

**Steve Sisolak**  
*Governor*

**Jhone M. Ebert**  
*Superintendent of  
Public Instruction*



**Southern Nevada Office**  
**2080 East Flamingo Rd,**  
**Suite 210**  
**Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-0811**  
**(702) 486-6458**  
**Fax: (702) 486-6450**

**STATE OF NEVADA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**  
700 E. Fifth Street | Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096  
Phone: (775) 687-9200 | [www.doe.nv.gov](http://www.doe.nv.gov) | Fax: (775) 687-9101

February 1, 2021

Brenda Erdoes, Director  
Legislative Counsel Bureau  
401 S. Carson Street  
Carson City, NV 89701

Dear Ms.Erdoes,

Enclosed for the Legislative Counsel Bureau's review is a report on class size ratios required by NRS 388.700(6) for the fiscal years 2020 and 2021 (first quarter). The accompanying report includes each variance requested and granted by a school district, the specific justification for the variance, the data reported by the various school districts according to subsection 2 of NRS 388.710, and the current pupil-teacher ratios in the grade levels specified in the school district's class size reduction (CSR) plan.

If you have additional questions or wish to view individual variance requests, please do not hesitate to contact Megan Peterson, Management Analyst, Business and Support Services, Division Compliance, at [meganp@doe.nv.gov](mailto:meganp@doe.nv.gov) or at 775-687-9236.

Sincerely,

**Jhone M. Ebert**  
*Superintendent of Public Instruction*  
State of Nevada

## Overview

According to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 388.700(6), the State Board of Education is required to submit a report by February 1 of each odd-numbered year on class size ratios in the elementary grades and each variance requested by a school district when the target ratio is exceeded during the preceding quarter to the Legislature. For the purposes of evaluating the effectiveness of the class size reduction (CSR) program, the report must include identification of each elementary school for which a variance was granted, as well as the specific justification for the variance. Each biennium, the legislature allocates funding for school districts to aid in the effort to reduce class size ratios in the elementary grades. Annually, school districts are required to submit a plan with the Nevada Department of Education (NDE), detailing how the awarded funds will be used to reduce class sizes.

There are two types of CSR plans in Nevada during the 2019-2021 biennium: regular and alternative. The target pupil to teacher ratios are as follows:

	Kindergarten	1 <sup>st</sup> Grade	2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade	3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	5 <sup>th</sup> Grade	6 <sup>th</sup> Grade
Regular	16 to 1	16 to 1	16 to 1	18 to 1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alternative <sup>1</sup>	16 to 1	22 to 1	22 to 1	22 to 1	25 to 1	25 to 1	25 to 1

School districts are required to report quarterly to the NDE on the average daily enrollment of pupils and the ratios of pupils per licensed teacher for each grade identified under the plan implemented by the district per NRS 388.725. Additionally, each school that exceeds the target pupil-teacher ratio must request a variance for the next quarter of the school year. Pursuant to NRS 388.700(4), the State Board may grant a variance to a school district for good cause.


This report provides variances and class size ratios for the first quarter of fiscal year 2021 (FY) and the average of the four quarters for FY 2020. In both FY 2020 and FY 2021, five districts implemented the regular plan: Clark, Esmeralda, Lincoln, Pershing, and Washoe. The remaining districts implemented the alternative plan.

In FY 2020, 836 of the variances were attributed to districts on the regular plan, while 126 of the variances were attributed to districts on the alternative plan. Compared to FY2021, where 754 of the variances were attributed to districts on the regular plan, and 102 of variances were attributed to districts on the alternative plan. In the first quarter of FY2021, there were 856 CSR variances reported in kindergarten through grade six, compared to a four-quarter average of 962 variances in FY 2020.


---

<sup>1</sup> NRS 388.720 prohibits any school district whose county population is greater than 100,000 from participating in the alternative program.

## NUMBER OF VARIANCE REQUESTS FY 2020



Number of Variance Requests FY 2021



## Number of Variances FY20-4-Quarter Average


Plan Type	District	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Grand Total
Alternative	Carson	6		2	1	1	1		11
	Churchill	1		1	1				3
	Douglas	7	3	2	2	2	4		20
	Elko	10	1	2	1	1	3	1	19
	Eureka	1							1
	Humboldt	4			2		1	1	8
	Lander	1							1
	Lyon	7	3	5	5	1	3	2	26
	Mineral	1		1		1			3
	Nye	8	3	3	5	2	3		24
	Storey	1		1	1		1		4
	White Pine	2		1	1				4
Regular	Clark	216	152	162	140				670
	Lincoln	2	2	2	1				7
	Pershing	2	2	2	1				7
	Washoe	62	29	26	30				147
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>331</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>955</b>

## Number of Variances Q1 FY21

Plan Type	District	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Grand Total
Alternative	Carson	6			1		1		8
	Churchill	1			1				2
	Douglas	7	2	1	1	2	1	1	15
	Elko	6	1			2	1	1	11
	Eureka	1							1
	Humboldt	5	1	1			1		8
	Lander	1							1
	Lyon	7	2		3	5	3	4	24
	Mineral	1		1					2
	Nye	7	4	5	3	2	2		23
	Storey	1			2				3
	White Pine	2		1	1				4
Regular	Clark	178	143	158	135				614
	Lincoln	1	2	2	1				6
	Pershing	1		1					2
	Washoe	48	28	33	23				132
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>273</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>856</b>

## Justification Analysis

Variance requests are categorized into four groups and include funding limitations, difficulty hiring teachers, facility limitations, and other. In FY 2020 and the first quarter of FY 2021, funding limitations and difficulty hiring were the most cited variance justifications, which was a factor in approximately 36% of variances requested by the districts. The third most common justification was facility limitations at approximately 28% of the justifications provided; other was cited 0.34% of the time.



Pi

Districts were arranged into groups with districts that exhibited similarities like ruralness, overall size, and total expenses. The groupings are large, centralized, rural, small, and very small. In both FY 2020 and the first quarter of FY 2021, eleven of the districts reported funding limitations as a justification for variances. Rural and small districts, such as Humboldt, Lander, and Mineral, reported that funding levels did not meet the level needed to provide enough incentive to hire teachers in remote locations. Centralized and large districts, such as Clark, Carson City, and Douglas, reported not being able to offer competitive salaries to compete with neighboring counties. Funding limitations are cited as a factor in hiring difficulty as well, which was reported in large, small, and rural districts. Seven districts reported all three justifications in both years; this includes all districts (Clark and Washoe) in the large grouping, two districts (Lander and Nye) in the rural grouping, and one each in the centralized and small (Lyon and Lincoln).

District Grouping	District	Facility	Hiring	Funding	Other
<i>Large</i>					
	Clark	X	X	X	-
	Washoe	X	X	X	-
<i>Centralized</i>					
	Carson City	X	X	-	-
	Churchill	-	-	X	-
	Douglas	-	-	X	-
	Lyon	X	X	X	-
<i>Rural</i>					
	Elko	X	X	-	-
	Humboldt	-	-	X	-
	Lander	X	X	X	-
	Nye	X	X	X	-
	White Pine	-	X	X	-
<i>Small</i>					
	Lincoln	X	X	X	-
	Mineral	-	X	-	-
	Pershing	-	-	X	-
	Storey	-	X	-	-
<i>Very Small</i>					
	Esmeralda	-	-	-	-
	Eureka	-	-	-	-

Carson City School District cited facility limitations in grades K, 1, 3, and 5. In addition, the district is having trouble hiring in grades K-5. There is a shortage of qualified teachers and recruitment has been difficult, but ongoing. Regarding funding, Carson City School District is dependent on Distributive School Account (DSA) and CSR dollars to fund teachers to comply with NRS 388.720. If the district's ratios are one or two students above the prescribed ratios, it is not fiscally neutral to hire an additional teacher to meet the ratios. For FY 2021, Carson City School District is in the process of purchasing an additional school site that will potentially be used as an elementary school in the 2021-22 school year. If the purchase occurs, students throughout Carson City School District will be rezoned, lowering the enrollment of students in the six elementary schools. Carson School District states that positions continue to be hard to fill for the 2020-21 school year and that recruitment efforts are ongoing.

