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## MEMORANDUM

TO: Felicia Ortiz, President<br>Nevada State Board of Education

FROM: Heidi Haartz, Deputy Superintendent for Student Investment
DATE: April 1, 2022
SUBJECT: Report to the State Board of Education: Class Size Reduction Variances and Justifications, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter Fiscal Year 2022 (October 1, 2021 - December 31, 2021)

## Introduction

Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 388.700 outlines requirements intended for the reduction of student to teacher ratios for kindergarten through third grade through the development of annual Class Size Reduction (CSR) plans developed at the district level, and various quarterly, annual, and biennial reporting requirements; charter schools are excluded from these requirements. The Nevada Department of Education (NDE) submits a report on a quarterly basis to the Nevada State Board of Education (State Board) summarizing CSR efforts and the quarterly variance requests for approval. Per NRS 388.700(5), the State Board must then submit a report to the Interim Finance Committee on each variance requested by a school district, by school and justification.

With the implementation of the Pupil-Centered Funding Plan, funds previously identified for CSR were rolled into the adjusted base per-pupil funding amount, which allows districts flexibility in the allocation of funding to meet the needs of their students and school communities.

There are two types of CSR plans and ratios in use for the 2021-2023 biennium: regular and alternative. School districts are required to report on a quarterly basis the average daily enrollment of pupils and the number of licensed teachers designated to teach on a full-time basis in classes where core curriculum is taught, broken down by school, grade level, and classroom configuration. Per NRS 388.700, only licensed personnel teaching core curriculum classes may be counted for the class size ratio calculation; teachers of art, music, physical education, special education, librarians, and specialists may not be included for calculation purposes.

Each school that exceeds their target pupil-teacher ratio must request a variance for the next quarter of the school year, which the Nevada State Board of Education may approve for good cause. Good cause may include, but is not limited to, facility limitations, difficulty hiring, or funding limitations. Each variance must include the justification for the variance and a plan of action specific to that school to reduce the class size ratio, per Assembly Bill 204 (2019).

Class size ratios under the regular and alternative plan are as follows:

| Regular Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As prescribed in NRS 388.700(1) |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | First Grade | Second Grade | Third Grade |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 : 1}$ |
| Alternative Plan |  |  |  |
| As prescribed in NRS 388.720(2) |  |  |  |
| Available for counties with populations less than 100,000 |  |  |  |
| Fifth-sixth grades within elementary schools only |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | First-Third Grades | Fourth Grade | Fifth-Sixth Grades |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 : 1}$ |

## Enrollment

According to the Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22) quarter two (Q2) average daily enrollment (ADE) report, enrollment increased by 5,612 students: 4,480 at the district level and 1,132 in charter schools across all grade levels for a total enrollment of 478,292 students. Of this enrollment, approximately $29 \%$, or 138,959 students, are in grades Kindergarten through third.


More specifically, Q2 district enrollment for K-3 was 117,423 students per Q2 ADE reporting, with 117,000 students reported in the Q2 CSR report. Based on Q2 ADE reporting and the Q2 CSR educator count of 5,962 district K-3 teachers, there was an average statewide district class size ratio of 19.7. This is a 0.01 decrease due in large part to an increase of $125 \mathrm{~K}-3$ educators over Q1 CSR reporting.

Districts on alternative plans also submit enrollment and educator counts for grades 4-6, however, only if those grades are within an elementary school. Because this data is not statewide, nor inclusive of all grades 4-6 within a given district, the averages may not be meaningful reflections of ratios or the barriers to target ratios across the state. Per the Q2 ADE report, district enrollment for grades 4-6 is 91,685 students. Within the Q2 CSR report, 8,496 students were reported for grades 4-6, along with 383 educators, for an average class size ratio of 22.


## Variance Requests

In FY21, Senate Bill (SB) 555 (2019) made a temporary allowance for CSR ratios under the regular plan: first and second grade were increased to $17: 1$ and third grade increased to $20: 1$. Since two of the largest districts are on the regular plan (Clark and Washoe), SB 555 created a decrease in variance requests through the 2020-2021 biennium. However, SB 555 expired effective FY22, returning ratios within the regular plan for K-2 to 16:1 and third grade to $18: 1$, and creating a commensurate increase in variances.


In Q4 of FY21, there were a total of 794 variance requests; variances increased by 176 for a total of 970
variance requests in Q1 of FY22 in large part due to SB 555 (2019). Moving from Q1 of FY22 to Q2, variances increased by 82 requests, from 970 to 1052.


Of the 376 reporting elementary schools, 346 requested one or more variances - approximately $92 \%$ of all elementary schools across Nevada. $44 \%$, or 164 of the reporting elementary schools have a 1 - or 2 -star rating, of which 150 have variance requests $-91 \%$ of all 1- and 2 -star schools, and $40 \%$ of all elementary schools statewide.

| Count of Variances by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | K | Total |
| Carson | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Churchill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Clark | 166 | 178 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 742 |
| Douglas | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 17 |
| Elko | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 21 |
| Eureka | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Humboldt | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| Lander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Lincoln | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Lyon | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 21 |
| Mineral | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Nye | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 19 |
| Pershing | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Storey | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Washoe | 42 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 186 |
| White Pine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 2}$ |

The greatest increase in variance requests was in third grade by 30 variances, then first grade by 27 , second grade by 17, and Kindergarten by 9. While Kindergarten has consistently led variance requests, in previous years first or second grade often had the highest number of variances thereafter. Third grade variances increased $13 \%$ from Q1 to Q2 of FY22, charting a $59 \%$ growth between school years. This is likely due to the shift in ratios between the 2019-2021 and 2021-2023 biennia.

295 of the reporting elementary schools, or $78 \%$, have a free-and-reduced-price lunch (FRL) percentage of $50 \%$ or greater. Of those schools, $74 \%$ have variance requests equivalent to $58 \%$ of all elementary schools. $23 \%$, or 84 of the reporting elementary schools have an English learner (EL) population of $15 \%$ or more. 60 of those schools - $72 \%$ - have a variance request, accounting for $16 \%$ of elementary schools across Nevada.


| Variances by the Numbers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $92 \%$ of elementary schools | $40 \%$ of elementary <br> requested a variance | $58 \%$ of elementary <br> star have a 1- or 2- <br> schools have an FRL <br> percentage of 50\% or requested <br> a variance | $16 \%$ of elementary <br> schools have an EL <br> scopulation of $15 \%$ or requested a <br> variance |  |
| more and requested a <br> variance |  |  |  |  |

Districts may report one or more reasons for their variance request including: facility limitations, difficulty hiring, funding limitations, or other. $98 \%$ of all variance requests cited funding limitations, most often describing insufficient funds to build classrooms, hire competitively, and/or generally expand programs, linking closely with cited issues of difficulty hiring and facility limitations. $97 \%$ of variance requests cited difficulty hiring, reflective of the increase in teacher shortages due to retirement, attrition, and decreases in pipeline recruitment and retention. $77 \%$ of variance justification cited facility limitations, which typically reflect a lack of space to provide physical classrooms. The two cases of "other cause" cited unexpected enrollment growth.

