Total Ave. (State)</b>	21.07	19.31	19.52	21.66	20.32	20.70	16.94

\* Esmeralda does not qualify for CSR funds due to their baseline ratios being less than the prescribed ratios. The provided figures are purely informational. Alternative plan participants are identified with an "A", while regular plan participants are identified with an "R".

## Concluding Remarks

In the third quarter of FY19, there was a reduction of 21 variances, or a 2% decrease, in the overall number of class size ratio variances requested compared to third quarter of FY19. Kindergarten variances remained relatively flat with 318 variances compared to 317. This was the second year since the discontinuation of the kindergarten class size reduction program; as a result, kindergarten class size ratio is now 16-to-1 as prescribed in NRS 388.700(1) compared to 21-to-1 in FY17. However, despite the reduction in the target ratio, there were limited changes in kindergarten class size ratios compared to FY18 and as of second quarter FY19. Second grade experienced the most drastic changes in class size ratios with the highest percent decrease observed with a decrease of 5%, most of which is attributed to Clark County School District. First and third grade experienced small decreases of 2% and 3% respectively. Whereas, grades 4-6 experienced a 6% increase in the number variances from 32 to 34 variances.

The attached variance request report is sorted by CSR plan type, school district, and then by school alphabetically. For example, alternative plan participants are grouped first, then alphabetically by district and school. Bolded values identify class size ratios over the prescribed ratio; the number listed indicates the actual class size ratio. Each bolded value represents a variance requested by the district. Individual variance requests are available upon request.

The Department is committed to working with the State Board and school districts to decrease the number of variance requests, particularly in high need schools. I hope this information is useful to you. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me via email at [mhanke@doe.nv.gov](mailto:mhanke@doe.nv.gov) or via phone at 775-687-9236.



## Variance Requests Q3 FY19

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alt.	Carson	Bordewich Bray Elementary	2	43%	14%	21.33	22.60	19.98	20.21	21.06	26.55	
Alt.	Carson	Empire Elementary	2	100%	44%	22.90	16.52	17.07	21.68	16.99	24.66	
Alt.	Carson	Fremont Elementary	2	52%	28%	19.48	18.56	21.82	21.96	19.00	23.81	
Alt.	Carson	Fritsch Elementary	3	34%	16%	17.52	21.69	22.19	20.60	25.01	23.52	
Alt.	Carson	Mark Twain Elementary	2	100%	31%	25.39	23.77	20.42	19.70	20.54	24.57	
Alt.	Carson	Seeliger Elementary	3	36%	17%	18.90	21.41	19.93	20.54	22.15	27.44	
Alt.	Churchill	EC Best Elementary School	Not Rated	54%	11%			22.87	21.10			
Alt.	Churchill	Lahontan Elementary School	Not Rated	53%	*	20.42	21.43					
Alt.	Churchill	Numa Elementary School	3	51%	12%					23.29	25.02	
Alt.	Douglas	C.C. Meneley Elementary	3	51%	*	25.94	21.91	22.15	20.82	23.26	26.61	
Alt.	Douglas	Gardnerville Elementary	4	35%	12%	26.23	20.60	14.91	20.62	18.89	25.01	
Alt.	Douglas	Gene Scarselli Elementary	2	34%	*	26.18	23.57	21.67	23.70	22.30	23.94	
Alt.	Douglas	Jacks Valley Elementary	2	45%	14%	23.65	19.84	23.87	25.63	17.99	24.88	
Alt.	Douglas	Minden Elementary	3	29%	*	24.60	19.28	16.84	23.13	26.70	32.01	
Alt.	Douglas	Pinon Elementary	5	19%	*	27.29	21.97	25.03	21.06	26.38	24.52	
Alt.	Douglas	Zephyr Cove Elementary	5	38%	14%	15.00	17.01	20.55	19.27	28.85	19.85	22.00
Alt.	Elko	Carlin Elementary	2	47%	*	16.85	21.09	17.41	17.17	21.92	16.91	23.96
Alt.	Elko	Elko Grammar #2	3	42%	*	19.14	21.33	17.38	21.41	21.26		
Alt.	Elko	Flagview Intermediate	2	43%	16%						29.09	26.96
Alt.	Elko	Jackpot Elementary	2	79%	32%	18.19	17.50	18.58	13.88	25.00	23.08	14.79
Alt.	Elko	Mountain View Elementary	5	21%	11%	23.48	22.31	22.60	22.60	24.52		
Alt.	Elko	Northside Elementary	2	44%	16%	23.55	18.49	19.21	21.30	22.01		
Alt.	Elko	Owyhee Elementary	1	100%	*	13.04	15.00	17.26	14.54	15.25	14.00	9.24
Alt.	Elko	Sage Elementary	2	35%	*	19.07	18.75	20.21	20.78	19.64	24.75	

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alt.	Elko	Southside Elementary	3	63%	26%	21.96	20.83	20.36	19.65	23.34		
Alt.	Elko	Spring Creek Elementary	3	16%	*	22.11	21.24	20.65	23.70	24.84	25.37	
Alt.	Elko	Wells Elementary	4	49%	17%	14.20	28.40	18.24	20.75	21.40	26.00	23.49
Alt.	Elko	West Wendover Elementary	2	78%	32%	15.02	17.83	18.75	19.53	24.79	21.29	19.64
Alt.	Eureka	Crescent Valley Elementary	3	41%	*	11.22	9.29	9.93	13.89	16.41	21.88	22.22
Alt.	Eureka	Eureka Elementary School	5	22%	*	12.25	10.40	16.09	16.00	21.00	19.33	12.77
Alt.	Humboldt	Denio Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*		5.00	5.00	5.00			5.00
Alt.	Humboldt	French Ford Middle School	1	#N/A	#N/A						25.17	25.32
Alt.	Humboldt	Grass Valley Elementary School	2	48%	*	21.71	19.98	18.73	17.86	17.52		
Alt.	Humboldt	Kings River Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*	9.09	8.33		9.09		9.09	9.09
Alt.	Humboldt	McDermitt Combined School	1	99%	*	15.15	17.91	11.07	16.00	8.07	15.00	4.98
Alt.	Humboldt	Orovada Elementary School	Not Rated	63%	31%	15.79	15.79	16.28	2.17	15.38	6.98	7.02
Alt.	Humboldt	Paradise Valley Elementary School	Not Rated	56%	*	16.89	17.32	17.00	9.82	12.50	12.13	3.00
Alt.	Humboldt	Sonoma Heights Elementary School	2	51%	16%	20.79	20.02	23.07	21.90	20.88		
Alt.	Humboldt	Winnemucca Grammar School	4	59%	21%	18.11	22.45	20.65	17.24	21.39		
Alt.	Lyon	Cottonwood Elementary	2	65%	*	20.25	23.77	23.90	24.13	25.71		
Alt.	Lyon	Dayton Elementary	2	60%	11%	23.35	21.32	21.92	20.63	22.27	22.47	27.84
Alt.	Lyon	East Valley Elementary	2	56%	*	25.07	23.08	19.59	23.34	26.44		
Alt.	Lyon	Fernley Elementary	3	71%	*	24.04	21.95	20.62	19.43	21.59		
Alt.	Lyon	Fernley Intermediate	3	#N/A	#N/A						26.14	24.79
Alt.	Lyon	Riverview Elementary	2	52%	*	17.82	16.87	22.46	22.67	27.24	21.95	21.35
Alt.	Lyon	Silver Stage Elementary	1	100%	*	23.88	19.16	19.30	17.14	27.68		
Alt.	Lyon	Silver Stage Middle	2	#N/A	#N/A						27.52	26.46
Alt.	Lyon	Smith Valley	3	31%	*	6.00	6.00	16.00	15.63	11.00	17.00	13.65
Alt.	Lyon	Sutro Elementary	2	63%	*	19.30	20.36	22.80	17.00	23.22	20.52	24.09