Churchill County School District has sent recruiters to job fairs; but despite increasing the number of kindergarten through fifth grade teachers by five, has seen minimal increases in overall growth in pre-k through 12 enrollment. Difficulty hiring, specifically being able to incentivize teachers with the correct expertise was also cited. They continue to provide an EL specialist and para-educators to support classrooms using an inclusion and pull-out model. In FY

2021, challenges from COVID-19 have prevented Churchill County School District from completing traditional recruiting for the 2020-21 school year.

Clark County School District cited all three categories of variance justifications. Regarding space limitations, elementary schools do not have an adequate number of classrooms to hire additional teachers and many schools do not have sufficient physical space to allow for additional portable units without impacting playground and parking space. In regards to difficulty hiring, Clark County School District cited a national shortage of teachers. Teacher enrollment in secondary education programs has dropped 35% between 2009 and 2014. Nevada's higher education teacher candidate programs reported 768 program completers in 2014-2015, a decrease from 950 completers in 2010-2011. The district relies heavily on recruitment from California to fill the shortage within the state. California's program completion has declined by approximately 21% from 2010-2011 to 2014-2015.

Concerning funding limitations, if Clark County School District was able to hire the number of teachers needed to meet the prescribed class size ratios, the provided CSR funding would not cover the salaries and benefits at current levels, would there be sufficient funding to cover the related costs and requirements to supply the additional classrooms.

Douglas County School District cited funding limitations as a variance justification. They specified that when the variance request requirement shifted from a district-level reporting approach to a school-level reporting approach, no additional funding was added to assist districts with the increased burden of meeting ratios at the school level. Additionally, due to the discontinuance of CSR funding for kindergarten, it now costs the district an estimated \$43,000-\$95,000 to hire an additional teacher to maintain the 16:1 ratio now prescribed. In most cases, the average kindergarten class size ratio is 28 pupils to 1 licensed teacher, which constitutes a variance. Hiring an additional teacher would over-correct the problem and create a class size ratio of 14-1 in kindergarten. This would be well below the target ratio and not fiscally possible for the district. To reduce the impact of a larger class size, the district has provided a 4-hour aide to assist in kindergarten classrooms.

Elko County School District is experiencing teacher shortages but is meeting the target ratios in grades 2, 3, 4, and 6. Efforts were made to increase staffing in FY 2020 to meet the 16:1 pupil-teacher ratio kindergarten requirement, but due to teacher shortages of licensed teachers, desired ratios could not be achieved. Nine long-term substitute teachers were retained in K-6 core elementary classes and two positions were posted in order to maintain current staffing levels. Elko County School District will continue to monitor kindergarten enrollment. In FY 2021, the district stated that recruiting efforts in the spring of 2020 were cancelled and significantly impacted by the COVID-19 shutdown.

Esmeralda County School District does not qualify for CSR funding because their baseline ratios are lower than the ratios prescribed by law. For example, Esmeralda's third grade baseline ratio is 15 to 1. Since a district must maintain baseline ratios with non-CSR funded teachers in order to qualify for funds and the baseline ratio of 15:1 is lower the ratio prescribed in law, the district would not require additional funding needed to meet the prescribed ratio of 20 to 1 on the regular plan. Additionally, they experienced no variances.

Eureka County School District also experienced no class sizes above the prescribed ratios and did not request variances.

Humboldt County School District identified five grade levels that will require attention to obtain full compliance with class size reduction requirements. These grade levels include K, 2, 3, 5, and 6 grades. Humboldt stated that under the 16:1 pupil-teacher ratio requirement for kindergarten, 5 locations would be out of compliance. The district was not in a position to fund additional positions with such variability in enrollment figures, which were likely to change prior to the start of the 2019-20 school year. Humboldt's goal is to target funding 1- and 2-star schools. Once the 1- and 2-star schools are in compliance, the district will shift to 3-star schools. Humboldt continues to monitor enrollment patterns and will make adjustments to staffing patterns, when feasible, to further reduce the number of grade levels identified as out of compliance. In FY 2021, an evaluation of class sizes will be conducted. Should a specific grade level see large increases in enrollment, teacher contract language will be implemented to shift positions to address class sizes that may be higher than anticipated.

Lander County School District reported that there are no additional/empty rooms or buildings available and that they need to be able to offer more incentives to work in a rural location. No growth enrollment is projected, and the student population is declining.

Lincoln County School District is experiencing difficulty hiring due to its rural location. The district cites that their school is not built for more than one grade per class. Additionally, they do not have enough funding for a full-time position and the district ratios do not support a full position for each grade. Because the district ratios do not exceed the ratios for CSR, the district will supplement with CSR funds to support the ratios. Each school will assist with other professional personnel to help alleviate class sizes that exceed the target ratios.

Lyon County School District is experiencing facility limitations in grades all grades K-6. As the district offers full-day kindergarten, the facilities are now more limited and prohibits hiring additional teachers to reduce classes above the prescribed ratios. Additionally, it is not fiscally neutral to hire an additional teacher when the district is one or two students above the prescribed ratio. The district also cited funding limitations and that hiring an additional teacher would cost the district approximately \$80,000, and is a hardship on the district financially.

Mineral County School District reported that due to being a very rural location, it is difficult to recruit and hire new teachers. The district will continue to monitor the transient population, as well as enrollment numbers, to evaluate the need to hire additional teachers.

Nye County School District stated that due to being a rural location, they have difficulty hiring teachers. Nye anticipates hiring increases will occur in the Pahrump attendance area, for which recruitment is easier. Positions are allocated to meet the district goal except for kindergarten, which will require the resources (classroom space and additional full-time teaching positions) needed to meet the target. Nye's first goal is to continue to meet the base and target ratios at the district level. Nye will also closely monitor grades 1-3 in Pahrump and allocate teachers as the budget allows. Then grades 4-5 will be monitored, followed by the remote rural schools.

While Pershing County School District experienced an increase in enrollment in FY 2020, they experienced a decrease in FY 2021 and the number of variances decreased by two-thirds.

Pershing utilized paraprofessionals in core subject areas to help with student/teacher ratios and will continue to monitor enrollment and adjust paraprofessional support resources accordingly.

Storey County School District stated that at this time, there are no facility limitations or concerns with recruiting additional teachers. While there has been a slight increase in class sizes, the district is unable to justify hiring an additional teacher. Storey County anticipates their enrollment will remain stable, but they will evaluate hiring an additional teacher to share between grades to lower the affected classes if enrollment increases.

Washoe County School District has built new schools, rezoned students, and moved most of the 6<sup>th</sup> grade classes from the elementary schools to middle schools in an effort to relieve overcrowding and building capacity restraints for the 2019-2020 school year. Washoe continues to face annual structural budget deficits as costs continue to exceed revenue. Additionally, Washoe states they have had challenges recruiting teachers to provide high-quality instruction needed for their students. Despite Washoe's efforts to prioritize filling grade 1-3 allocations, they occasionally utilize a substitute teacher in long term assignments to fill vacancies.

White Pine County School District cited a decline in enrollment and challenges maintaining educational services for all grades. Budget issues have required that the district reduce spending. The district's revenues make it difficult to add an additional kindergarten class to the school to reduce class sizes further. The district provides support staff in classrooms that exceed established ratios. Additionally, the district cites difficulty hiring teachers and the lack of available licensed teachers in the community. Only one ARL hire was made in August 2020, after an exhaustive search for a qualified candidate.

## **Summary**

In the tables below, the class size ratios are reported by school and grade. For FY 2020, the ratios are an average of the four quarters. The ratios for FY 2021 are reported as of the first quarter, because second quarter reports are not due until February 1, 2021. Each class size above the prescribed target ratios constitutes a variance that was approved. The Department is committed to working with the Legislature, State Board of Education, and school districts to decrease the number of variance requests, particularly in high need schools.