Count of Variance Justifications


## Class Size Ratios

In FY22 Q2, 14 districts exceeded the target class size ratio for one or more grades at the district level and required district variances. All of those requests included Kindergarten. Additionally, districts submitted three requests for first grade, four requests for second grade, and three requests for third grade.

| District Average Class Size Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| District | Plan | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | District Variance |
| Carson | Alternative | 20.33 | 21.50 | 20.50 | 21.50 | 20.17 | NA | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 3}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Churchill | Alternative | 20.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 25 | 25 | NA | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Clark | Regular | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 7}$ | 21.10 | NA | NA | NA | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Douglas | Alternative | 20.14 | 21.00 | 20.29 | 21.25 | 23.50 | 3.00 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5 7}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Elko | Alternative | 17.17 | 18.08 | 21.25 | 21.33 | 22.33 | 19.40 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Esmeralda | Regular | 9.33 | 4.67 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 4.67 | 8.33 | 7.67 |  |
| Eureka | Alternative | 16.50 | 17.00 | 17.50 | 12 | 13.50 | 21.50 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Humboldt | Alternative | 15.13 | 14.50 | 15.86 | 16.86 | 14.80 | 13 | 14.25 |  |
| Lander | Alternative | 15.50 | 15 | 15 | 18.00 | NA | NA | 15.50 |  |
| Lincoln | Regular | 14.50 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 5}$ | 12.25 | 14.25 | 17.75 | 16.25 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 5}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Lyon | Alternative | 21.33 | 20.67 | 21.33 | 21.44 | 22.71 | 24.43 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 9}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Mineral | Alternative | 18.00 | 22.00 | 19.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Nye | Alternative | 16.60 | 19.00 | 17.40 | 20.00 | 21.20 | NA | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Pershing | Regular | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 0}$ | 16.50 | 18.50 | 10.00 | NA | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Storey | Alternative | 15 | 17.00 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5 0}$ | 24.00 | 22.00 | NA | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 0}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Washoe | Regular | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 2}$ | NA | NA | NA | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 7}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| White Pine | Alternative | 16.33 | 20.33 | 18.75 | 17.50 | 18.25 | 19.00 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 3}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

Statewide calculations for average class size ratios are difficult to assess, as differing target ratios, population densities, and reporting schools within the data set create distinct contexts that may not be encapsulated in a single number. Since districts are required to report for all K-3 classrooms, average statewide class size ratios were calculated for grades Kindergarten through third using a weighted average based on the representative district's population per the FY22 Q2 ADE report. It is important to note that Kindergarten is the only grade that all districts share a target ratio for: 16:1. Approximately $88 \%$ of students in grades 1-3 are on the regular CSR plan, with targets of $16: 1$ and 18:1. The remaining $12 \%$ of students in grades 13 , across 12 districts, are on the alternative CSR plan with targets of 22:1. The average Kindergarten classroom in Nevada is nearly 5 students over the target ratio. Due to
 the differing targets for grades $1-3$, it is difficult to assess the number of students over/under target in grades 1-3 based on the statewide averages. Please refer to the district averages for this assessment.

| Statewide Average Class Ratios |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| 20.48 | 18.48 | 18.89 | 20.67 |

Please further note that as only $8 \%$ of grades 4-6 are reported for class size reduction purposes, the district average ratios are a limited picture of the actual average class sizes for these grades.

In alignment with reporting requirements under AB 266 (2021) that board of trustees determine the number of job vacancies based on the number of licensed teachers needed to achieve the recommended ratios of pupils per licensed teacher. This report includes information related to the total number of students by grade and district that exceed the recommended ratio, along with an estimate of the number of additional classrooms needed to meet the recommended ratio. This report refers to classrooms rather than educators for two reasons: first, AB 266 requires that positions held by full-time substitute teachers be considered vacancies for the purposes of its report; however, CSR reporting does include full-time substitute teachers in its count. As such, district reporting under AB 266 may be higher than the classroom needs expressed in this report. In addition, addressing classrooms acknowledges that $77 \%$ of schools reported physical limitations for class size reduction. This data is reported below at the statewide level, with district specific data reported in each section within the table "CSR Ratio Surplus".

## Carson City School District

Carson City School District (Carson City SD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Carson City SD requested variances for each of its elementary schools; every school requested a variance for Kindergarten, with the highest ratio being $23: 1$ and the lowest 19:1. A variance was requested for grades one, two, and three at Bordewich Bray Elementary and an additional third grade variance at Empire Elementary for a ratio of 24:1. Carson City SD cited facilities limitations - no room to place classrooms - and difficulty recruiting and retaining teachers under their variance justifications.

## District Overview

| Carson City SD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 22 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 19 | 17 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 18 |
| Average class size ratio | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 7}$ |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 118 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| Classrooms | 7 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sim 8$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bordewich Bray Elementary | 21 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 3 | $48.72 \%$ | $12.95 \%$ |
| Empire Elementary | 19 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 3 | $100 \%$ | $12.26 \%$ |
| Fremont Elementary | 23 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 3 | $100 \%$ | $16.41 \%$ |
| Fritsch Elementary | 19 | 21 | 21 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 4 | $38.10 \%$ | $8.97 \%$ |
| Mark Twain Elementary | 22 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 4 | $100 \%$ | $14.53 \%$ |
| Seeliger Elementary | 21 | 22 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 5 | $30.87 \%$ | $12.89 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | NA | $\mathbf{6 9 . 6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |

## Churchill County School District

Churchill County School District (Churchill CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Churchill CSD requested both a district and school-level variance for Kindergarten. Due to the smaller size of Churchill CSD, Kindergarten is only offered at one school: Lahontan Elementary School (ES). At Lahontan ES, Churchill CSD cited facilities limitations and difficulty hiring. A variance was also requested for Numa ES in fourth and fifth grades, which exceeded the target ratios by a fractional amount.

## District Overview

| Churchill CSD | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 25 | 25 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 25 | 25 |


| Average class size ratio | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 25 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 49 |
| Classrooms | 2.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0 | $\sim 3$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL \% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EC Best Elementary School |  | 21 | 21 |  |  |  | NA | $100 \%$ | $19.81 \%$ |
| Lahontan Elementary School | 20 |  |  |  |  | 19 | NA | $100 \%$ | $16.02 \%$ |
| Numa Elementary School |  |  |  | 25 | 25 |  | NA | $100 \%$ | $16.70 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 1 \%}$ |

## Clark County School District

Clark County School District (CCSD) implemented a regular class size reduction program for FY22. CCSD, as the fifth largest school district in the nation and the largest school district in Nevada, represents $73 \%$ of the state's K-3 population and reported 228 elementary schools. CCSD requested 742 variances across 223 schools - $98 \%$ - representing $71 \%$ of all variance requests.

