

Superintendent Interview Rubric

| Category | Score: 4 – Exceeds Expectations | Score: 3 – Meets Expectations (Target) | Score: 2 – Approaching Expectations | Score: 1 – Below Expectations |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Philosophical Clarity and Policy Direction | Clearly articulates a values-driven philosophy grounded in public education. Identifies the most pressing issue in Nevada education and offers a thoughtful, actionable approach to addressing it. | Expresses coherent beliefs and relevant responses to policy matters. Describes current challenges and potential solutions with some specificity. | Values and policy stance are vague, reactive, or lack depth. Identifies issues without clear plans. | Lacks philosophical clarity or alignment with Nevada's public education system. |
| Innovation and Modernization | Demonstrates deep understanding of Nevada's Portrait of a Learner and whole-child frameworks. Leads with vision for the future and uses measurable goals to guide evolution of state priorities. | Balances innovation and practicality. Shows familiarity with whole-child approaches and national trends. | Some openness to innovation, but limited vision or unclear strategies. | Unfamiliar with innovation frameworks; lacks future-focused thinking. |
| Equity and Student Success | Deeply equity-driven; demonstrates specific results improving outcomes for underserved students, including multilingual learners, students with disabilities, and students experiencing homelessness. Uses disaggregated data and systems-level solutions. | Clear commitment to equity with relevant strategies and data awareness. Some record of improving outcomes for underserved groups. | Vague references to equity; limited examples or focus on subgroup success. | Little or no emphasis on equity. Lacks examples or measurable progress for diverse learners. |



| Category | Score: 4 – Exceeds Expectations | Score: 3 – Meets Expectations (Target) | Score: 2 – Approaching Expectations | Score: 1 – Below Expectations |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Visionary and Instructional Leadership | Vision and leadership are bold, strategic, and highly tailored to Nevada. Demonstrates deep instructional credibility and clear systems-thinking through a proven ability to lead large-scale efforts that improve student outcomes and close gaps. | Clear and grounded vision, instructional credibility, and awareness of systems-level leadership. Describes relevant experience with student outcome improvements. | General or limited vision; surface-level understanding of instructional priorities or leadership. Examples lack measurable results. | Vision lacks clarity or strategic depth. Limited instructional experience or disconnect from Nevada context. |
| Organizational and Systems Leadership | Proven record of leading complex systems across diverse geographies. Demonstrates ability to implement statewide initiatives while maintaining both policy enforcement and collaborative district relationships. | Understands system-level leadership; describes feasible implementation and communication strategies. | Partial understanding of scale, accountability, or collaboration challenges. | Lacks clarity on statewide leadership, enforcement, or district coordination. |
| Educator Workforce Strategy | Effectively leverages state policy, funding, and partnerships to strengthen educator recruitment and retention across diverse settings. Offers scalable, actionable strategies aligned with Nevada's context. | Understands the drivers of educator workforce stability and offers feasible ideas to address them. | General awareness of educator challenges without strategic approach or statewide vision. | Lacks understanding of Nevada's educator workforce context or presents unrealistic approaches. |
| Political and Fiscal Savvy | Expertly navigates political environments; has led major fiscal or legislative strategies with success. Anticipates and addresses constraints while building broad stakeholder support. | Shows political awareness and fiscal competence; ties budget decisions to strategy. Builds productive relationships with decision-makers. | Understands budget basics or political context but lacks detailed experience or stakeholder coordination. | Lacks fiscal acumen or understanding of Nevada's political environment. |



| Category | Score: 4 – Exceeds Expectations | Score: 3 – Meets Expectations (Target) | Score: 2 – Approaching Expectations | Score: 1 – Below Expectations |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Stakeholder Engagement and Communication | Strong communicator with a record of building trust across diverse communities. Actively partners with the Board and others (e.g., students, agencies, legislators) to ensure alignment and shared accountability. | Demonstrates sound engagement practices and understands communication needs across communities. Values collaboration with key partners. | General engagement strategy; lacks specificity or examples of authentic collaboration. | Weak communication or stakeholder alignment skills. No engagement strategy evident. |
| | | | | |





Superintendent Interview Question: Scoring Form

Instructions: Enter the final score (1–4) for each category based on the candidate's responses.

| Category | Final Score (1–4) | |
|--|-------------------|--|
| Philosophical Clarity and Policy Direction | | |
| Innovation and Modernization | | |
| Equity and Student Success | | |
| Visionary and Instructional Leadership | | |
| Organizational and Systems Leadership | | |
| Educator Workforce Strategy | | |
| Political and Fiscal Savvy | | |
| Stakeholder Engagement and Communication | | |

| Total | Score: | / | 32 |
|-------|--------|---|----|
| | | | |