## FY21 Q1 District Level Class Size Ratios

School Name	District	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Grand Total
Alternative	Esmeralda	10.25	4.36	8.73	5.33	5.82	8.10	4.67	6.75
	Carson	20.66	19.88	19.52	20.57	20.99	23.04	N/A	20.78
	Churchill	18.55	17.79	18.78	22.72	24.15	22.24	N/A	20.71
	Douglas	22.86	23.24	22.10	23.75	25.65	24.91	28.93	23.86
	Elko	17.31	18.80	18.19	18.03	21.05	21.70	21.32	19.25
	Eureka	18.84	10.17	11.76	9.31	12.09	12.80	14.54	12.79
	Humboldt	15.53	17.57	13.90	14.07	17.67	12.45	13.74	15.08
	Lander	19.83	12.24	11.00	11.77	21.46	N/A	5.76	13.01
	Lyon	17.37	17.66	17.00	20.70	25.67	23.54	24.17	20.67
	Mineral	16.04	16.68	20.91	20.05	18.63	19.29	11.00	17.52
Regular	Nye	15.92	18.81	19.22	17.47	19.35	18.97	N/A	18.29
	Storey	14.43	19.34	20.34	23.28	21.72	24.04	N/A	20.52
	White Pine	17.92	18.83	20.42	19.44	18.65	18.47	17.00	18.85
	Clark	19.94	18.80	20.41	21.64	N/A	N/A	N/A	20.20
	Lincoln	14.37	15.60	14.50	15.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.93
	Pershing	17.00	16.67	20.00	18.67	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.08
	Washoe	18.03	16.84	17.17	19.19	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.81

## FY20 District Level Class Size Ratios

Plan Type	District	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alternative	Esmeralda	4.78	7.04	5.49	6.19	6.71	8.70	7.65
	Carson	21.85	19.08	20.81	19.88	22.37	23.62	N/A
	Churchill	20.41	19.68	22.84	22.78	24.18	23.90	N/A
	Douglas	24.94	21.33	21.67	20.74	23.04	24.86	18.14
	Elko	20.08	19.11	19.51	18.73	21.16	22.09	20.66
	Eureka	15.11	14.14	9.06	16.30	16.29	21.17	21.55
	Humboldt	14.77	13.98	13.85	15.01	14.96	10.61	10.62
	Lander	16.57	11.93	11.20	22.23	23.19	23.49	3.49
	Lyon	18.30	19.81	20.85	21.58	22.70	22.91	21.71
	Mineral	14.64	13.02	21.38	16.77	23.70	11.80	17.64
	Nye	19.19	18.14	19.44	18.80	20.85	19.26	N/A
	Storey	16.07	18.41	20.55	21.26	22.74	25.89	N/A
	White Pine	18.83	17.99	20.14	20.12	18.21	21.10	0.00
	Clark	21.20	19.10	19.81	21.94	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lincoln	15.21	17.10	15.25	15.50	14.17	18.81	16.72
	Pershing	20.97	21.32	23.66	21.82	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Washoe	20.58	17.36	16.91	20.21	N/A	N/A	N/A

## FY21 Q1 Class Size Ratios

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alternative											
Carson	Bordewich										
	Bray										
	Elementary	3	43%	14%	19.46	16.76	18.77	21.14	18.47	22.27	
	Empire										
	Elementary	3	100%	44%	17.05	20.53	20.35	21.16	19.52	21.39	
	Fremont										
	Elementary	3	52%	28%	20.77	21.99	20.84	21.44	20.90	23.91	
	Fritsch										
	Elementary	4	34%	16%	19.42	18.22	17.06	22.13	21.91	21.48	
Elko	Mark Twain										
	Elementary	4	100%	31%	21.61	20.86	20.65	18.64	21.73	22.76	
	Seeliger										
	Elementary	5	36%	17%	25.64	20.89	19.45	18.90	23.41	26.43	
	Carlin										
	Elementary	2	47%	*	24.74	11.57	17.72	17.83	15.59	21.13	21.57
	Elko Grammar										
	#2	2	42%	*	14.87	21.27	15.26	20.94	21.06		
Lander	Flagview									27.64	28.48
	Intermediate	2	43%	16%							
	Jackpot										
	Elementary	2	79%	32%	20.81	20.75	15.82	16.13	15.14	15.11	13.39
	Liberty Peak										
	Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	21.18	20.99	17.44	21.69	22.06	22.50	
	Mountain										
	View										
	Elementary	5	21%	11%	18.30	19.60	20.21	19.21	27.42		
	Northside										
	Elementary	3	44%	16%	14.27	21.49	19.40	16.63	19.29		
	Owyhee										
	Elementary	1	100%	*	4.00	13.36	13.74	13.81	16.85	18.91	18.46
	Sage										
	Elementary	1	35%	*	15.87	18.72	20.96	17.67	24.03	22.22	
	Southside										
	Elementary	4	63%	26%	14.37	19.50	19.08	21.68	22.18		
	Spring Creek										
	Elementary	3	16%	*	23.40	15.87	20.76	16.97	21.10	19.00	
	Wells										
	Elementary	3	49%	17%	15.24	22.22	18.20	16.67	26.61	25.00	22.00
	West										
	Wendover										
	Elementary	2	78%	32%	20.65	20.25	19.67	17.10	21.25	23.75	24.00

		Star									
School Name	School Name	Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Eureka	Crescent Valley Elementary	2	41%	*	13.03	9.72	10.16	3.85	7.18	10.00	8.27
	Eureka Elementary	3	22%	*	24.64	10.61	13.37	14.77	17.00	15.59	20.82
Humboldt	Denio Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*			1.53	1.61	5.58		5.88
	French Ford Middle School	2	#N/A	#N/A						26.03	23.02
	Grass Valley Elementary School	3	48%	*	17.26	22.67	16.85	17.78	17.53		
	Kings River Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*	6.02		6.02	6.02		6.00	
	McDermitt Combined School	1	99%	*	7.33	6.38	8.00	18.00	18.00	21.00	21.05
	Orovada Elementary School	2	63%	31%	22.52	20.24	24.10	20.62	24.04	2.90	5.00
	Paradise Valley Elementary School	2	56%	*	17.05	17.24	17.01	11.43	17.05	6.31	
	Sonoma Heights Elementary School	3	51%	16%	17.43	19.34	19.55	17.34	21.90		
	Winnemucca Grammar School	4	59%	21%	21.13	19.52	18.18	19.78	19.62		
	Austin K-12	Not Rated	*	*		5.63	5.76	5.56			5.76
Lander	Battle Mountain Elementary	2	34%	10%	19.83	18.85	16.24	17.98	21.46		
	Cottonwood Elementary	2	65%	*	18.12	24.44	19.88	19.99	27.33		
	Dayton Elementary	3	60%	11%	14.55	15.47	16.53	23.57	32.40	21.62	29.83
Lyon	East Valley Elementary	3	56%	*	19.07	23.23	21.53	21.80	24.43		

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Nye	Fernley Elementary	2	71%	*	18.68	14.22	18.90	23.59	21.11		
	Fernley Intermediate	3	#N/A	#N/A						22.42	21.43
	Riverview Elementary	3	52%	*	17.74	14.06	18.61	16.58	30.20	26.37	28.80
	Silver Stage Elementary	2	100%	*	19.32	17.27	19.02	18.80	20.28		
	Silver Stage Middle	3	#N/A	#N/A						22.91	26.98
	Smith Valley	2	31%	*	13.00	16.50	10.00	11.20	14.53	16.67	11.20
	Sutro Elementary	2	63%	*	18.36	15.36	13.20	20.10	31.00	28.65	27.62
	Yerington Elementary	1	73%	17%	17.45	18.42	15.31	30.70	29.74		
	Yerington Intermediate	2	65%	*						26.14	23.32
	Amargosa Valley Elementary School	1	100%	41%	18.00	24.22	24.21	13.14	11.07	17.29	
Nye	Beatty Elementary School	4	100%	21%	21.28	21.36	20.73	21.10	17.69	17.65	
	Duckwater Elementary School	5	*	*	9.09	8.70	9.09	-	9.09	-	
	Floyd Elementary School	2	100%	*	21.75	25.26	24.68	27.93	20.88	32.00	
	Gabbs Elementary School	1	100%	*	21.90	22.24	22.96	23.21	21.85	22.74	
	Hafen Elementary School	3	100%	*	20.51	20.90	21.56	24.09	23.44	23.30	
	JG Johnson Elementary School	2	100%	*	16.74	19.54	22.24	20.03	26.37	19.89	
	Manse Elementary School	3	100%	10%	20.02	18.17	21.09	20.44	23.09	26.59	
	Round Mountain	1	14%	*	14.43	23.39	9.68	17.54	22.43	24.68	