CCSD has 159 schools with a K-2 ratio at 21 or more ( 5 students over the target ratio); 26 schools with a ratio at 26 or more ( 10 students over the target ratio); and 3 schools with a ratio at 31 or more ( 15 students over the target ratio). Similarly, they have 73 schools with a third grade ratio of 23 or more ( 5 students over the target ratio); and 10 schools with a third grade ratio of 28 or more ( 10 students over the target ratio). These are significant gains over Q1 CSR reporting of the same data. CCSD cited funding limitations, facility limitations, and difficulty hiring under their variance justifications.

## District Overview

| CCSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 30 | 33 | 42 | 32 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 8 | 11 | 6 | 12 |
| Average class size ratio | 20.80 | 18.79 | 19.27 | 21.10 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 4,961 | 3,263 | 3,735 | 3,428 | 15,387 |
| Classrooms | 310 | 204 | 233 | 190 | $\sim 937$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Abston, Sandra B. Es | 18 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 12.0375 |
| Adams, Kirk L. Es | 20 | 19 | 23 | 20 | NA | 100 | 19.345 |
| Adcock, O. K. Es | 15 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 12.82 |
| Alamo, Tony Es | 22 | 22 | 24 | 20 | NA | 100 | 11.435 |
| Allen, Dean Es | 31 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 16.4275 |
| Antonello, Lee Es | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 1 | 100 | 12.555 |
| Bailey, Sister R. J. Es | 16 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 15.3075 |
| Barber, Shirley A. Es | 21 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 3 | 100 | 10.7475 |
| Bartlett, Selma F. Es | 15 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 13.4025 |
| Bass, John C. Es | 23 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 13.735 |
| Batterman, Kathy L. Es | 24 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 12.3525 |


| School Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beatty, John R. Es | 18 | 21 | 25 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 16.5025 |
| Beckley, Will Es | 18 | 17 | 23 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 9.405 |
| Bell, Rex Es | 17 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 14.4075 |
| Bendorf, Patricia A. Es | 18 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 11.4325 |
| Bennett, William G. Es | 19 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 13.015 |
| Berkley, Shelley Es | 16 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 8.8525 |
| Bilbray, James Es | 26 | 24 | 21 | 26 | 5 | 100 | 12.1775 |
| Bonner, John W. Es | 21 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 7.945 |
| Booker, Sr. Kermit R. Es | 14 | 14 | 12 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 10.8175 |
| Bowler, Grant Es | 25 | 20 | 27 | 23 | 5 | 100 | 11.8475 |
| Bowler, Joseph L. Es | 17 | 17 | 23 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 18.0725 |
| Bozarth, Henry Evelyn Es | 21 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 100 | 10.595 |
| Bracken Es Magnet | 21 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 5.6625 |
| Brookman, Eileen B. Es | 15 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 13.22 |
| Brown, Hannah Marie Es | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 28.75 | 14.125 |
| Bruner, Lucile Es | 17 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 17.305 |
| Bryan, Richard H. Es | 22 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 14.3275 |
| Bryan, Roger M. Es | 21 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 9.7575 |
| Bunker, Berkeley L. Es | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 11.4725 |
| Cahlan, Marion Es | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 9.6475 |
| Cambeiro, Arturo Es | 19 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 13.99 |
| Carl, Kay Es | 17 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 12.5475 |
| Cartwright, Roberta C. Es | 17 | 21 | 31 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 10.8225 |
| Christensen, M. J. Es | 21 | 24 | 20 | 27.00 | 4 | 100 | 14.0675 |
| Conners, Eileen Es | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 12.615 |
| Cortez, Manuel J. Es | 21 | 23 | 25 | 19 | 3 | 100 | 9.4275 |
| Cox, Clyde Es | 15 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 13.975 |
| Cox, David Es | 26 | 18 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 100 | 9.0025 |
| Cozine, S. And L. Es | 16 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 20.23 |
| Craig, Lois Es | 18 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 12.83 |
| Crestwood Es | 14 | 22 | 14 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 6.26 |
| Culley, Paul E. Es | 15 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 13.07 |
| Cunningham, Cynthia Es | 14 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 8.5725 |
| Dailey, Jack Es | 19 | 17 | 24 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 8.965 |
| Darnell, Marshall C. Es | 19 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 12.785 |
| Dearing, Laura Es | 19 | 15 | 22 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 14.2 |
| Decker, C. H. Es | 17 | 18 | 18 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 10.275 |
| Derfelt, Herbert A. Es | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 9.93 |
| Deskin, Ruthe Es | 18 | 18 | 23 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 12.355 |
| Detwiler, Ollie Es | 18 | 19 | 23 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 14.705 |
| Diaz, Ruben P. Es | 19 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 100 | 14 |
| Dickens, D. L. Dusty Es | 18 | 16 | 22 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 9.8425 |
| Diskin, P. A. Es | 18 | 18 | 16 | 25 | 2 | 100 | 13.8125 |
| Divich, Kenneth Es | 20 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 100 | 10.2125 |
| Dondero, Harvey N. Es | 25 | 23 | 24 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 7.8175 |