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alt.	Lyon	Yerington Elementary	2	73%	17%	23.14	23.74	23.31	21.15	23.71		
Alt.	Lyon	Yerington Intermediate	2	65%	*						22.87	20.42
Alt.	Mineral	Hawthorne Elementary	1	51%	*	18.00	16.67	20.00	17.50	17.00	11.33	19.50
Alt.	Mineral	Schurz Elementary	1	100%	66%	11.00	28.00	5.33	24.00	4.00	12.00	12.00
Alt.	Nye	Amargosa Valley Elementary School	2	100%	41%	12.00	17.49	15.40	22.00	16.00	11.00	
Alt.	Nye	Beatty Elementary School	4	100%	21%	21.05	20.93	16.35	16.28	16.36	16.06	
Alt.	Nye	Duckwater Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*	9.09		9.09		9.09	9.09	
Alt.	Nye	Floyd Elementary School	3	100%	*	24.20	25.60	21.14	22.97	24.33	30.06	
Alt.	Nye	Gabbs Elementary School	Not Rated	100%	*	19.93	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	
Alt.	Nye	Hafen Elementary School	4	100%	*	18.09	19.47	22.35	23.33	23.91	24.45	
Alt.	Nye	JG Johnson Elementary School	1	100%	*	16.65	16.57	25.34	15.74	24.91	25.64	
Alt.	Nye	Manse Elementary School	4	100%	10%	19.36	20.98	24.71	27.90	26.67	31.53	
Alt.	Nye	Round Mountain Elementary School	1	14%	*	11.49	19.76	15.81	12.14	25.10	25.12	
Alt.	Nye	Tonopah Elementary School	1	40%	*	19.84	20.11	15.25	27.04	16.27	18.00	
Alt.	Nye	Warm Springs Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*	7.74	7.69	7.72		7.69	7.69	
Alt.	Storey	Hillside Elementary School	1	45%	*	11.00	11.10	11.27	26.09	24.67	25.70	
Alt.	Storey	Hugh Gallagher Elementary School	3	35%	*	23.00	27.61	16.00	25.61	29.60	33.00	
Alt.	White Pine	Baker Elementary	Not Rated	*	*				17.00	17.00	0.00	17.00
Alt.	White Pine	D.E. Norman Elementary	1	47%	*	18.82	20.26	19.62	18.72	22.62	18.01	
Alt.	White Pine	Lund Elementary	2	*	*	16.63	16.63	13.37	13.37	10.57	10.57	
Alt.	White Pine	McGill Elementary	2	48%	*	18.87	14.87	18.11	20.26	24.96	16.52	
Regular	Clark	Adams, Kirk ES	2	64%	32%	21.94	21.03	18.91	24.70			
Regular	Clark	Adcock, O K ES	2	100%	35%	18.61	19.73	16.56	19.03			
Regular	Clark	Alamo, Tony ES	4	47%	16%	19.62	22.53	21.42	25.57			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Allen, Dean LaMar ES	3	27%	*	22.44	26.32	23.89	26.76			
Regular	Clark	Antonello, Lee ES	3	65%	15%	23.29	24.18	25.29	27.15			
Regular	Clark	Bailey, Sister Robert Joseph ES	1	100%	23%	22.87	18.84	16.14	21.07			
Regular	Clark	Barber, Shirley A ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	28.37	21.62	19.31	28.25			
Regular	Clark	Bartlett, Selma F ES	3	29%	*	19.23	23.66	19.26	27.48			
Regular	Clark	Bass, John C ES	3	51%	11%	34.64	23.21	21.79	22.48			
Regular	Clark	Batterman, Kathy L ES	5	33%	11%	28.60	23.88	18.12	20.47			
Regular	Clark	Beatty, John R ES	3	100%	10%	24.75	20.13	23.39	23.92			
Regular	Clark	Beckley, Will ES	2	100%	46%	19.19	17.66	17.18	22.91			
Regular	Clark	Bell, Rex ES	1	100%	41%	21.81	19.16	20.70	19.71			
Regular	Clark	Bendorf, Patricia A ES	4	53%	19%	23.66	22.76	20.37	31.10			
Regular	Clark	Bennett, William G ES	2	100%	*	19.53	18.81	16.54	17.20			
Regular	Clark	Berkley, Shelley ES	3	50%	*	22.69	21.75	21.43	26.50			
Regular	Clark	Bilbray, James ES	4	100%	*	25.46	24.07	32.82	20.09			
Regular	Clark	Bonner, John W ES	5	22%	12%	17.95	23.46	22.67	29.84			
Regular	Clark	Booker, Kermit R Sr ES	2	100%	32%	25.61	13.43	18.45	17.15			
Regular	Clark	Bowler, Grant ES	3	19%	*	20.07	19.06	17.45	24.42			
Regular	Clark	Bowler, Joseph L ES	1	75%	30%	20.01	21.26	18.75	18.51			
Regular	Clark	Bozarth, Henry & Evelyn ES	5	17%	*	22.95	23.41	22.34	24.14			
Regular	Clark	Bracken, Walter ES	5	59%	35%	20.00	20.79	19.54	24.04			
Regular	Clark	Brookman, Eileen B ES	4	61%	24%	21.30	21.08	22.37	28.52			
Regular	Clark	Bruner, Lucile ES	1	100%	25%	20.25	21.04	15.73	38.32			
Regular	Clark	Bryan, Richard H ES	4	48%	11%	26.42	23.58	27.57	26.60			
Regular	Clark	Bryan, Roger M ES	2	56%	20%	28.79	18.76	18.83	21.88			
Regular	Clark	Bunker, Berkeley L ES	2	100%	25%	30.26	14.93	24.35	16.63			
Regular	Clark	Cahlan, Marion ES	4	100%	54%	23.27	20.45	19.92	21.21			
Regular	Clark	Cambeiro, Arturo ES	3	100%	53%	16.96	20.30	17.78	21.06			
Regular	Clark	Carl, Kay ES	2	100%	*	24.69	18.55	17.52	19.79			
Regular	Clark	Carson, Kit ES	2	100%	24%	23.87	16.08	19.67	19.22			
Regular	Clark	Cartwright, Roberta C ES	3	52%	10%	22.38	21.81	20.80	31.43			
Regular	Clark	Christensen, M J ES	4	51%	14%	20.13	22.34	26.97	22.67			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Conners, Eileen ES	4	52%	*	20.70	23.87	20.22	22.53			