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Elementary School										
	Tonopah Elementary School	2	40%	*	11.38	11.96	24.04	13.59	25.79	24.50	
	Warm Springs Elementary School	Not Rated	*	*	0.00	11.11	11.11	11.11	11.11	-	
Storey	Hillside Elementary	4	45%	*	7.60	19.20	19.18	24.10	24.63	24.44	
	Hugh Gallagher Elementary	3	35%	*	21.25	19.47	21.50	22.47	18.82	23.63	
Douglas	C.C. Meneley Elementary	4	51%	*	18.36	14.66	21.45	18.91	18.67	14.54	
	Gardnerville Elementary	4	35%	12%	18.31	15.00	15.44	18.14	18.77	19.09	
	Jacks Valley Elementary	3	45%	14%	22.72	15.96	12.61	18.63	15.51	19.63	
	Gene Scarselli Elementary	4	34%	*	23.81	21.20	16.29	18.72	16.69	18.88	
	Pinon Hills Elementary	4	19%	*	15.66	22.47	19.97	17.97	20.43	18.46	
	Minden Elementary	4	29%	*	16.67	16.54	16.37	15.96	15.67	20.04	
	Zephyr Cove Elementary	3	38%	14%	24.63	18.37	14.04	17.63	29.67	16.93	28.93
	Douglas Nevada Online	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	42.74	61.74	60.66	64.06	69.80	71.74	
	Hawthorne Elementary	4	51%	*	17.09	19.37	15.83	20.10	19.26	19.70	16.00
	Schurz Elementary	1	100%	66%	15.00	14.00	26.00	20.00	18.00	18.89	6.00
Churchill	Lahontan Elementary	Not Rated	53%	*	18.55	17.79					
	E.C. Best Elementary	Not Rated	54%	11%			18.78	22.72			
	Numa Elementary	Not Rated	51%	12%					24.15	22.24	
White Pine	Baker Elementary	4	*	*				17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
	D.E. Norman Elementary	1	47%	*	17.42	16.16	22.49	18.53	17.60	19.11	