| School Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dooley, John Es | 23 | 27 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 19.6425 |
| Duncan, Ruby Es | 17 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 9.98 |
| Earl, Ira J. Es | 18 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 9.9525 |
| Earl, Marion B. Es | 19 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 2 | 100 | 13.8075 |
| Edwards, Elbert Es | 19 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 11.74 |
| Eisenberg, Dorothy Es | 18 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 8.7 |
| Elizondo, Raul Es | 22 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 1 | 100 | 10.36 |
| Ellis, Robert And Sandy Es | 24 | 24 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 13.165 |
| Ferron, William E. Es | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 11.425 |
| Fine, Mark L. Es | 18 | 20 | 23 | 19 | 4 | 100 | 11.855 |
| Fitzgerald, H.P. Es | 17 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 2 | 100 | 17.075 |
| Fong, Wing And Lilly Es | 16 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 13.56 |
| Forbuss, Robert L. Es | 21 | 21 | 31 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 10.3475 |
| French, Doris Es | 24 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 16.23 |
| Frias, C. P. Es | 20 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 5 | 100 | 11.515 |
| Galloway, Fay Es | 18 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 21.425 |
| Garehime, Edith Es | 31 | 21 | 24 | 23 | 3 | 100 | 14.6175 |
| Gehring, Roger Es | 21 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 5.8175 |
| Gibson, James Es | 18 | 17 | 23 | 26 | 4 | 100 | 13.2675 |
| Gilbert, C.V.T. Es | 17 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 2 | 100 | 8.115 |
| Givens, Linda Rankin Es | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 100 | 10.685 |
| Goldfarb, Daniel Es | 18 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 10.4325 |
| Goolsby, Judy John Es | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 5 | 100 | 10.475 |
| Goynes, Theron Naomi Es | 23 | 24 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 100 | 11.6675 |
| Gragson, Oran K. Es | 18 | 25 | 30 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 15.0625 |
| Gray, R. Guild Es | 17 | 16 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 14.625 |
| Griffith, E.W. Es | 14 | 21 | 18 | 30 | 2 | 100 | 11.5 |
| Guy, Addeliar D. Iii Es | 19 | 27 | 18 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 15.905 |
| Hancock, Doris Es | 17 | 16 | 17 | 24 | 1 | 100 | 11.9225 |
| Harmon, Harley Es | 25 | 22 | 19 | 23 | 1 | 100 | 14.3 |
| Harris, George E. Es | 19 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 15.98 |
| Hayden, Don E. Es | 24 | 22 | 18 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 11.77 |
| Hayes, Keith Karen Es | 20 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 17.6375 |
| Heard, Lomie G. Es | 19 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 8.0825 |
| Heckethorn, Howard E. Es | 20 | 17 | 24 | 26 | 4 | 100 | 12.55 |
| Herr, Helen Es | 14 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 100 | 2.9225 |
| Herron, Fay Es | 24 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 5 | 100 | 12.62 |
| Hewetson, Halle Es | 15 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 8.46 |
| Hickey, Lilliam Lujan Es | 16 | 16 | 22 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 10.42 |
| Hill, Charlotte Es | 16 | 17 | 22 | 20 | 4 | 100 | 11.67 |
| Hinman, Edna F. Es | 15 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 26.935 |
| Hoggard, Mabel Es | 18 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 4 | 100 | 5.7475 |
| Hollingsworth, Howard Es | 18 | 18 | 30 | 23 | 1 | 100 | 5.955 |
| Hummel, John R. Es | 18 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 14.58 |
| Indian Springs Es | 18 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 1 | 100 | 18.0175 |


| School Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iverson, Mervin Es | 14 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 2 | 100 | 10.1425 |
| Jacobson, Walter Es | 14 | 16 | 25 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 10.445 |
| Jeffers, Jay W. Es | 15 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 12.1375 |
| Jenkins, Earl N. Es | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 7.8575 |
| Jones Blackhurst, Jan Es | 23 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 25.44 | 14.46 |
| Jydstrup, Helen Es | 19 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 11.445 |
| Kahre, Marc Es | 11 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 19.02 |
| Katz, Edythe Lloyd Es | 18 | 18 | 23 | 17 | 1 | 100 | 9.295 |
| Keller, C. J. Es | 17 | 19 | 26 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 11.365 |
| Kelly, Matt Es | 16 | 30 | 17 | 18 | 1 | 100 | 15.735 |
| Kesterson, Lorna J. Es | 23 | 21 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 100 | 18.2225 |
| Kim, Frank Es | 13 | 15 | 27 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 17.925 |
| King, M. L. Es | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 17.27 |
| King, Martha P. Es | 14 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 10.8825 |
| Lake, Robert E. Es | 22 | 21 | 21 | 30 | 3 | 100 | 7.0725 |
| Lamping, Frank Es | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 13.375 |
| Lincoln Es | 18 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 14.335 |
| Long, Walter V. Es | 13 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 1 | 100 | 9.9475 |
| Lowman, Mary Zel Es | 18 | 13 | 18 | 22 | 1 | 100 | 11.5025 |
| Lummis, William Es | 23 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 12.55 |
| Lunt, Robert Es | 17 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 12.4675 |
| Lynch, Ann Es | 16 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 1 | 100 | 8.2075 |
| Mack, Nate Es | 21 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 16.5975 |
| Mackey, Jo Es | 20 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 4 | 100 | 8.825 |
| Manch, J.E. Es | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 100 | 11.2975 |
| Martinez, Reynaldo L. Es | 18 | 17 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 8.7525 |
| Mathis, Beverly S. Es | 19 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 14.1925 |
| May, Ernest Es | 22 | 29 | 22 | 19 | 4 | 100 | 14.8675 |
| Mccall, Quannah Es | 14 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 100 | 13.685 |
| Mccaw, Gordon Es | 18 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 5 | 100 | 13.7725 |
| Mcdoniel, Estes M. Es | 22 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 25.51 |
| Mcmillan, James B. Es | 26 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 14.7625 |
| Mcwilliams, J. T. Es | 23 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 2 | 100 | 15.82 |
| Mendoza, John F. Es | 16 | 16 | 22 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 12.04 |
| Miller, Sandy Es | 17 | 15 | 21 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 7.7875 |
| Mitchell, Andrew Es | 18 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.2733 \\ 3333 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Moore, William Es | 16 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 8.84 |
| Morrow, Sue H. Es | 24 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 20.2825 |
| Mountain View Es | 15 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 9.655 |
| Neal, Joseph M. Es | 20 | 20 | 26 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 14.4975 |
| Nevada Learning Academy Es | 33 | 42 | 32 | 23 | 0 | 1.115 | 9.335 |
| Newton, Ulis Es | 17 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 18.9325 |
| Nw Career Tech Academy Es | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 7.14 |
| Ober, Dvorre Hal Es | 19 | 23 | 25 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 7.365 |