Regular	Clark	Cortez, Manuel J ES	2	100%	51%	20.24	24.22	25.71	23.76			
Regular	Clark	Cox, Clyde C ES	2	100%	39%	25.73	22.97	19.42	23.50			
Regular	Clark	Cox, David M ES	3	100%	*	23.59	21.43	22.68	25.36			
Regular	Clark	Cozine, Steve and Linda ES	3	100%	16%	22.76	24.42	24.60	22.15			
Regular	Clark	Craig, Lois ES	2	100%	40%	27.18	26.55	27.39	17.56			
Regular	Clark	Crestwood ES	4	81%	55%	21.67	22.91	22.37	24.32			
Regular	Clark	Culley, Paul E ES	1	100%	41%	20.96	16.96	17.41	20.81			
Regular	Clark	Cunningham, Cynthia ES	1	100%	20%	19.54	18.30	22.12	21.16			
Regular	Clark	Dailey, Jack ES	3	100%	49%	20.98	27.34	19.24	22.54			
Regular	Clark	Darnell, Marshall C ES	3	100%	*	21.07	15.99	15.42	23.34			
Regular	Clark	Dearing, Laura ES	3	100%	35%	24.61	18.00	19.34	17.75			
Regular	Clark	Decker, C H ES	2	100%	30%	23.52	21.49	18.27	29.22			
Regular	Clark	Derfelt, Herbert A ES	3	57%	18%	25.20	24.40	20.25	21.41			
Regular	Clark	Deskin, Ruthe ES	3	100%	18%	18.97	20.77	20.59	31.15			
Regular	Clark	Detwiler, Ollie ES	2	100%	32%	24.92	16.37	13.59	22.30			
Regular	Clark	Diaz, Ruben P ES	4	100%	48%	19.68	26.70	21.71	26.14			
Regular	Clark	Dickens, D L Dusty ES	3	74%	13%	22.11	15.73	17.47	21.32			
Regular	Clark	Diskin, P A ES	4	100%	36%	21.17	19.87	18.45	25.00			
Regular	Clark	Divich, Kenneth ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	23.06	23.19	23.51	22.72			
Regular	Clark	Dondero, Harvey N ES	3	100%	43%	21.60	21.19	23.32	25.45			
Regular	Clark	Dooley, John ES	3	100%	*	20.51	24.11	24.06	20.73			
Regular	Clark	Duncan, Ruby ES	1	68%	*	25.32	15.18	15.25	23.01			
Regular	Clark	Earl, Ira J ES	2	100%	62%	23.32	18.19	17.29	25.60			
Regular	Clark	Earl, Marion B ES	3	63%	20%	22.34	18.53	18.74	18.55			
Regular	Clark	Edwards, Elbert ES	3	100%	45%	21.26	16.39	23.87	24.38			
Regular	Clark	Eisenberg, Dorothy ES	3	100%	12%	22.04	22.23	21.60	25.03			
Regular	Clark	Elizondo, Raul P ES	3	100%	21%	22.15	19.93	22.18	27.39			
Regular	Clark	Ellis, Robert & Sandy ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	28.86	23.69	24.75	14.82			
Regular	Clark	Ferron, William E ES	3	100%	29%	22.07	16.54	18.71	20.49			
Regular	Clark	Fine, Mark L ES	4	46%	15%	22.49	20.34	24.28	26.18			
Regular	Clark	Fitzgerald, H P ES	2	100%	31%	19.93	12.78	12.17	18.31			
Regular	Clark	Fong, Wing and	3	100%	26%	19.35	21.13	20.05	22.89			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Lilly ES										
Regular	Clark	Forbuss, Robert L ES	4	40%	*	21.56	28.69	22.56	25.14			
Regular	Clark	French, Doris ES	4	100%	23%	24.59	34.61	23.37	22.68			
Regular	Clark	Frias, Charles & Phyllis ES	5	31%	*	24.07	20.22	23.66	22.84			
Regular	Clark	Galloway, Fay ES	2	44%	*	21.82	21.17	23.28	25.80			
Regular	Clark	Garehime, Edith ES	2	42%	*	23.81	21.55	23.81	26.16			
Regular	Clark	Gehring, Roger D Acad of Science & Technology ES	5	55%	*		21.07	22.28	24.02			
Regular	Clark	Gibson, James ES	4	100%	*	23.71	24.80	23.75	29.49			
Regular	Clark	Gilbert, CVT ES	4	61%	15%	18.00	16.54	16.40	19.32			
Regular	Clark	Givens, Linda Rankin ES	5	14%	*	21.91	22.78	32.10	23.31			
Regular	Clark	Goldfarb, Daniel ES	2	100%	36%	20.39	25.13	22.51	17.40			
Regular	Clark	Goolsby, Judy & John L ES	4	23%	10%	24.16	27.75	20.75	21.98			
Regular	Clark	Goynes, Theron H & Naomi D ES	4	39%	*	22.26	20.39	22.75	24.38			
Regular	Clark	Gragson, Oran K ES	2	100%	46%	23.91	16.95	25.30	19.47			
Regular	Clark	Gray, R Guild ES	2	100%	30%	27.75	16.98	23.35	29.26			
Regular	Clark	Griffith, E W ES	1	100%	38%	20.64	17.08	16.49	19.09			
Regular	Clark	Guy, Addeliar D III ES	4	100%	16%	24.62	15.37	16.61	21.51			
Regular	Clark	Hancock, Doris ES	1	100%	28%	18.78	13.51	14.10	22.51			
Regular	Clark	Harmon, Harley ES	2	80%	39%	21.47	18.90	20.07	15.14			
Regular	Clark	Harris, George E ES	1	100%	28%	20.77	15.56	21.24	29.24			
Regular	Clark	Hayden, Don E ES	2	66%	12%	19.97	23.83	25.73	26.33			
Regular	Clark	Hayes, Keith C & Karen W ES	3	100%	12%	23.29	28.64	28.47	25.91			
Regular	Clark	Heard, Lomie G ES, A Marzano Academy	2	100%	33%		22.31	22.30	24.87			
Regular	Clark	Heckethorn, Howard E ES	4	29%	*	24.75	20.23	24.25	23.06			
Regular	Clark	Herr, Helen ES	1	78%	32%	22.67	18.08	19.18	29.07			
Regular	Clark	Herron, Fay ES	5	100%	57%	23.27	19.07	24.53	21.69			
Regular	Clark	Hewetson, Halle ES	2	100%	58%	25.59	17.96	17.23	20.33			
Regular	Clark	Hickey, Liliam Lujan ES	2	100%	31%	20.33	18.66	28.93	26.25			