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Lund Elementary	1	*	*	20.33	20.33	17.78	17.78	17.00	17.00	
	McGill Elementary	2	48%	*	16.00	20.00	21.00	24.47	23.02	20.78	
<b>Regular</b>											
Clark	Abston, Sandra B ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	23.74	19.55	23.57	20.07			
	Adams, Kirk ES	3	64%	32%	19.44	24.27	18.39	23.22			
	Adcock, O K ES	2	100%	35%	18.55	13.12	15.80	31.49			
	Alamo, Tony ES	4	47%	16%	15.78	20.41	21.57	23.94			
	Allen, Dean LaMar ES	3	27%	*	19.70	18.49	33.04	18.51			
	Antonello, Lee ES	1	65%	15%	13.39	16.22	20.38	22.47			
	Bailey, Sister Robert Joseph ES	2	100%	23%	18.85	16.37	16.82	20.88			
	Barber, Shirley A ES	3	#N/A	#N/A	25.39	25.24	26.85	25.07			
	Bartlett, Selma F ES	4	29%	*	11.96	30.09	28.07	20.61			
	Bass, John C ES	3	51%	11%	20.07	22.97	23.20	23.34			
	Batterman, Kathy L ES	5	33%	11%	15.95	18.55	24.61	22.82			
	Beatty, John R ES	3	100%	10%	17.72	18.01	25.54	28.61			
	Beckley, Will ES	2	100%	46%	21.30	14.10	19.14	18.38			
	Bell, Rex ES	2	100%	41%	14.99	15.42	19.22	12.79			
	Bendorf, Patricia A ES	3	53%	19%	20.11	24.80	19.74	27.20			
	Bennett, William G ES	2	100%	*	16.77	9.67	17.45	59.24			
	Berkley, Shelley ES	3	50%	*	26.01	18.43	29.34	25.46			
	Bilbray, James ES	5	100%	*	20.87	18.35	25.09	25.53			
	Bonner, John W ES	4	22%	12%	18.08	19.82	21.78	23.96			
	Booker, Kermit R Sr ES	2	100%	32%	12.53	16.72	15.07	24.28			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Bowler, Grant ES		5	<b>19%</b>	*	16.45	17.94	22.22	23.23			
Bowler, Joseph L ES		2	<b>75%</b>	30%	15.62	14.67	16.20	24.92			
Bozarth, Henry & Evelyn ES		4	<b>17%</b>	*	19.34	20.04	20.53	22.47			
Bracken, Walter ES		3	<b>59%</b>	35%	21.69	21.65	20.88	31.96			
Brookman, Eileen B ES		2	<b>61%</b>	24%	17.14	14.63	16.13	21.37			
Bruner, Lucile ES		2	<b>100%</b>	25%	19.23	16.79	18.84	23.97			
Bryan, Richard H ES		3	<b>48%</b>	11%	13.78	19.81	25.24	29.30			
Bryan, Roger M ES		4	<b>56%</b>	20%	22.20	20.78	23.39	12.77			
Bunker, Berkeley L ES		2	<b>100%</b>	25%	15.32	18.47	15.52	18.73			
Cahlan, Marion ES		3	<b>100%</b>	54%	14.71	24.43	21.69	16.58			
Cambeiro, Arturo ES		3	<b>100%</b>	53%	20.58	29.35	40.82	21.12			
Carl, Kay ES		2	<b>100%</b>	*	20.76	16.13	12.65	19.00			
Carson, Kit ES		2	<b>100%</b>	24%	18.17	14.47	18.88	13.91			
Cartwright, Roberta C ES		4	<b>52%</b>	10%	14.60	16.50	47.08	23.86			
Christensen, M J ES		4	<b>51%</b>	14%	19.78	25.89	41.07	20.71			
Connors, Eileen ES		3	<b>52%</b>	*	17.98	19.90	19.66	14.88			
Cortez, Manuel J ES		3	<b>100%</b>	51%	19.70	20.98	15.11	17.93			
Cox, Clyde C ES		2	<b>100%</b>	39%	21.91	14.20	20.49	21.92			
Cox, David M ES		2	<b>100%</b>	*	24.12	16.72	15.65	20.64			
Cozine, Steve and Linda ES		2	<b>100%</b>	16%	15.04	19.94	19.66	26.49			
Craig, Lois ES		1	<b>100%</b>	40%	29.71	16.91	23.93	21.59			
Crestwood ES		2	<b>81%</b>	55%	17.71	21.12	13.66	17.75			
Culley, Paul E ES		2	<b>100%</b>	41%	25.02	18.10	15.39	23.07			
Cunningham, Cynthia ES		2	<b>100%</b>	20%	20.16	16.73	15.60	21.94			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	<b>Dailey, Jack ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	49%	25.57	18.30	19.61	19.68			
	<b>Darnell, Marshall C ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	*	23.37	16.59	18.79	19.34			
	<b>Dearing, Laura ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	35%	21.78	22.68	25.93	22.17			
	<b>Decker, C H ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	30%	16.50	12.32	22.77	26.41			
	<b>Derfelt, Herbert A ES</b>	2	<b>57%</b>	18%	14.12	17.84	16.36	26.30			
	<b>Deskin, Ruthe ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	18%	18.00	18.45	25.19	23.91			
	<b>Detwiler, Ollie ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	32%	25.34	17.54	17.14	19.74			
	<b>Diaz, Ruben P ES</b>	5	<b>100%</b>	48%	18.69	20.95	20.97	26.55			
	<b>Dickens, D L Dusty ES</b>	2	<b>74%</b>	13%	17.22	17.55	21.84	28.61			
	<b>Diskin, P A ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	36%	26.83	14.98	21.64	20.93			
	<b>Divich, Kenneth ES</b>	5	#N/A	#N/A	20.66	20.49	19.97	28.52			
	<b>Dondero, Harvey N ES</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	43%	19.82	20.37	31.60	19.15			
	<b>Dooley, John ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	*	21.22	26.18	18.35	22.68			
	<b>Duncan, Ruby ES</b>	1	<b>68%</b>	*	22.01	26.81	14.35	20.92			
	<b>Earl, Ira J ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	62%	32.90	18.74	17.73	19.12			
	<b>Earl, Marion B ES</b>	2	<b>63%</b>	20%	14.57	16.77	17.72	17.42			
	<b>Edwards, Elbert ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	45%	20.31	15.60	15.25	22.85			
	<b>Eisenberg, Dorothy ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	12%	14.02	30.10	16.71	17.23			
	<b>Elizondo, Raul P ES</b>	1	<b>100%</b>	21%	20.89	16.71	16.08	19.07			
	<b>Ellis, Robert &amp; Sandy ES</b>	4	#N/A	#N/A	30.57	23.97	20.60	22.61			
	<b>Ferron, William E ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	29%	15.46	13.30	17.02	20.17			
	<b>Fine, Mark L ES</b>	4	<b>46%</b>	15%	22.45	32.34	22.86	24.24			
	<b>Fitzgerald, H P ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	31%	16.19	15.34	11.33	15.87			
	<b>Fong, Wing and Lilly ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	26%	17.30	21.12	16.78	19.71			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	<b>Forbuss, Robert L ES</b>	3	<b>40%</b>	*	18.98	20.01	34.31	24.82			
	<b>French, Doris ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	23%	19.15	22.59	22.24	21.16			
	<b>Frias, Charles &amp; Phyllis ES</b>	5	<b>31%</b>	*	26.36	27.26	18.12	18.53			
	<b>Galloway, Fay ES</b>	2	<b>44%</b>	*	21.68	16.32	15.17	17.53			
	<b>Garehime, Edith ES</b>	3	<b>42%</b>	*	26.72	17.17	28.36	22.26			
	<b>Gehring, Roger D Acad of Science &amp; Technology ES</b>	5	<b>55%</b>	*	21.71	21.94	25.97	15.44			
	<b>Gibson, James ES</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	*	17.78	17.66	36.78	25.81			
	<b>Gilbert, CVT ES</b>	2	<b>61%</b>	15%	20.43	16.81	17.62	17.56			
	<b>Givens, Linda Rankin ES</b>	5	<b>14%</b>	*	20.95	18.97	20.25	27.52			
	<b>Goldfarb, Daniel ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	36%	18.69	17.67	22.11	28.24			
	<b>Goolsby, Judy &amp; John L ES</b>	5	<b>23%</b>	10%	18.55	19.32	21.00	19.04			
	<b>Goynes, Theron H &amp; Naomi D ES</b>	3	<b>39%</b>	*	20.51	18.74	21.24	21.07			
	<b>Gragson, Oran K ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	46%	12.51	18.71	19.12	22.10			
	<b>Gray, R Guild ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	30%	16.47	18.93	13.77	15.99			
	<b>Griffith, E W ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	38%	25.37	17.39	19.65	17.15			
	<b>Guy, Addeliar D III ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	16%	16.70	34.65	19.18	24.04			
	<b>Hancock, Doris ES</b>	1	<b>100%</b>	28%	16.92	21.39	19.54	17.46			
	<b>Harmon, Harley ES</b>	1	<b>80%</b>	39%	19.98	22.66	11.61	16.65			
	<b>Harris, George E ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	28%	15.63	16.13	31.04	20.06			
	<b>Hayden, Don E ES</b>	3	<b>66%</b>	12%	30.90	21.81	18.81	22.50			
	<b>Hayes, Keith C &amp; Karen W ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	12%	12.11	19.68	15.97	19.41			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Heard, Lomie G ES		2	<b>100%</b>	33%	19.53	16.87	15.38	20.44			
Heckethorn, Howard E ES		4	<b>29%</b>	*	25.36	20.68	26.87	17.94			
Herr, Helen ES		1	<b>78%</b>	32%	13.36	18.78	15.27	14.64			
Herron, Fay ES		5	<b>100%</b>	57%	17.39	27.42	28.07	23.45			
Hewetson, Halle ES		2	<b>100%</b>	58%	19.13	15.41	20.59	18.66			
Hickey, Liliam Lujan ES		2	<b>100%</b>	31%	17.78	17.38	22.51	27.99			
Hill, Charlotte ES		4	<b>100%</b>	13%	18.96	18.41	18.68	24.05			
Hinman, Edna F ES		2	<b>100%</b>	19%	18.69	16.72	18.54	18.51			
Hoggard, Mabel ES		4	<b>100%</b>	30%	19.82	22.20	22.68	23.88			
Hollingsworth , Howard ES		1	<b>100%</b>	50%	21.89	17.74	14.73	16.24			
Hummel, John R ES		2	<b>100%</b>	13%	13.25	13.56	17.56	23.38			
Indian Springs ES		1	<b>100%</b>	*	10.97	9.30	16.84	13.46			
Iverson, Mervin ES		2	<b>100%</b>	25%	11.48	12.93	15.23	19.11			
Jacobson, Walter ES		2	<b>58%</b>	18%	19.98	13.21	16.70	14.32			
Jeffers, Jay W ES		2	<b>100%</b>	55%	22.25	15.17	19.64	19.49			
Jenkins, Earl N ES		#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	24.63	22.85	29.67	25.62			
Jones Blackhurst, Jan L ES		5	<b>28%</b>	*	19.49	21.15	14.85	20.86			
Jydstrup, Helen ES		4	<b>100%</b>	24%	17.69	15.31	18.59	17.54			
Kahre, Marc ES		2	<b>100%</b>	*	18.10	11.10	15.43	18.66			
Katz, Edythe & Lloyd ES		1	<b>100%</b>	17%	23.91	19.47	23.05	22.69			
Keller, Charlotte & Jerry ES		2	<b>100%</b>	47%	12.33	25.54	14.44	40.89			
Kelly, Matt ES		1	<b>100%</b>	10%	59.89	17.62	14.97	17.90			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Kesterson, Lorna J ES	3	47%	*	20.10	20.36	13.45	21.75				
Kim, Frank ES	2	69%	22%	19.14	18.53	15.70	19.76				
King Jr , Martin Luther ES	2	100%	40%	16.15	16.82	18.15	16.09				
King, Martha P ES	3	100%	*				18.55				
Lake, Robert E ES	3	100%	47%	17.86	27.79	17.04	22.50				
Lamping, Frank ES	4	17%	*	23.33	23.60	21.57	20.26				
Lincoln ES	2	100%	60%	12.28	15.66	24.87	23.72				
Long, Walter V ES	1	100%	44%	16.13	13.27	18.97	19.15				
Lowman, Mary & Zel ES	1	100%	16%	15.15	16.11	18.28	17.30				
Lummis, William ES	4	22%	*	18.65	24.34	16.02	21.14				
Lunt, Robert ES	2	100%	55%	23.35	14.23	14.90	17.62				
Lynch, Ann ES	1	100%	48%	14.22	15.80	15.74	15.56				
Mack, Nate ES	4	47%	*	20.39	21.46	23.01	17.78				
Mackey, Jo ES	4	70%	19%	14.94	23.83	22.67	25.42				
Manch, J E ES	1	100%	20%	14.81	13.77	29.68	19.79				
Martinez, Reynaldo L ES	3	100%	39%	12.69	20.93	20.83	25.53				
Mathis, Dr. Beverly S ES	4	52%	15%	25.09	19.73	21.31	20.11				
May, Ernest ES	4	50%	*	22.36	21.54	27.60	31.99				
McCall, Quannah ES	1	100%	51%	23.35	16.34	18.37	17.48				
McCaw, Gordon ES	5	58%	*	31.59	19.07	29.89	24.51				
McDoniel, Estes M ES	5	100%	*	20.20	20.57	21.97	18.70				
McMillan, James B ES	2	100%	21%	19.70	15.65	17.38	16.32				
McWilliams, J T ES	2	100%	48%	22.48	16.14	14.87	28.95				
Mendoza, John F ES	2	77%	44%	19.22	16.78	23.81	19.27				