| School Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oroarke, Thomas Es | 24 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 12.7425 |
| Ortwein, Dennis Es | 17 | 21 | 24 | 19 | 3 | 100 | 12.5225 |
| Paradise Es | 19 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 10.1175 |
| Park, John S. Es | 16 | 20 | 17 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 11.81 |
| Parson, C. S. Es | 19 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 2 | 100 | 9.485 |
| Perkins, Claude Es | 20 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 1 | 100 | 17.715 |
| Perkins, Ute Es | 22 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 2 | 100 | 16.33 |
| Petersen, Dean Es | 19 | 17 | 25 | 23 | 1 | 100 | 12.1325 |
| Piggott, Clarence Es | 18 | 18 | 24 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 6.2275 |
| Pittman, Vail Es | 21 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 12.0925 |
| Priest, Richard C. Es | 16 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 11.97 |
| Red Rock Es | 13 | 15 | 21 | 26 | 1 | 100 | 10.485 |
| Reed, Doris M. Es | 17 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 13.405 |
| Reedom, Carolyn S. Es | 19 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 3 | 100 | 12.285 |
| Rhodes, Betsy Es | 19 | 21 | 28 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 14.525 |
| Ries, Aldeane Comito Es | 23 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 11.435 |
| Roberts, Aggie Es | 21 | 25 | 24 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 10.07 |
| Rogers, Lucille S. Es | 21 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 9.6 |
| Ronnow, C.C. Es | 16 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 10.1825 |
| Ronzone, Bertha Es | 15 | 15 | 22 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 10.1625 |
| Roundy, Dr. C. Owen Es | 14 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 10.2225 |
| Rowe, Lewis Es | 18 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 4 | 100 | 13.9075 |
| Rundle, Richard Es | 15 | 17 | 18 | 23 | 2 | 100 | 10.62 |
| Sandy Valley Es | 11 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 100 | 19.7925 |
| Scherkenbach, W. M. Es | 22 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 4 | 100 | 13.17 |
| Schorr, Steve Es | 22 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 10.7625 |
| Scott, Jesse D. Es | 17 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 11.165 |
| Sewell, C. T. Es | 13 | 14 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 100 | 20.625 |
| Simmons, Eva G. Es | 21 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 12.9925 |
| Smalley, J. E. A. R. Es | 19 | 23 | 26 | 21 | 5 | 100 | 15.865 |
| Smith, Hal Es | 17 | 17 | 22 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 10.5375 |
| Smith, Helen Es | 15 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 13.1775 |
| Snyder, Don And Dee Es | 22 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 9.875 |
| Snyder, William E. Es | 21 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 100 | 5.675 |
| Squires, C.P. Es | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 11.0825 |
| Stanford Es | 21 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 2 | 100 | 14.35 |
| Staton, Ethel W. Es | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 100 | 11.5225 |
| Steele, Judith D. Es | 20 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 5 | 100 | 14.09 |
| Stevens, Josh Es | 18 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 3 | 100 | 12.825 |
| Stuckey, Evelyn Es | 19 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 7.715 |
| Sunrise Acres Es | 14 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 11.7325 |
| Tanaka, Wayne N. Es | 15 | 17 | 23 | 30 | 2 | 100 | 14.22 |
| Tarr, Sheila Es | 20 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 100 | 9.3225 |
| Tartan, John Es | 21 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 1 | 100 | 14.835 |
| Tate, Myrtle Es | 17 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 8.9925 |


| School Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taylor, Glen C. Es | 21 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 5 | 100 | 17.47 |
| Taylor, Robert L. Es | 16 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 100 | 15.94 |
| Thiriot, Joseph E. Es | 19 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 3 | 100 | 13.075 |
| Thomas, Ruby S. Es | 16 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 9.18 |
| Thompson, Sandra L. Es | 21 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 14.875 |
| Thompson, Tyrone Es | 21 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 8.485 |
| Thorpe, Jim Es | 16 | 16 | 18 | 26 | 2 | 100 | 7.765 |
| Tobler, R. E. Es | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 3 | 100 | 12.77 |
| Toland, Helen Anderson Int Acd | 15 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 10.1275 |
| Tomiyasu, Bill Y. Es | 17 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 12.7475 |
| Treem, Harriet Es | 20 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 11.7725 |
| Triggs, Vincent Es | 26 | 22 | 19 | 23 | 5 | 100 | 14.51 |
| Twin Lakes Es | 17 | 19 | 28 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 11.3175 |
| Twitchell, Neil C. Es | 20 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 11.735 |
| Ullom, J. M. Es | 25 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 2 | 100 | 10.915 |
| Vanderburg, John Es | 22 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 20.3275 |
| Vassiliadis, B. R. Es | 19 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 100 | 10.2575 |
| Vegas Verdes Es | 18 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 3 | 100 | 9.935 |
| Virgin Valley Es | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 4 | 100 | 11.5475 |
| Walker, J. Marlan Es | 22 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 13.51 |
| Wallin, Shirley Bill Es | 21 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 100 | 15.41 |
| Ward, Gene Es | 16 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 13.4575 |
| Ward, Kitty Mcdonough Es | 18 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 4 | 100 | 17.725 |
| Warren, Rose Es | 24 | 21 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 100 | 13.825 |
| Wasden, Howard Es | 19 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 9.8475 |
| Watson, Fredric Es | 15 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 14.765 |
| Wengert, Cyril Es | 28 | 23 | 26 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 12.1525 |
| West Prep Es | 15 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 2.445 |
| Whitney Es | 15 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 100 | 13.445 |
| Wiener, Jr., Louis Es | 16 | 16 | 31 | 23 | 4 | 100 | 12.8225 |
| Wilhelm, Elizabeth Es | 16 | 16 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 100 | 12.6125 |
| Williams, Tom Es | 17 | 15 | 21 | 25 | 2 | 100 | 9.3775 |
| Williams, Wendell Es | 14 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 1 | 100 | 11.6625 |
| Wolfe, Eva Es | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 16.79 |
| Wolff, Elise L. Es | 21 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 5 | 100 | 11.2325 |
| Woolley, Gwendolyn Es | 16 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 2 | 100 | 7.8875 |
| Wright, William V. Es | 21 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 3 | 100 | 9.1275 |
| Wynn, Elaine Es | 21 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 10.5175 |
| Grand Total | 18.79 | 19.27 | 21.10 | 20.80 | NA | 98.92\% | 12.34\% |

## Douglas County School District

Douglas County School District (Douglas CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Douglas CSD requested 17 variances across all seven of its schools, including variance requests for all of its Kindergarten classrooms and several of its third and fifth grade classrooms. Douglas CSD cited funding limitations for all of its variances, barring Kindergarten at Zephyr Cove Elementary with a ratio of 32:1, which cited both funding limitations and difficulty hiring. Similarly high numbers are seen in Zephyr Cove's fifth
grade classroom with a ratio of $33: 1$, and Gardnerville Elementary's fourth grade classroom with a ratio of 31:1.
District Overview

| Douglas CSD | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 32 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 31 | 33 | 3 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 19 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| Average class size ratio | 24.57 | 20.14 | 21 | 20.29 | 21.25 | 25.30 | 3 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 117 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 168 |
| Classrooms | 7.31 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 0 | $\sim 9$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CC Meneley Elementary | 19 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 23 | NA | 25 | 4 | 42.43 | 14.87 |
| Douglas Nevada Online | NA | NA | NA | 5 | 9 | 3 | NA | NA | 81.48 | 10.37 |
| Gardnerville Elementary | 20 | 22 | 19 | 31 | 26 | NA | 25 | 4 | 35.53 | 16.54 |
| Gene Scarselli <br> Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jacks Valley Elementary | 17 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 20 | NA | 24 | 4 | 29.44 | 14.54 |
| Minden Elementary | 21 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 29 | NA | 24 | 3 | 41.03 | 15.45 |
| Pinon Hills Elementary | 19 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 28 | NA | 23 | 4 | 30.88 | 14.26 |
| Zephyr Cove Elementary | 22 | 22 | 13 | 23 | 33 | NA | 19 | 42 | 21.33 | 17.65 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5 7}$ | NA | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 1 \%}$ |

## Elko County School District

Elko County School District (Elko CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22.
Elko CSD requested 21 variances across Kindergarten, second, third, fourth, and fifth grades, and cited funding limitations, difficulty hiring, and facility limitations.