Regular	Clark	Hill, Charlotte ES	2	100%	13%	19.68	26.90	24.72	23.34			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Hinman, Edna F ES	2	100%	19%	18.25	12.40	21.49	17.03			
Regular	Clark	Hoggard, Mabel ES	5	100%	30%	19.07	21.00	23.35	24.33			
Regular	Clark	Hollingsworth, Howard ES	1	100%	50%	26.01	15.64	16.81	18.84			
Regular	Clark	Hummel, John R ES	2	100%	13%	23.93	21.69	25.16	30.22			
Regular	Clark	Indian Springs ES	2	100%	*	16.28	15.28	13.74	13.56			
Regular	Clark	Iverson, Mervin ES	3	100%	25%	22.19	22.20	21.90	27.53			
Regular	Clark	Jacobson, Walter ES	2	58%	18%	24.71	16.07	17.93	16.15			
Regular	Clark	Jeffers, Jay W ES	2	100%	55%	24.00	18.20	17.53	24.99			
Regular	Clark	Jones Blackhurst, Jan L ES	5	28%	*	22.02	23.47	25.60	22.81			
Regular	Clark	Jydstrup, Helen ES	3	100%	24%	22.05	23.74	23.50	23.43			
Regular	Clark	Kahre, Marc ES	2	100%	*	22.85	16.62	16.23	21.17			
Regular	Clark	Katz, Edythe & Lloyd ES	2	100%	17%	18.15	18.39	19.92	23.44			
Regular	Clark	Keller, Charlotte & Jerry ES	1	100%	47%	21.31	19.89	16.92	18.70			
Regular	Clark	Kelly, Matt ES	2	100%	10%	27.99	17.58	18.22	24.61			
Regular	Clark	Kesterson, Lorna J ES	4	47%	*	27.67	24.27	24.36	22.97			
Regular	Clark	Kim, Frank ES	2	69%	22%	17.94	27.43	21.17	30.30			
Regular	Clark	King Jr , Martin Luther ES	1	100%	40%	34.95	25.19	20.75	28.74			
Regular	Clark	King, Martha P ES	4	100%	*				24.82			
Regular	Clark	Lake, Robert E ES	3	100%	47%	14.68	18.05	17.42	20.07			
Regular	Clark	Lamping, Frank ES	5	17%	*	25.92	20.44	22.74	22.40			
Regular	Clark	Lincoln ES	2	100%	60%	25.48	18.80	19.82	21.89			
Regular	Clark	Long, Walter V ES	1	100%	44%	23.26	17.34	15.47	20.17			
Regular	Clark	Lowman, Mary & Zel ES	1	100%	16%	19.19	17.41	14.62	15.45			
Regular	Clark	Lummis, William ES	5	22%	*	23.39	22.65	20.81	21.27			
Regular	Clark	Lunt, Robert ES	2	100%	55%	21.12	19.69	22.04	21.68			
Regular	Clark	Lynch, Ann ES	1	100%	48%	24.13	16.18	25.05	18.77			
Regular	Clark	Mack, Nate ES	3	47%	*	17.55	21.28	24.00	21.82			
Regular	Clark	Mackey, Jo ES	5	70%	19%	21.54	19.56	20.75	23.08			
Regular	Clark	Manch, J E ES	1	100%	20%	25.16	15.88	16.79	21.38			
Regular	Clark	Martinez, Reynaldo L ES	3	100%	39%	22.98	27.60	24.54	25.29			
Regular	Clark	Mathis, Dr. Beverly S ES	5	52%	15%	22.62	21.16	20.08	22.45			
Regular	Clark	May, Ernest ES	5	50%	*	20.06	32.97	21.61	26.46			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	McCall, Quannah ES	1	100%	51%	17.27	16.90	19.07	25.64			
Regular	Clark	McCaw, Gordon ES	5	58%	*	16.37	19.30	23.40	23.13			
Regular	Clark	McDoniel, Estes M ES	5	100%	*	24.96	22.82	22.48	26.33			
Regular	Clark	McMillan, James B ES	3	100%	21%	24.66	22.89	23.40	37.83			
Regular	Clark	McWilliams, J T ES	2	100%	48%	23.18	16.75	16.34	22.70			
Regular	Clark	Mendoza, John F ES	2	77%	44%	25.07	18.37	17.25	22.41			
Regular	Clark	Miller, Sandy Searles ES	3	70%	34%		15.29	18.94	19.43			
Regular	Clark	Mitchell, Andrew ES	Not Rated	100%	*	20.10	20.36	17.27				
Regular	Clark	Moore, William K ES	1	100%	45%	21.85	16.21	19.39	20.58			
Regular	Clark	Morrow, Sue H ES	4	42%	*	23.42	18.00	25.03	24.85			
Regular	Clark	Mountain View ES	1	82%	30%	20.57	17.88	21.24	21.69			
Regular	Clark	Neal, Joseph M ES	2	100%	*	20.99	25.06	25.66	22.63			
Regular	Clark	Newton, Ulis ES	3	100%	*	23.89	18.51	20.20	23.07			
Regular	Clark	NW Career-Technical Academy ES	#N/A	*	*	20.00						
Regular	Clark	O Roarke, Thomas ES	5	26%	*	21.09	21.19	21.99	24.91			
Regular	Clark	Ober, D'Vorre & Hal ES	3	33%	13%	26.05	23.27	32.32	24.72			
Regular	Clark	Ortwein, Dennis ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	18.48	29.56	22.81	21.50			
Regular	Clark	Paradise Prof Dev ES	2	100%	39%	23.18	24.37	23.07	25.37			
Regular	Clark	Park, John S ES	2	100%	40%	20.27	15.93	14.92	20.93			
Regular	Clark	Parson, Claude & Stella ES	1	100%	26%	21.13	11.85	15.50	17.39			
Regular	Clark	Perkins, Dr Claude G ES	2	100%	25%	24.67	33.13	21.45	29.39			
Regular	Clark	Perkins, Ute ES	2	100%	*	21.00	18.09	19.21	22.09			
Regular	Clark	Petersen, Dean ES	1	100%	44%	20.93	18.77	18.49	22.34			
Regular	Clark	Piggott Academy ES	3	31%	11%	21.62	19.58	23.75	22.00			
Regular	Clark	Pittman, Vail ES	3	100%	37%	23.41	20.87	16.89	22.98			
Regular	Clark	Priest, Richard C ES	2	100%	20%	28.08	18.97	14.97	20.67			
Regular	Clark	Red Rock ES	1	100%	34%	22.22	15.59	18.76	19.79			
Regular	Clark	Reed, Doris M ES	1	100%	29%	24.04	16.26	16.23	22.27			



Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Reedom, Carolyn S ES	2	32%	*	25.97	24.89	22.96	28.14			
Regular	Clark	Rhodes, Betsy ES	4	38%	*	22.45	22.62	20.29	23.71			
Regular	Clark	Ries, Aldeane Comito ES	2	42%	11%	22.90	20.46	22.90	20.47			
Regular	Clark	Roberts, Aggie ES	1	100%	11%	20.15	20.29	23.74	27.39			
Regular	Clark	Rogers, Lucille S ES	4	45%	12%	24.41	20.83	22.96	29.68			
Regular	Clark	Ronnow, C C ES	2	100%	50%	19.65	25.12	20.51	19.53			
Regular	Clark	Ronzone, Bertha ES	2	100%	38%	20.60	19.90	19.16	22.27			
Regular	Clark	Roundy, Dr C Owen ES	3	100%	55%	22.06	25.59	21.31	30.07			
Regular	Clark	Rowe, Lewis E ES	4	100%	32%	19.63	18.88	30.69	19.71			
Regular	Clark	Rundle, Richard ES	1	100%	39%	26.88	16.77	22.86	20.26			
Regular	Clark	Sandy Valley ES	1	100%	19%	17.02	17.35	18.00	11.92			
Regular	Clark	Scherkenbach, William & Mary ES	3	100%	*	24.16	21.30	23.11	22.64			
Regular	Clark	Schorr, Steve ES	3	45%	*	20.24	27.92	27.67	22.87			
Regular	Clark	Scott, Jesse D ES	1	76%	17%	19.98	15.94	18.16	17.39			
Regular	Clark	Sewell, C T ES	3	100%	*	19.58	17.47	18.49	26.99			
Regular	Clark	Simmons, Eva G ES	3	60%	13%	23.53	23.01	24.39	22.62			
Regular	Clark	Smalley, James E & A Rae ES	5	12%	*	22.35	23.08	23.56	25.86			
Regular	Clark	Smith, Hal ES	1	100%	24%	27.46	16.10	15.71	22.69			
Regular	Clark	Smith, Helen M ES	5	100%	17%	28.15	18.64	25.98	34.10			
Regular	Clark	Snyder, Don & Dee ES	3	42%	*	23.36	23.85	21.45	26.88			
Regular	Clark	Snyder, William E ES	3	100%	42%	22.55	14.92	18.79	16.87			
Regular	Clark	Squires, C P ES	3	100%	63%	24.07	20.23	18.73	21.27			
Regular	Clark	Stanford ES	2	100%	42%	19.24	21.38	23.46	18.61			
Regular	Clark	Staton, Ethel W ES	4	20%	*	18.95	24.29	21.16	24.66			
Regular	Clark	Steele, Judith D ES	4	32%	12%	24.24	25.32	17.62	25.91			
Regular	Clark	Stevens, Josh ES	4	57%	11%	23.55	23.29	20.75	26.40			
Regular	Clark	Stuckey, Evelyn ES	4	32%	*	23.95	21.51	26.83	22.66			
Regular	Clark	Sunrise Acres ES	3	100%	53%	22.94	18.17	20.35	19.35			
Regular	Clark	Tanaka, Wayne N ES	2	50%	*	25.21	22.99	28.39	19.89			
Regular	Clark	Tarr, Sheila Academy of Int'l Studies ES	3	40%	*	20.55	19.20	19.51	23.01			
Regular	Clark	Tartan, John ES	1	100%	13%	22.52	15.16	20.32	20.51			
Regular	Clark	Tate, Myrtle ES	3	100%	43%	22.32	18.79	15.84	26.01			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Taylor, Glen C ES	5	22%	*	20.32	20.57	21.30	30.21			
Regular	Clark	Taylor, Robert L ES	1	100%	*	26.57	17.70	21.00	33.35			
Regular	Clark	Thiriot, Joseph E ES	4	100%	32%	24.78	19.01	21.05	28.75			
Regular	Clark	Thomas, Ruby S ES	2	100%	46%	29.78	19.55	15.68	19.60			
Regular	Clark	Thompson, Sandra L ES	4	40%	*	25.83	19.64	20.98	35.49			
Regular	Clark	Thorpe, Jim ES	2	69%	17%	20.27	15.75	14.39	28.09			
Regular	Clark	Tobler, R E ES	3	100%	19%	17.76	17.69	18.34	20.96			
Regular	Clark	Tomiyasu, Bill Y ES	2	58%	20%	24.44	19.68	18.80	19.07			
Regular	Clark	Treem, Harriet ES	2	100%	14%	19.29	16.34	19.07	27.85			
Regular	Clark	Triggs, Vincent L ES	2	100%	*	21.10	21.06	22.08	26.72			
Regular	Clark	Twin Lakes ES	2	100%	58%	19.32	18.09	18.01	20.98			
Regular	Clark	Twitchell, Neil C ES	5	20%	*	26.42	21.71	25.27	22.31			
Regular	Clark	Ullom, J M ES	2	100%	36%	27.11	20.29	18.15	22.36			
Regular	Clark	Vanderburg, John ES	5	10%	*	24.10	19.97	32.56	23.77			
Regular	Clark	Vassiliadis, Billy & Rosemary ES	5	*	*	21.52	23.76	26.79	28.21			
Regular	Clark	Vegas Verdes ES	2	100%	42%	22.33	19.17	22.96	24.23			
Regular	Clark	Virgin Valley ES	4	62%	22%	23.49	18.27	21.00	22.07			
Regular	Clark	Walker, J Marlan Int'l School ES	4	26%	*	26.13	19.29	21.86	25.93			
Regular	Clark	Wallin, Shirley & Bill ES	4	10%	*	24.70	18.00	20.59	25.34			
Regular	Clark	Ward, Gene ES	2	100%	41%	23.22	19.42	17.93	18.21			
Regular	Clark	Ward, Kitty McDonough ES	4	33%	*	25.06	20.85	20.84	26.30			
Regular	Clark	Warren, Rose ES	2	100%	50%	19.52	19.35	18.06	20.19			
Regular	Clark	Wasden, Howard ES	2	100%	23%	24.50	19.60	21.07	24.41			
Regular	Clark	Watson, Fredric W ES	1	71%	18%	15.10	16.61	12.44	27.11			
Regular	Clark	Wengert, Cyril ES	3	100%	43%	21.20	24.80	21.75	21.29			
Regular	Clark	West Prep ES	3	86%	53%	19.13	16.39	15.18	16.90			
Regular	Clark	Whitney ES	1	100%	23%	18.75	13.68	18.45	23.21			
Regular	Clark	Wiener, Jr , Louis ES	4	100%	13%	19.62	26.84	27.47	29.91			
Regular	Clark	Wilhelm, Elizabeth ES	2	100%	18%	22.06	19.94	26.83	22.63			
Regular	Clark	Williams, Tom ES	3	100%	61%	22.18	17.97	17.36	19.93			
Regular	Clark	Williams, Wendell ES	1	100%	17%	15.85	18.43	15.64	16.22			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Clark	Wolfe, Eva ES	1	100%	17%	22.19	18.07	22.90	15.29			
Regular	Clark	Wolff, Elise L ES	3	15%	*	24.75	21.93	22.55	24.24			
Regular	Clark	Woolley, Gwendolyn ES	2	100%	38%	25.72	21.16	12.22	26.54			
Regular	Clark	Wright, William V ES	4	34%	*	20.26	22.53	22.14	25.35			
Regular	Clark	Wynn, Elaine ES	1	100%	53%	26.81	21.94	24.44	25.82			
Regular	Esmeralda	Dyer Elementary	1	52%	30%	14.27	18.18	9.09	21.21	21.21	24.24	18.18
Regular	Esmeralda	Goldfield Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	9.09	3.03	15.15	9.09	0.00	5.27	14.00
Regular	Esmeralda	Silver Peak Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	5.00	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.60
Regular	Lander	Austin Combined Schools	Not Rated	*	*	3.45	3.48	3.56			3.45	
Regular	Lander	Battle Mountain Elementary School	4	34%	10%	23.10	21.20	21.70	18.08			
Regular	Lincoln	Caliente Elem	4	64%	*	14.00	10.00	13.00	16.00			
Regular	Lincoln	Panaca Elem	5	46%	*	19.00	20.41	16.00	17.00			
Regular	Lincoln	Pioche Elem	3	51%	*	14.06	13.89	8.00	14.00			
Regular	Lincoln	PVES	2	42%	*	22.00	24.00	12.00	17.00			
Regular	Pershing	Imlay Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	21.65		22.22	20.15			
Regular	Pershing	Imlay Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A		23.08					
Regular	Pershing	Lovelock Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A		16.45					
Regular	Pershing	Lovelock Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	14.90						
Regular	Pershing	Lovelock Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A			19.19	21.38			
Regular	Washoe	Alice Smith Elementary	2	60%	23%	18.32	17.30	16.02	18.38			
Regular	Washoe	Allen Elementary	2	100%	38%	16.88	16.07	15.47	18.60			
Regular	Washoe	Anderson Elementary	1	100%	43%	19.11	14.63	15.64	16.97			
Regular	Washoe	Beasley Elementary	3	20%	*	20.14	18.61	16.88	20.58			
Regular	Washoe	Beck Elementary	5	24%	11%	19.65	18.91	20.76	20.50			
Regular	Washoe	Bennett Elementary	2	70%	30%	21.27	13.91	14.37	20.12			
Regular	Washoe	Booth Elementary	1	100%	33%	15.90	15.59	15.86	20.28			
Regular	Washoe	Brown Elementary	5	10%	*	21.73	17.68	16.98	19.62			
Regular	Washoe	Cannan Elementary	1	100%	39%	14.35	14.37	14.90	15.98			
Regular	Washoe	Caughlin Ranch Elementary	5	*	*	23.44	20.03	19.67	24.86			
Regular	Washoe	Corbett Elementary	2	100%	57%	14.80	17.32	12.58	17.00			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Washoe	Desert Heights Elementary	1	100%	24%	19.64	14.59	15.41	17.35			
Regular	Washoe	Diedrichsen Elementary	4	39%	*	19.43	19.31	17.17	22.64			
Regular	Washoe	Dodson Elementary	2	61%	28%	20.09	14.90	14.40	15.99			
Regular	Washoe	Donner Springs Elementary	2	53%	21%	21.08	13.33	20.05	15.52			
Regular	Washoe	Double Diamond Elementary	4	22%	10%	23.50	17.28	16.00	17.35			
Regular	Washoe	Drake Elementary	2	67%	28%	19.84	14.08	12.92	16.46			
Regular	Washoe	Duncan Elementary	1	100%	51%	19.03	14.59	13.95	18.28			
Regular	Washoe	Dunn Elementary	3	53%	22%	18.45	17.65	20.78	23.82			
Regular	Washoe	Elmcrest Elementary	2	63%	18%	15.53	15.01	13.62	14.69			
Regular	Washoe	Gomes Elementary	2	39%	*	21.55	16.89	15.55	19.74			
Regular	Washoe	Gomm Elementary	5	*	*	19.94	19.75	15.42	23.61			
Regular	Washoe	Greenbrae Elementary	2	100%	45%	17.65	14.21	16.04	15.28			
Regular	Washoe	Hall Elementary	3	27%	*	21.30	18.16	16.90	19.98			
Regular	Washoe	Hidden Valley Elementary	3	46%	12%	20.63	20.77	17.39	16.89			
Regular	Washoe	Huffaker Elementary	3	25%	*	12.56	17.59	16.93	18.54			
Regular	Washoe	Hunsberger Elementary	5	*	*	22.98	16.53	17.40	24.07			
Regular	Washoe	Hunter Lake Elementary	5	42%	*	17.30	18.91	14.86	19.22			
Regular	Washoe	Incline Elementary	2	32%	38%	20.56	10.13	14.45	14.17			
Regular	Washoe	Juniper Elementary	4	45%	18%	19.02	18.40	17.09	18.54			
Regular	Washoe	Kate Smith Elementary	2	100%	55%	20.57	14.01	16.92	17.58			
Regular	Washoe	Lemelson Elementary	3	100%	38%	23.35	11.49	17.68	14.61			
Regular	Washoe	Lemmon Valley Elementary	3	54%	26%	21.87	17.45	15.78	19.59			
Regular	Washoe	Lenz Elementary	5	*	*	19.66	18.53	17.10	19.61			
Regular	Washoe	Lincoln Park Elementary	2	100%	38%	21.33	13.30	14.88	16.22			
Regular	Washoe	Loder Elementary	1	100%	60%	18.50	14.79	17.27	17.50			
Regular	Washoe	Mathews Elementary	1	100%	49%	21.27	15.77	14.15	16.81			
Regular	Washoe	Maxwell Elementary	3	100%	32%	16.31	17.00	21.09	22.91			