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Miller, Sandy											
Searles ES	3	70%	34%	29.71	21.44	19.41	23.61				
Mitchell, Andrew ES	Not Rated	100%	*	14.78	18.13	17.72					
Moore, William K ES	1	100%	45%	27.24	15.53	27.59	19.56				
Morrow, Sue H ES	4	42%	*	18.24	18.78	25.75	29.93				
Mountain View ES	2	82%	30%	20.68	29.78	29.86	20.24				
Neal, Joseph M ES	4	100%	*	23.03	21.78	12.83	21.49				
Newton, Ulis ES	4	100%	*	20.74	21.07	22.02	22.85				
NW Career-Technical Academy ES	5	34%	*	21.73							
O Roarke, Thomas ES	5	26%	*	20.65	15.66	20.89	21.64				
Ober, D'Vorre & Hal ES	4	33%	13%	21.97	17.59	21.19	19.61				
Ortwein, Dennis ES	3	#N/A	#N/A	18.49	25.28	24.74	15.78				
Paradise Prof Dev ES	2	100%	39%	16.95	17.51	16.40	25.89				
Park, John S ES	2	100%	40%	18.41	16.41	22.78	18.92				
Parson, Claude & Stella ES	2	100%	26%	9.61	16.49	10.29	15.84				
Perkins, Dr Claude G ES	1	100%	25%	20.20	18.16	13.65	44.09				
Perkins, Ute ES	2	100%	*	20.11	25.27	15.76	16.62				
Petersen, Dean ES	1	100%	44%	19.37	16.56	30.77	21.08				
Piggott Academy ES	3	31%	11%	26.66	17.48	17.70	23.73				
Pittman, Vail ES	3	100%	37%	24.32	23.01	17.01	30.92				
Priest, Richard C ES	2	100%	20%	26.26	15.94	21.58	23.33				
Red Rock ES	1	100%	34%	13.07	16.56	17.32	21.51				
Reed, Doris M ES	2	100%	29%	33.33	17.43	21.21	19.56				