## District Overview

| Elko CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 24 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 25 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 13 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 12 |
| Average class size ratio | 19.5 | 17.17 | 18.08 | 21.25 | 21.33 | 22.33 | 19.4 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| District | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 130 | 0 | 23 | 46 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 206 |
| Classrooms | 8.13 | 0 | 1.04 | 2.09 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0 | $\sim 12$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Carlin Elementary | 15 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 2 | 47.37 | 18.96 |
| Grammar \#2 | 22 | 14 | 21 | 18 |  |  | 19 | 2 | 41.80 | 15.91 |
| Jackpot Elementary | 11 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 43.32 | 1.59 |
| Liberty Peak Elementary | 21 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 26 |  | 22 | 2 | 13.20 | 6.39 |
| Mountain View <br> Elementary | 20 | 24 | 25 | 20 |  |  | 20 |  | 0 | 20.79 |
| Northside Elementary | 19 | 16 | 19 | 23 |  |  | 16 | 5 | 43.33 | 7.77 |


| Owyhee Elementary | 8 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 100.00 | 9.07 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sage Elementary | 19 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 25 |  | 19 | 1 | 38.10 | 7.28 |
| Southside Elementary | 21 | 23 | 24 | 22 |  |  | 19 | 1 | 100.00 | 8.21 |
| Spring Creek <br> Elementary | 20 | 17 | 25 | 21 | 22 |  | 20 |  | 4 | 15.37 |
| Wells Elementary | 14 | 12 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 3 | 58.69 | 9.29 |
| West Wendover <br> Elementary | 16 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 22 |  | 20 | 3 | 68.83 | 7.75 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1 9 \%}$ |

## Esmeralda County School District

Esmeralda County School District (Esmeralda CSD) is among the smallest by population, and notably has no variance requests or students in excess of the ratio. Their largest class size ratio across grades K-6 is 14:1, and their smallest 5:1.

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dyer Elementary | 14 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 1 | $100.00 \%$ | 2.08 |
| Goldfield Elementary | 9 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 9 | NA | $100.00 \%$ | 12.22 |
| Silver Peak Elementary | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | NA | $100.00 \%$ | 0.00 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{9 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 7}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3 6 \%}$ |

## Eureka County School District

Eureka County School District (Eureka CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program in FY22.
Eureka CSD requested variances for Eureka Elementary in Kindergarten, third, and sixth grade, citing "other" on their variance justification.

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 |
| Classrooms | 0.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | $\sim 1$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crescent Valley Elementary | 14 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 28.65 | 20.99 |
| Eureka Elementary | 19 | 21 | 26 | 16 | 18 | 32 | 27 | 3 | 20.81 | 12.46 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | NA | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 2 \%}$ |

## Humboldt County School District

Humboldt County School District (Humboldt CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program in FY22. Humboldt requested nine variances in total: three for Kindergarten, two each for first and second, and one for third and fifth. Humboldt CSD cited funding limitations at Grass Valley, Paradise Valley, Winnemucca Grammar, and Sonoma Heights, and cited difficulty hiring at French Ford.

## District Overview

| Humboldt CSD | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 23 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 27 | 24 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Average class size ratio | 14.25 | 15.13 | 14.5 | 15.86 | 16.86 | 14.8 | 13 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 26 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 50 |
| Classrooms | 1.63 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | $\sim 3$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denio ES | 6 | 6 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | NA | 100.00 | 26.67 |
| French Ford MS |  |  |  |  | 27 | 24 |  | 2 | 41.91 | 15.60 |
| Grass Valley ES | 19 | 23 | 19 | 24 |  |  | 19 | 3 | 100.00 | 16.78 |
| Kings River ES | 6 | 9 | 9 | 5 |  | 9 | 9 | NA | 66.67 | 25 |
| McDermitt Combined | 10 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 9 | 1 | 100.00 | 10.24 |
| Orovada Elementary | 13 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 63.10 | 11.91 |
| Paradise Valley ES | 23 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 6 | 6 | 23 | 5 | 100.00 | 22.62 |
| Sonoma Heights ES | 21 | 19 | 19 | 22 |  |  | 18 | 3 | 100.00 | 14.79 |
| Winnemucca Grammar | 23 | 14 | 16 | 20 |  |  | 16 | 4 | 47.22 | 18.48 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 5}$ | NA | $\mathbf{8 2 . 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 2 \%}$ |

## Lander County School District

Lander County School District (Lander CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program in FY22. Lander CSD requested a single variance for Kindergarten at Battle Mountain Elementary School, citing funding and facilities limitations and difficulty hiring.

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grades | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Classrooms | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sim 1$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Austin K-12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 42.50 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Battle Mountain Elementary School | 20 | 19 | 18 | 24 | 19 | 2 | 39.80 | $6.34 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | NA | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 2 \%}$ |

## Lincoln County School District

Lincoln County School District implemented a regular class size reduction program in FY22; while Lincoln is only required to report on K-3 under a regular CSR plan, they elected to report on grades 4-6 as housed within their elementary schools. Lincoln CSD requested eight variances, primarily for PVES and Panaca Elementary in grades K-3, and for fifth grade at Caliente Elementary. Lincoln CSD cited funding and facilities limitations as well as difficulty hiring for all schools and grades.

It is important to note that Pioche Elementary has a single combined classroom for grades 1-4, and Caliente Elementary has a single combined classroom for grades 5-6. When a single teacher is in the combined classroom, the student to teacher ratio is calculated in percentages to reflect what the equivalent ratio would be in a single classroom. For example, there are 20 students in the combined grade 5-6 Caliente classroom; because there is one instructor dividing time between 12 fifth grade students and 9 sixth grade students, the ratio is calculated at $24: 1$ for fifth grade and $18: 1$ in sixth.

## District Overview

| Lincoln CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 28 | 26 | 25 | 25 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 6 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| Average class size ratio | 18.25 | 14.5 | 17.25 | 12.25 |

## CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 20 | 13 | 15 | 7 | 55 |
| Classrooms | 1.25 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 0.39 | $\sim 3$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caliente Elementary | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 24 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 45.25 | 11.22 |
| Panaca Elementary | 22 | 22 | 13 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 3 | 33.28 | 13.42 |
| Pioche Elementary | 8 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 48.79 | 9.92 |
| PVES | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 28 | 4 | 39.20 | 10.07 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 5}$ | NA | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 0 \%}$ |

## Lyon County School District

Lyon County School District (Lyon CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Lyon CSD requested 21 variances across ten of its schools, including 9 in Kindergarten and 4 in third grade. Lyon CSD cited funding and facilities limitations and hiring difficulties for all 21 variances.