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Regular	Washoe	Melton Elementary	5	*	*	21.25	17.12	18.15	21.71			
Regular	Washoe	Mitchell Elementary	2	100%	38%	19.99	13.99	14.40	16.96			
Regular	Washoe	Moss Elementary	3	37%	16%	18.89	16.83	19.14	19.66			
Regular	Washoe	Mount Rose Elementary	4	37%	*	23.81	18.13	16.71	23.17			
Regular	Washoe	Natchez Elementary	1	100%	*	14.94	10.85	9.78	9.66			
Regular	Washoe	Palmer Elementary	2	67%	33%	15.48	15.38	14.33	16.80			
Regular	Washoe	Peavine Elementary	3	43%	12%	18.11	18.60	22.18	19.21			
Regular	Washoe	Pleasant Valley Elementary	3	#N/A	#N/A	20.00	18.25	18.04	24.22			
Regular	Washoe	Risley Elementary	3	100%	48%	17.07	17.48	20.28	20.88			
Regular	Washoe	Sepulveda Elementary	3	25%	12%	22.63	18.27	17.28	24.36			
Regular	Washoe	Silver Lake Elementary	3	43%	19%	14.28	18.19	16.80	20.33			
Regular	Washoe	Smithridge Elementary	2	100%	51%	18.08	15.27	15.48	17.60			
Regular	Washoe	Spanish Springs Elementary	2	12%	*	18.84	16.01	15.40	20.14			
Regular	Washoe	Stead Elementary	1	65%	27%	22.65	15.59	14.82	17.10			
Regular	Washoe	Sun Valley Elementary	2	100%	52%	21.14	15.56	15.85	18.63			
Regular	Washoe	Taylor Elementary	5	18%	*	19.90	22.18	19.09	19.77			
Regular	Washoe	Towles Elementary	3	43%	*	15.20	16.56	20.09	21.78			
Regular	Washoe	Van Gorder Elementary	5	*	*	21.74	15.74	18.75	22.24			
Regular	Washoe	Verdi Elementary	4	16%	*	19.89	18.99	14.93	17.21			
Regular	Washoe	Veterans Elementary	2	100%	43%	19.52	11.03	14.95	16.13			
Regular	Washoe	Warner Elementary	2	65%	17%	20.83	16.30	12.30	11.81			
Regular	Washoe	Westergard Elementary	4	16%	*	20.81	17.86	17.36	22.93			
Regular	Washoe	Whitehead Elementary	3	26%	*	20.74	14.01	16.47	22.44			
Regular	Washoe	Winnemucca Elementary	3	35%	10%	21.22	18.35	18.73	21.94			