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	<b>Reedom, Carolyn S ES</b>	3	<b>32%</b>	*	18.67	15.57	15.54	45.99			
	<b>Rhodes, Betsy ES</b>	3	<b>38%</b>	*	22.09	15.71	31.02	23.84			
	<b>Ries, Aldeane Comito ES</b>	3	<b>42%</b>	11%	21.14	24.76	26.70	24.79			
	<b>Roberts, Aggie ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	11%	21.08	15.03	16.88	16.91			
	<b>Rogers, Lucille S ES</b>	4	<b>45%</b>	12%	21.51	19.42	18.91	22.06			
	<b>Ronnow, C C ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	50%	14.59	16.23	15.09	19.01			
	<b>Ronzone, Bertha ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	38%	21.91	14.59	17.93	24.25			
	<b>Roundy, Dr C Owen ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	55%	12.63	13.98	17.92	21.30			
	<b>Rowe, Lewis E ES</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	32%	14.74	19.98	15.71	18.61			
	<b>Rundle, Richard ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	39%	16.15	18.17	16.58	18.90			
	<b>Sandy Valley ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	19%	12.47	17.27	7.50	18.07			
	<b>Scherkenbach , William &amp; Mary ES</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	*	19.20	18.29	22.84	23.34			
	<b>Schorr, Steve ES</b>	3	<b>45%</b>	*	18.38	23.66	27.33	29.44			
	<b>Scott, Jesse D ES</b>	1	<b>76%</b>	17%	12.06	17.92	17.44	23.30			
	<b>Sewell, C T ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	*	14.29	15.71	15.25	18.86			
	<b>Simmons, Eva G ES</b>	4	<b>60%</b>	13%	26.02	18.30	26.29	37.28			
	<b>Smalley, James E &amp; A Rae ES</b>	5	<b>12%</b>	*	18.74	17.17	21.32	21.67			
	<b>Smith, Hal ES</b>	1	<b>100%</b>	24%	16.24	15.76	19.23	18.07			
	<b>Smith, Helen M ES</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	17%	12.32	20.51	16.70	23.64			
	<b>Snyder, Don &amp; Dee ES</b>	3	<b>42%</b>	*	18.72	26.04	28.84	24.29			
	<b>Snyder, William E ES</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	42%	18.00	18.64	25.34	12.90			
	<b>Squires, C P ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	63%	19.29	14.80	23.57	20.88			
	<b>Stanford ES</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	42%	20.59	15.02	17.89	19.33			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Staton, Ethel W ES		4	20%	*	20.18	22.42	16.20	20.80			
Steele, Judith D ES		5	32%	12%	19.68	19.72	16.48	34.24			
Stevens, Josh ES		3	57%	11%	17.88	18.14	18.27	20.30			
Stuckey, Evelyn ES		5	32%	*	19.55	19.53	18.95	22.89			
Sunrise Acres ES		2	100%	53%	23.15	17.18	20.24	14.56			
Tanaka, Wayne N ES		2	50%	*	27.53	16.62	12.85	22.32			
Tarr, Sheila Academy of Int'l Studies ES		4	40%	*	20.03	20.44	24.82	23.89			
Tartan, John ES		1	100%	13%	23.59	15.70	15.54	15.56			
Tate, Myrtle ES		2	100%	43%	16.94	20.02	26.29	15.80			
Taylor, Glen C ES		5	22%	*	16.89	18.83	19.35	23.34			
Taylor, Robert L ES		1	100%	*	15.79	15.27	27.23	18.28			
Thiriot, Joseph E ES		3	100%	32%	22.23	19.39	20.74	31.40			
Thomas, Ruby S ES		1	100%	46%	19.36	15.33	15.06	17.81			
Thompson, Sandra L ES		4	40%	*	14.77	21.64	21.82	18.50			
Thorpe, Jim ES		2	69%	17%	30.31	20.29	15.90	12.87			
Tobler, R E ES		3	100%	19%	18.23	25.29	28.79	18.26			
Tomiyasu, Bill Y ES		2	58%	20%	16.19	12.46	25.35	17.29			
Treem, Harriet ES		4	100%	14%	17.24	19.86	20.74	21.67			
Triggs, Vincent L ES		5	100%	*	18.82	17.98	17.46	20.16			
Twin Lakes ES		2	100%	58%	24.87	17.25	16.50	17.16			
Twitchell, Neil C ES		4	20%	*	20.92	18.42	18.97	22.46			
Ullom, J M ES		2	100%	36%	31.91	22.82	20.57	24.30			
Vanderburg, John ES		4	10%	*	15.42	23.10	19.68	20.01			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Vassiliadis, Billy & Rosemary ES		5	*	*	18.73	19.08	20.34	20.60			
Vegas Verdes ES		3	100%	42%	22.81	17.71	14.79	25.11			
Virgin Valley ES		4	62%	22%	21.66	15.28	21.88	21.80			
Walker, J Marlan Int'l School ES		3	26%	*	21.29	18.87	34.94	25.03			
Wallin, Shirley & Bill ES		5	10%	*	24.48	13.19	25.42	22.61			
Ward, Gene ES		1	100%	41%	47.09	16.31	16.11	21.37			
Ward, Kitty McDonough ES		4	33%	*	23.28	18.75	20.63	22.11			
Warren, Rose ES		3	100%	50%	17.18	19.83	15.88	20.27			
Wasden, Howard ES		3	100%	23%	17.78	14.76	18.10	20.61			
Watson, Fredric W ES		2	71%	18%	17.49	16.36	15.99	26.20			
Wengert, Cyril ES		3	100%	43%	25.32	20.01	26.42	19.75			
West Prep ES		2	86%	53%	27.50	17.93	16.77	14.88			
Whitney ES		1	100%	23%	15.24	18.94	19.73	16.83			
Wiener, Jr , Louis ES		4	100%	13%	19.69	25.15	17.34	22.15			
Wilhelm, Elizabeth ES		1	100%	18%	10.68	18.26	13.94	17.39			
Williams, Tom ES		2	100%	61%	20.91	16.06	18.02	20.21			
Williams, Wendell ES		1	100%	17%	24.23	12.47	17.61	12.66			
Wolfe, Eva ES		2	100%	17%	21.55	17.21	17.53	21.92			
Wolff, Elise L ES		5	15%	*	25.52	17.28	20.61	24.94			
Woolley, Gwendolyn ES		2	100%	38%	15.65	15.14	21.49	29.18			
Wright, William V ES		3	34%	*	23.55	18.41	23.39	15.09			
Wynn, Elaine ES		2	100%	53%	28.02	14.33	16.71	22.26			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Lincoln	NV Learning Academy ES	3	#N/A	#N/A				8.55			
	Thompson, Tyrone ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	14.09	15.98	21.39	17.11			
	Caliente Elem	4	64%	*	8.00	10.00	18.00	18.00			
	Panaca Elem	3	46%	*	20.00	20.00	16.00	16.00			
	Pioche Elem	4	51%	*	13.46	10.42	6.00	6.00			
	PVES	3	42%	*	16.00	22.00	18.00	21.00			
Washoe	Alice Smith Elementary	2	60%	23%	17.28	17.48	19.71	18.58			
	Allen Elementary	2	100%	38%	20.74	17.77	16.48	19.98			
	Anderson Elementary	1	100%	43%	17.02	16.11	15.17	19.61			
	Beasley Elementary	3	20%	*	20.16	16.03	16.00	20.18			
	Beck Elementary	5	24%	11%	21.23	19.46	18.98	20.16			
	Bennett Elementary	2	70%	30%	17.53	18.85	15.11	20.23			
	Bohach Elementary	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	21.31	25.07	23.31	20.07			
	Booth Elementary	1	100%	33%	17.79	16.71	19.16	19.20			
	Brown Elementary	5	10%	*	15.34	17.80	18.16	22.82			
	Cannan Elementary	2	100%	39%	15.11	15.86	18.59	22.77			
	Caughlin Ranch Elementary	5	*	*	19.03	20.35	19.71	17.60			
	Corbett Elementary	4	100%	57%	16.44	17.00	17.31	22.05			
	Desert Heights Elementary	2	100%	24%	17.17	17.00	14.89	19.41			
	Diedrichsen Elementary	4	39%	*	24.42	15.48	17.08	18.10			
	Dodson Elementary	3	61%	28%	14.13	16.71	19.28	15.36			
	Donner Springs Elementary	4	53%	21%	18.33	16.32	13.53	17.41			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	<b>Double Diamond Elementary</b>	3	<b>22%</b>	10%	20.59	15.27	15.69	17.56			
	<b>Drake Elementary</b>	4	<b>67%</b>	28%	14.87	12.01	17.98	12.40			
	<b>Duncan Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	51%	13.90	16.35	20.12	23.60			
	<b>Dunn Elementary</b>	2	<b>53%</b>	22%	16.99	14.71	18.21	17.76			
	<b>Elmcrest Elementary</b>	2	<b>63%</b>	18%	14.96	13.92	18.08	18.10			
	<b>Gomes Elementary</b>	2	<b>39%</b>	*	19.74	17.13	18.22	18.81			
	<b>Gomm Elementary</b>	5	*	*	24.15	14.13	15.81	17.78			
	<b>Greenbrae Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	45%	18.08	15.12	19.74	18.41			
	<b>Hall Elementary</b>	3	<b>27%</b>	*	21.87	14.54	13.97	18.88			
	<b>Hidden Valley Elementary</b>	3	<b>46%</b>	12%	18.47	22.79	15.51	17.54			
	<b>Huffaker Elementary</b>	4	<b>25%</b>	*	13.38	15.46	15.65	15.70			
	<b>Hunsberger Elementary</b>	5	*	*	15.67	18.71	16.95	18.34			
	<b>Hunter Lake Elementary</b>	5	<b>42%</b>	*	16.71	15.82	16.49	18.15			
	<b>Incline Elementary</b>	3	<b>32%</b>	38%	19.53	19.93	18.78	17.06			
	<b>Juniper Elementary</b>	3	<b>45%</b>	18%	14.17	14.71	15.49	21.80			
	<b>Kate Smith Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	55%	16.63	16.47	19.28	21.33			
	<b>Lemelson Elementary</b>	3	<b>100%</b>	38%	16.42	15.89	13.75	17.70			
	<b>Lemmon Valley Elementary</b>	2	<b>54%</b>	26%	21.41	16.56	18.17	19.57			
	<b>Lenz Elementary</b>	4	*	*	22.94	16.27	15.68	21.76			
	<b>Lincoln Park Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	38%	16.29	18.97	16.64	17.73			
	<b>Loder Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	60%	19.48	15.95	18.22	15.01			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
	<b>Mathews Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	49%	17.16	15.02	14.87	18.30			
	<b>Maxwell Elementary</b>	4	<b>100%</b>	32%	19.44	18.25	23.72	16.76			
	<b>Melton Elementary</b>	5	*	*	18.14	17.45	20.16	18.70			
	<b>Mitchell Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	38%	17.95	13.75	18.62	17.58			
	<b>Moss Elementary</b>	3	<b>37%</b>	16%	22.89	17.71	16.55	18.43			
	<b>Mount Rose Elementary</b>	5	<b>37%</b>	*	16.69	16.16	15.90	25.03			
	<b>Natchez Elementary</b>	1	<b>100%</b>	*	11.92	11.03	13.97	10.50			
	<b>Palmer Elementary</b>	3	<b>67%</b>	33%	22.58	17.35	14.00	20.40			
	<b>Peavine Elementary</b>	3	<b>43%</b>	12%	19.20	17.50	18.80	21.88			
	<b>Pleasant Valley Elementary</b>	4	#N/A	#N/A	15.62	18.41	17.37	19.74			
	<b>Poulakidas Elementary</b>	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	22.50	19.40	17.35	20.62			
	<b>Risley Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	48%	15.44	18.10	19.97	17.53			
	<b>Sepulveda Elementary</b>	3	<b>25%</b>	12%	14.21	16.95	17.06	23.52			
	<b>Silver Lake Elementary</b>	4	<b>43%</b>	19%	18.25	18.39	14.51	20.86			
	<b>Smithridge Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	51%	22.21	17.13	17.53	18.51			
	<b>Spanish Springs Elementary</b>	4	<b>12%</b>	*	18.79	12.57	13.78	17.44			
	<b>Stead Elementary</b>	2	<b>65%</b>	27%	20.42	17.55	17.61	20.51			
	<b>Sun Valley Elementary</b>	2	<b>100%</b>	52%	17.69	16.29	15.62	21.03			
	<b>Taylor Elementary</b>	5	<b>18%</b>	*	14.06	16.29	16.85	17.04			
	<b>Towles Elementary</b>	4	<b>43%</b>	*	23.94	17.40	20.02	21.75			
	<b>Van Gorder Elementary</b>	5	*	*	20.09	16.87	14.88	17.30			

School Name	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Pershing	Verdi Elementary	3	16%	*	16.46	15.43	19.47	23.36			
	Veterans Elementary	1	100%	43%	10.81	14.77	15.04	16.29			
	Warner Elementary	3	65%	17%	19.08	18.46	19.30	23.14			
	Westergard Elementary	3	16%	*	16.99	18.27	16.28	24.94			
	Whitehead Elementary	4	26%	*	15.32	19.13	15.87	18.92			
	Winnemucca Elementary	4	35%	10%	16.71	17.22	12.59	19.84			
	Lovelock Elementary School	2	55%	*	17.00	16.67	20.00	18.67			