## District Overview

| Lyon CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 26 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 31 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 17 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 18 |
| Average class size ratio | 19.89 | 21.33 | 20.67 | 21.33 | 21.44 | 22.71 | 24.43 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 122 | 14 | 16 | 53 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 245 |
| Classrooms | 7.63 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 2.41 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $\sim 13$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cottonwood Elementary | 22 | 24 | 23 | 26 | NA | NA | 17 | 2 | 37.31 | 15.04 |
| Dayton Elementary | 24 | 21 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 31 | 22 | 3 | 33.37 | 9.20 |
| East Valley Elementary | 19 | 21 | 26 | 19 | NA | NA | 26 | 3 | 28.99 | 10.44 |
| Fernley Elementary | 22 | 20 | 25 | 25 | NA | NA | 18 | 2 | 36.52 | 12.67 |
| Fernley Intermediate | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25 | 22 | NA | 2 | 36.25 | 12.25 |
| Riverview Elementary | 25 | 24 | 21 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 3 | 24.79 | 13.85 |
| Silver Stage Elementary | 19 | 21 | 25 | 22 | NA | NA | 20 | 2 | 100.00 | 17.16 |
| Silver Stage Middle | NA | NA | NA | NA | 28 | 23 | NA | 3 | 100.00 | 17.74 |
| Smith Valley | 17 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 2 | 17.85 | 7.36 |
| Sutro Elementary | 22 | 19 | 26 | 21 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 2 | 33.04 | 10.42 |
| Yerington Elementary | 22 | 22 | 20 | 22 | NA | NA | 19 | 1 | 100.00 | 10.46 |
| Yerington Intermediate | NA | NA | NA | NA | 22 | 27 | NA | 1 | 100.00 | 11.55 |


| Grand Total | 21.33 | 20.67 | 21.33 | 21.44 | 22.71 | 24.43 | 19.89 |  | NA | $\mathbf{4 6 . 6 1 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 3 \%}$

## Mineral County School District

Mineral County School District (Mineral CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Mineral CSD requested one variance for Kindergarten at Hawthorne Elementary, citing facility limitations and difficulty hiring, and four variances at Schurz Elementary in grades first through fourth for fractional overages, citing difficulty hiring.

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hawthorne Elementary | 14 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 4 | $100.00 \%$ | 15.67 |
| Schurz Elementary | 22 | 25 | 21 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 16 | 1 | $100.00 \%$ | 6.77 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 0}$ | NA | $100.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 2 \%}$ |

## Nye County School District

Nye County School District (Nye CSD) is the largest rural district in Nevada, and the third largest rural district in the country. Nye CSD implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22 and requested 19 variances across 7 schools, primarily in Kindergarten, second, and fourth grades. They cited funding limitations and hiring difficulties for all of their variances, as well as facilities limitations at Floyd and JG Johnson Elementary. Nye has combined classrooms in four of its schools: Amargosa, Beatty, Duckwater, and Gabbs.

## District Overview

| Nye CSD | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 26 | 23 | 28 | 25 | 31 | 33 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Average class size ratio | 16.1 | 16.6 | 19 | 17.4 | 20 | 21.2 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 93 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 30 | 31 | NA | 185 |
| Classrooms | 5.81 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 0.41 | 1.2 | 1.24 | 0 | $\sim 10$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amargosa Valley Elementary School | 23 | 15 | 22 | 22 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Beatty Elementary School | 21 | 21 | 12 | 25 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 100.00 | 1.28 |
| Duckwater Elementary School | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Floyd Elementary School | 18 | 22 | 20 | 31 | 33 | 22 | 2 | 100.00 | 0.91 |
| Gabbs Elementary School | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Hafen Elementary School | 22 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 3 | 100.00 | 0.66 |
| JG Johnson Elementary School | 20 | 28 | 18 | 19 | 26 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 0.19 |
| Manse Elementary School | 18 | 20 | 20 | 29 | 24 | 21 | 3 | 100.00 | 0.61 |
| Round Mountain Elementary School | 18 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 23 | 22 | 1 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Tonopah Elementary School | 11 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 15 | 2 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 8 \%}$ |

## Pershing County School District

Pershing County School District (Pershing CSD) implemented a regular class size reduction program for FY22. While Pershing is only required to report on K-3 under a regular CSR plan, they elected to report on grades 4-6 as housed within their elementary schools. Pershing CSD requested 5 variances across Kindergarten, first, and
second grade and cited funding limitations for their variances. Please note that Imlay is a combined K-5 classroom.

## District Overview

| Pershing CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 20 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| Average class size ratio | 18.5 | 18 | 16.5 | 16.5 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 13 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| Classrooms | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 0 | $\sim 2$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | K | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Imlay Elementary School | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 | NA | 29.33 | 0.00 |
| Lovelock Elementary School | 19 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 100.00 | 12.08 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | NA | $\mathbf{6 7 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 9}$ |

## Storey County School District

Storey County School District (Storey CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. Storey CSD requested two variances: one for Kindergarten at Hugh Gallagher, and another for third grade at Hillside Elementary, both citing funding limitations.

## District Overview

| Storey CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 25 | 15 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 24 | NA |
| Lowest class size ratio | 9 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 24 | 20 | NA |
| Average class size ratio | 17 | 15 | 17 | 22.5 | 24 | 22 | NA |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA | 10 |
| Classrooms | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | NA | $\sim 1$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hillside Elementary School | 15 | 15 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 26.11 | 11.66 |
| Hugh Gallagher Elementary | 15 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 3 | 100.00 | 12.32 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | NA | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 9 \%}$ |

## Washoe County School District

Washoe County School District (WCSD) implemented a regular class size reduction program for FY22. WCSD represents the second largest school district in Nevada by population, making up $15 \%$ of all K-3 students. WCSD requested 186 variances - $18 \%$ - 62 in Kindergarten, and 42, 39, and 43 in first, second, and third grades respectively.

WCSD has 29 schools with a K-2 ratio at 21 or more (5 students over the target ratio) - approximately $45 \%$ of schools - and only one school with a K-2 ratio at 26 or more ( 10 students over the target ratio). Eight schools have a third grade ratio of 23 or more ( 5 students over the target ratio). WCSD cited funding limitations and
hiring difficulties on all of their variances.