## CSR School Variance Justifications Q3 FY19

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Bordewich Bray Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Empire Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Fremont Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Fritsch Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Mark Twain Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Carson</b>	Seeliger Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Churchill</b>	EC Best Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Churchill</b>	Lahontan Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Churchill</b>	Numa Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	C.C. Meneley Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Gardnerville Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Gene Scarselli Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Jacks Valley Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Minden Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Pinon Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Douglas</b>	Zephyr Cove Elementary			X	
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Carlin Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Elko Grammar #2	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Flagview Intermediate	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Jackpot Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Mountain View Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Northside Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Owyhee Elementary				
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Sage Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Southside Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Spring Creek Elementary	X	X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	Wells Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Elko</b>	West Wendover Elementary				
Alt.	<b>Eureka</b>	Crescent Valley Elementary				
Alt.	<b>Eureka</b>	Eureka Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Denio Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	French Ford Middle School			X	
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Grass Valley Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Kings River Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	McDermitt Combined School				

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Orovada Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Paradise Valley Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Sonoma Heights Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Humboldt</b>	Winnemucca Grammar School			X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Cottonwood Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Dayton Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	East Valley Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Fernley Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Fernley Intermediate	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Riverview Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Silver Stage Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Silver Stage Middle	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Smith Valley				
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Sutro Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Yerington Elementary	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Lyon</b>	Yerington Intermediate				
Alt.	<b>Mineral</b>	Hawthorne Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Mineral</b>	Schurz Elementary		X		
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Amargosa Valley Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Beatty Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Duckwater Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Floyd Elementary School	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Gabbs Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Hafen Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	JG Johnson Elementary School	X	X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Manse Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Round Mountain Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Tonopah Elementary School		X	X	
Alt.	<b>Nye</b>	Warm Springs Elementary School				
Alt.	<b>Storey</b>	Hillside Elementary School			X	
Alt.	<b>Storey</b>	Hugh Gallagher Elementary School			X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Alt.	<b>White Pine</b>	Baker Elementary				
Alt.	<b>White Pine</b>	D.E. Norman Elementary				
Alt.	<b>White Pine</b>	Lund Elementary				
Alt.	<b>White Pine</b>	McGill Elementary				
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Adams, Kirk ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Adcock, O K ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Alamo, Tony ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Allen, Dean LaMar ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Antonello, Lee ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bailey, Sister Robert Joseph ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Barber, Shirley A ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bartlett, Selma F ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bass, John C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Batterman, Kathy L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Beatty, John R ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Beckley, Will ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bell, Rex ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bendorf, Patricia A ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bennett, William G ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Berkley, Shelley ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bilbray, James ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bonner, John W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Booker, Kermit R Sr ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bowler, Grant ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bowler, Joseph L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bozarth, Henry & Evelyn ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bracken, Walter ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Brookman, Eileen B ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bruner, Lucile ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bryan, Richard H ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bryan, Roger M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Bunker, Berkeley L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Cahlan, Marion ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Cambeiro, Arturo ES	X	X	X	



Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Clark	Carl, Kay ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Carson, Kit ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cartwright, Roberta C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Christensen, M J ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Conners, Eileen ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cortez, Manuel J ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cox, Clyde C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cox, David M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cozine, Steve and Linda ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Craig, Lois ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Crestwood ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Culley, Paul E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Cunningham, Cynthia ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Dailey, Jack ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Darnell, Marshall C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Dearing, Laura ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Decker, C H ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Derfelt, Herbert A ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Deskin, Ruthe ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Detwiler, Ollie ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Diaz, Ruben P ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Dickens, D L Dusty ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Diskin, P A ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Divich, Kenneth ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Dondero, Harvey N ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Dooley, John ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Duncan, Ruby ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Earl, Ira J ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Earl, Marion B ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Edwards, Elbert ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Eisenberg, Dorothy ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Elizondo, Raul P ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ellis, Robert & Sandy ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ferron, William E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Fine, Mark L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Fitzgerald, H P ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Fong, Wing and Lilly ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Forbuss, Robert L ES	X	X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Clark	French, Doris ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Frias, Charles & Phyllis ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Galloway, Fay ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Garehime, Edith ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Gehring, Roger D Acad of Science & Technology ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Gibson, James ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Gilbert, CVT ES	X	X		
Regular	Clark	Givens, Linda Rankin ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Goldfarb, Daniel ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Goolsby, Judy & John L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Goynes, Theron H & Naomi D ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Gragson, Oran K ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Gray, R Guild ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Griffith, E W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Guy, Addeliar D III ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hancock, Doris ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Harmon, Harley ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Harris, George E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hayden, Don E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hayes, Keith C & Karen W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Heard, Lomie G ES, A Marzano Academy	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Heckethorn, Howard E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Herr, Helen ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Herron, Fay ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hewetson, Halle ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hickey, Liliam Lujan ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hill, Charlotte ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hinman, Edna F ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hoggard, Mabel ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hollingsworth, Howard ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Hummel, John R ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Indian Springs ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Iverson, Mervin ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Jacobson, Walter ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Jeffers, Jay W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Jones Blackhurst, Jan L ES	X	X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Clark	Jydstrup, Helen ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Kahre, Marc ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Katz, Edythe & Lloyd ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Keller, Charlotte & Jerry ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Kelly, Matt ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Kesterson, Lorna J ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Kim, Frank ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	King Jr , Martin Luther ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	King, Martha P ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lake, Robert E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lamping, Frank ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lincoln ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Long, Walter V ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lowman, Mary & Zel ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lummis, William ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lunt, Robert ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Lynch, Ann ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mack, Nate ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mackey, Jo ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Manch, J E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Martinez, Reynaldo L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mathis, Dr. Beverly S ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	May, Ernest ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	McCall, Quannah ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	McCaw, Gordon ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	McDoniel, Estes M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	McMillan, James B ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	McWilliams, J T ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mendoza, John F ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Miller, Sandy Searles ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mitchell, Andrew ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Moore, William K ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Morrow, Sue H ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Mountain View ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Neal, Joseph M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Newton, Ulis ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	NW Career-Technical Academy ES	X	X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Clark	O Roarke, Thomas ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ober, D'Vorre & Hal ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ortwein, Dennis ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Paradise Prof Dev ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Park, John S ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Parson, Claude & Stella ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Perkins, Dr Claude G ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Perkins, Ute ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Petersen, Dean ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Piggott Academy ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Pittman, Vail ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Priest, Richard C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Red Rock ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Reed, Doris M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Reedom, Carolyn S ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Rhodes, Betsy ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ries, Aldeane Comito ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Roberts, Aggie ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Rogers, Lucille S ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ronnow, C C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ronzone, Bertha ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Roundy, Dr C Owen ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Rowe, Lewis E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Rundle, Richard ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Sandy Valley ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Scherkenbach, William & Mary ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Schorr, Steve ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Scott, Jesse D ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Sewell, C T ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Simmons, Eva G ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Smalley, James E & A Rae ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Smith, Hal ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Smith, Helen M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Snyder, Don & Dee ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Snyder, William E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Squires, C P ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Stanford ES	X	X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Clark	Staton, Ethel W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Steele, Judith D ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Stevens, Josh ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Stuckey, Evelyn ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Sunrise Acres ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tanaka, Wayne N ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tarr, Sheila Academy of Int'l Studies ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tartan, John ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tate, Myrtle ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Taylor, Glen C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Taylor, Robert L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Thirirot, Joseph E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Thomas, Ruby S ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Thompson, Sandra L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Thorpe, Jim ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tobler, R E ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Tomiyasu, Bill Y ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Treem, Harriet ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Triggs, Vincent L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Twin Lakes ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Twitchell, Neil C ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ullom, J M ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Vanderburg, John ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Vassiliadis, Billy & Rosemary ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Vegas Verdes ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Virgin Valley ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Walker, J Marlan Int'l School ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Wallin, Shirley & Bill ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ward, Gene ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Ward, Kitty McDonough ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Warren, Rose ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Wasden, Howard ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Watson, Fredric W ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Wengert, Cyril ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	West Prep ES	X	X	X	
Regular	Clark	Whitney ES	X	X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wiener, Jr , Louis ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wilhelm, Elizabeth ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Williams, Tom ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Williams, Wendell ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wolfe, Eva ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wolff, Elise L ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Woolley, Gwendolyn ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wright, William V ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Clark</b>	Wynn, Elaine ES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Esmeralda</b>	Dyer Elementary				
Regular	<b>Esmeralda</b>	Goldfield Elementary				
Regular	<b>Esmeralda</b>	Silver Peak Elementary				
Regular	<b>Lander</b>	Austin Combined Schools				
Regular	<b>Lander</b>	Battle Mountain Elementary School	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Lincoln</b>	Caliente Elem				
Regular	<b>Lincoln</b>	Panaca Elem	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Lincoln</b>	Pioche Elem				
Regular	<b>Lincoln</b>	PVES	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Pershing</b>	Imlay Elementary				
Regular	<b>Pershing</b>	Imlay Elementary				
Regular	<b>Pershing</b>	Lovelock Elementary				
Regular	<b>Pershing</b>	Lovelock Elementary				
Regular	<b>Pershing</b>	Lovelock Elementary				
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Alice Smith Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Allen Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Anderson Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Beasley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Beck Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Bennett Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Booth Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Brown Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Cannan Elementary				
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Caughlin Ranch Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Corbett Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Desert Heights Elementary		X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	Washoe	Diedrichsen Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Dodson Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Donner Springs Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Double Diamond Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Drake Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Duncan Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Dunn Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Elmcrest Elementary				
Regular	Washoe	Gomes Elementary	X	X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Gomm Elementary	X	X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Greenbrae Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Hall Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Hidden Valley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Huffaker Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Hunsberger Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Hunter Lake Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Incline Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Juniper Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Kate Smith Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Lemelson Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Lemmon Valley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Lenz Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Lincoln Park Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Loder Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Mathews Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Maxwell Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Melton Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Mitchell Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Moss Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Mount Rose Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Natchez Elementary				
Regular	Washoe	Palmer Elementary				
Regular	Washoe	Peavine Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Pleasant Valley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Risley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Sepulveda Elementary	X	X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Silver Lake Elementary		X	X	
Regular	Washoe	Smithridge Elementary		X	X	

Plan	District	School Name	Facility Limitation	Difficulty Hiring	Funding Limitation	Other
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Spanish Springs Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Stead Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Sun Valley Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Taylor Elementary	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Towles Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Van Gorder Elementary	X	X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Verdi Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Veterans Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Warner Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Westergard Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Whitehead Elementary		X	X	
Regular	<b>Washoe</b>	Winnemucca Elementary		X	X	