## District Overview

| WCSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 27 | 21 | 23 | 26 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 13 | 7 | 12 | 8 |
| Average class size ratio | 20.17 | 17.05 | 16.89 | 19.62 |

## CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 945 | 348 | 339 | 476 | 2,108 |
| Classrooms | 59 | 22 | 21 | 26 | $\sim 128$ |

## Detail by School

| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alice Smith Elementary | 17 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 2 | 100.00 | 15.86 |
| Allen Elementary | 20 | 15 | 21 | 25 | 2 | 100.00 | 12.41 |
| Anderson Elementary | 16 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 1 | 100.00 | 17.21 |
| Beasley Elementary | 18 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 3 | 24.92 | 12.61 |
| Beck Elementary | 19 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 5 | 28.60 | 8.40 |
| Bennett Elementary | 17 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 22.40 |
| Bohach Elementary | 18 | 18 | 23 | 21 | 0 | 19.09 | 15.01 |
| Booth Elementary | 18 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 100.00 | 22.69 |
| Brown Elementary | 16 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 5 | 21.61 | 14.69 |
| Cannan Elementary | 20 | 16 | 22 | 23 | 2 | 100.00 | 10.37 |
| Caughlin Ranch Elementary | 21 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 5 | 5.48 | 9.95 |
| Corbett Elementary | 18 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 4 | 100.00 | 10.51 |
| Desert Heights Elementary | 15 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 23.67 |
| Diedrichsen Elementary | 18 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 4 | 40.43 | 10.12 |
| Dodson Elementary | 16 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 3 | 100.00 | 18.13 |
| Donner Springs Elementary | 15 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 4 | 58.97 | 17.83 |
| Double Diamond Elementary | 19 | 17 | 22 | 23 | 3 | 37.50 | 11.93 |
| Drake Elementary | 17 | 13 | 21 | 20 | 4 | 100.00 | 11.99 |
| Duncan Elementary | 15 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 2 | 100.00 | 17.81 |
| Dunn Elementary | 16 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 53.72 | 11.76 |
| Elmcrest Elementary | 13 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 100.00 | 19.90 |
| Gomes Elementary | 17 | 14 | 22 | 23 | 2 | 45.85 | 15.32 |
| Gomm Elementary | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 4.42 | 13.91 |
| Greenbrae Elementary | 16 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 100.00 | 8.12 |
| Hall Elementary | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 3 | 31.50 | 12.10 |
| Hidden Valley Elementary | 17 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 3 | 53.28 | 16.01 |
| Huffaker Elementary | 16 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 4 | 28.35 | 9.29 |
| Hunsberger Elementary | 18 | 17 | 21 | 22 | 5 | 5.29 | 10.58 |
| Hunter Lake Elementary | 19 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 5 | 39.43 | 8.72 |
| Incline Elementary | 16 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 3 | 42.74 | 14.91 |
| Inskeep Elementary | 19 | 17 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 22.67 | 14.89 |
| Juniper Elementary | 13 | 15 | 20 | 21 | 3 | 39.04 | 20.31 |
| Kate Smith Elementary | 18 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 10.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| School Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | Star Rating | FRL\% | EL\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lemelson Elementary | 21 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 100.00 | 14.21 |
| Lemmon Valley Elementary | 18 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 2 | 100.00 | 14.78 |
| Lenz Elementary | 16 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 15.06 | 10.99 |
| Lincoln Park Elementary | 16 | 17 | 25 | 18 | 2 | 100.00 | 14.90 |
| Loder Elementary | 17 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 12.17 |
| Mathews Elementary | 16 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 100.00 | 16.87 |
| Maxwell Elementary | 16 | 17 | 23 | 20 | 4 | 100.00 | 8.96 |
| Melton Elementary | 17 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 5 | 15.70 | 15.31 |
| Mitchell Elementary | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 100.00 | 18.88 |
| Moss Elementary | 17 | 16 | 17 | 27 | 3 | 36.88 | 22.19 |
| Mount Rose Elementary | 17 | 16 | 25 | 23 | 5 | 28.44 | 10.37 |
| Natchez Elementary | 7 | 12 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 100.00 | 13.61 |
| Palmer Elementary | 16 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 3 | 100.00 | 18.04 |
| Peavine Elementary | 21 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 3 | 46.22 | 16.17 |
| Pleasant Valley Elementary | 16 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 4 | 22.78 | 14.31 |
| Poulakidas Elementary | 18 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 0 | 13.26 | 11.67 |
| Risley Elementary | 18 | 15 | 19 | 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 14.48 |
| Sepulveda Elementary | 18 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 3 | 31.55 | 15.10 |
| Silver Lake Elementary | 17 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 4 | 36.01 | 15.51 |
| Smithridge Elementary | 17 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 100.00 | 12.71 |
| Spanish Springs Elementary | 14 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 4 | 12.08 | 20.43 |
| Stead Elementary | 18 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 2 | 100.00 | 13.69 |
| Sun Valley Elementary | 18 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 100.00 | 8.27 |
| Taylor Elementary | 19 | 18 | 24 | 21 | 5 | 22.49 | 13.41 |
| Towles Elementary | 17 | 18 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 37.57 | 22.73 |
| Van Gorder Elementary | 17 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 5 | 19.59 | 19.02 |
| Verdi Elementary | 19 | 16 | 21 | 17 | 3 | 15.89 | 6.36 |
| Veterans Elementary | 15 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 1 | 100.00 | 13.60 |
| Warner Elementary | 19 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 100.00 | 12.48 |
| Westergard Elementary | 18 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 24.28 | 13.84 |
| Whitehead Elementary | 14 | 20 | 22 | 13 | 4 | 34.56 | 20.93 |
| Winnemucca Elementary | 19 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 4 | 38.89 | 16.13 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 8 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 0 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## White Pine County School District

White Pine County School District (White Pine CSD) implemented an alternative class size reduction program for FY22. White Pine CSD requested two Kindergarten variances, with ratios of 20:1 and 19:1 respectively. White Pine CSD cited funding limitations and difficulty hiring for both variances.

District Overview

| White Pine CSD | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest class size ratio | 20 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 19 |
| Lowest class size ratio | 16 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 10 | 16 | 19 |
| Average class size ratio | 18.33 | 16.33 | 20.33 | 18.75 | 17.50 | 18.25 | 19 |

CSR Ratio Surplus

| Grade | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Classrooms | 0.88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sim 1$ |

## Detail by School

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}\hline \text { School Name } & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{4} & \mathbf{5} & \mathbf{6} & \mathbf{K} & \text { Star Rating } & \text { FRL\% } & \text { EL\% } \\ \hline \text { Baker Elementary } & & & 19 & 19 & 19 & 19 & & 4 & 0.00 & 21.91 \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { D.E. Norman } \\ \text { Elementary }\end{array} & 17 & 20 & 19 & 23 & 20 & & 20 & & 1 & 100.00\end{array}\right] 12.94$.

## Conclusion

The average Kindergarten classroom has 5 students more than the target ratio. First and second graders have 3 students over the ratio on average, while third graders have 4 students over the ratio on average. While districts consistently cite difficulties hiring and funding limitations, rural districts (in addition to CCSD) were most likely to cite facilities limitations.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Amelia Thibault via email at acthibault@doe.nv.gov or by phone at 775-387-2451.