## FY20 Class Size Ratios Average

Plan Type	District	School Name	Star Rating	FRL	ELL	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
Alt.	Carson	Bordewich										
		Bray										
		Elementary	3	43%	14%	19.66	20.78	22.57	20.29	18.98	23.62	N/A
		Empire										
		Elementary	3	100%	44%	21.59	17.92	16.99	16.17	21.96	24.22	N/A
		Fremont										
		Elementary	3	52%	28%	23.63	16.97	21.04	21.50	23.77	23.98	N/A
		Fritsch										
		Elementary	4	34%	16%	24.43	17.12	22.87	22.68	26.33	25.95	N/A
		Mark Twain										
		Elementary	4	100%	31%	20.44	20.89	21.21	19.18	22.86	22.76	N/A
		Seeliger										
		Elementary	5	36%	17%	21.32	20.78	20.20	19.47	20.32	21.19	N/A
		E.C. Best										
		Elementary School	Not Rated	54%	11%	N/A	N/A	22.84	22.78	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Lahontan										
		Elementary School	Not Rated	53%	*	20.41	19.68	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Numa										
		Elementary School	Not Rated	51%	12%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.18	23.90	N/A
		C.C. Meneley										
		Elementary	4	51%	*	26.58	17.65	24.66	24.28	20.89	21.65	N/A
		Gardnerville										
		Elementary	4	35%	12%	23.94	21.70	20.99	21.62	25.20	26.01	N/A
		Gene Scarselli										
		Elementary	4	34%	*	24.84	24.29	25.25	21.20	23.90	22.80	N/A
		Jacks Valley										
		Elementary	3	45%	14%	24.91	23.79	19.10	19.74	24.99	22.17	N/A
		Minden										
		Elementary	4	29%	*	34.70	19.73	20.88	16.13	25.31	26.18	N/A
		Pinon										
		Elementary	4	19%	*	19.25	24.60	21.55	23.00	22.00	25.78	N/A
		Pinon Hill										
		Elementary	4	19%	*	20.22	26.33	21.77	22.56	21.96	25.45	N/A
		Pinon Hills Elelmentar										
		Pinon Hills Elelmentar	4	19%	*	20.67	26.50	22.00	22.50	22.00	26.00	N/A

Elko	Zephyr Cove Elementary	<b>3</b>	38%	14%	19.80	16.65	19.07	19.43	18.98	29.43	18.14
	Carlin Elementary School	<b>2</b>	47%	*	16.29	21.19	22.87	19.78	24.96	21.12	22.26
	Flagview Intermediate School	<b>2</b>	43%	16%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.49	28.19
	Grammar School #2	<b>2</b>	42%	*	19.90	18.79	20.14	18.37	21.22	N/A	N/A
	Jackpot Elementary School	<b>2</b>	79%	32%	20.00	21.71	15.00	15.32	19.29	18.30	13.79
	Liberty Peak Elementary School	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	22.49	20.75	20.77	20.53	24.91	25.38	N/A
	Mountain View Elementary School	<b>5</b>	21%	11%	21.50	23.31	23.18	23.14	24.41	N/A	N/A
	Northside Elementary School	<b>3</b>	44%	16%	20.91	15.92	17.84	17.89	19.16	N/A	N/A
	Owyhee Elementary School	<b>1</b>	100%	*	9.73	12.07	14.39	17.03	12.48	15.32	12.96
	Sage Elementary School	<b>1</b>	35%	*	23.66	17.71	20.78	19.43	26.70	22.72	N/A
	Southside Elementary School	<b>4</b>	63%	26%	24.57	20.28	19.41	19.08	22.47	N/A	N/A
	Spring Creek Elementary School	<b>3</b>	16%	*	20.77	18.67	23.29	17.10	21.53	20.88	N/A
	Wells Elementary School	<b>3</b>	49%	17%	24.29	18.59	18.39	19.21	18.08	23.48	25.31
Eureka	West Wendover Elementary School	<b>2</b>	78%	32%	16.81	20.29	18.06	17.85	18.67	26.10	21.44
	Crescent	<b>2</b>	41%	*	12.22	14.28	6.96	15.53	18.22	18.33	19.09

	Valley Elementary											
	Eureka Elementary	<b>3</b>	22%	*	17.99	13.99	11.16	17.07	14.36	24.00	24.00	
<b>Humboldt</b>	Denio Elementary School	<b>Not Rated</b>	*	*	5.72	6.11	6.34	5.69	N/A	5.28	4.96	
	French Ford Middle School	<b>2</b>	50%	12%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	27.09	28.57	
	Grass Valley Elementary School	<b>3</b>	48%	*	16.93	20.54	18.50	18.55	17.29	N/A	N/A	
	Kings River Elementary School	<b>Not Rated</b>	*	*	N/A	6.69	7.36	N/A	7.17	7.49	7.17	
	McDermitt Combined School	<b>1</b>	99%	*	7.57	8.00	9.00	9.50	13.00	8.08	13.36	
	Orovada Elementary School	<b>2</b>	63%	31%	19.08	18.23	17.16	17.97	14.58	5.27	4.85	
	Paradise Valley Elementary School	<b>2</b>	56%	*	10.83	10.20	10.83	7.53	7.47	7.58	2.00	
	Sonoma Heights Elementary School	<b>3</b>	51%	16%	22.20	19.82	20.18	23.92	23.46	N/A	N/A	
	Winnemucca Grammar School	<b>4</b>	59%	21%	21.04	20.25	21.46	21.87	21.53	N/A	N/A	
<b>Lander</b>	Austin K-12	<b>Not Rated</b>	*	*	4.39	3.49	3.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.49	
	Battle Mountain Elementary School	<b>2</b>	34%	10%	22.66	20.37	18.90	22.23	23.19	23.49	N/A	
<b>Lyon</b>	Cottonwood Elementary	<b>2</b>	65%	*	19.90	24.13	21.48	23.12	22.68	N/A	N/A	
	Dayton Elementary	<b>3</b>	60%	11%	22.37	18.89	24.04	23.03	20.14	22.08	20.67	

	East Valley Elementary	<b>3</b>	56%	*	18.93	25.96	23.04	25.21	24.25	N/A	N/A
	Fernley Elementary	<b>2</b>	71%	*	16.76	24.33	23.26	21.48	23.90	N/A	N/A
	Fernley Intermediate	<b>3</b>	#N/A	#N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.84	26.22
	Riverview Elementary	<b>3</b>	52%	*	20.16	17.74	23.60	23.19	23.01	28.98	22.24
	Silver Stage Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	*	14.85	19.04	18.50	20.10	23.66	N/A	N/A
	Silver Stage Middle	<b>3</b>	#N/A	#N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	23.32	22.93
	Smith Valley	<b>2</b>	31%	*	18.90	7.58	9.00	13.46	14.94	10.17	17.54
	Sutro Elementary	<b>2</b>	63%	*	13.17	19.03	20.63	20.88	25.63	26.86	17.78
	Yerington Elementary	<b>1</b>	73%	17%	19.68	21.64	24.10	23.73	26.06	N/A	N/A
	Yerington Intermediate	<b>2</b>	65%	*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.11	24.58
<b>Mineral</b>	Hawthorne Elementary	<b>4</b>	51%	*	21.80	15.10	16.84	15.62	18.70	17.67	17.09
	Schurz Elementary	<b>1</b>	100%	66%	7.48	10.94	25.92	17.92	28.71	5.93	18.18
<b>Nye</b>	Amargosa Valley Elementary School	<b>1</b>	100%	41%	17.21	9.30	15.53	11.14	17.94	12.96	N/A
	Beatty Elementary School	<b>4</b>	100%	21%	22.00	21.86	20.47	20.57	13.95	14.04	N/A
	Duckwater Elementary School	<b>5</b>	*	*	8.00	8.00	N/A	7.89	N/A	7.69	N/A
	Floyd Elementary School	<b>2</b>	100%	*	25.74	24.78	26.83	23.50	24.97	27.61	N/A
	Gabbs Elementary School	<b>1</b>	100%	*	22.82	22.59	22.25	22.65	22.30	22.22	N/A
	Hafen Elementary School	<b>3</b>	100%	*	22.90	20.99	23.63	22.95	24.11	31.46	N/A
	JG Johnson Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	*	19.35	21.08	19.24	23.07	23.69	26.73	N/A

	School											
	Manse Elementary School	<b>3</b>	100%	10%	21.31	23.58	23.03	22.89	23.19	24.70	N/A	
	Round Mountain Elementary School	<b>1</b>	14%	*	13.53	23.51	18.59	22.34	25.91	20.40	N/A	
	Tonopah Elementary School	<b>2</b>	40%	*	19.05	16.95	17.69	16.88	18.48	16.95	N/A	
	Warm Springs Elementary School	<b>Not Rated</b>	*	*	N/A	6.90	7.14	6.98	6.98	7.14	N/A	
<b>Storey</b>	Hillside Elementary	<b>4</b>	45%	*	4.00	16.41	16.02	24.16	23.97	24.09	N/A	
	Hugh Gallagher Elementary	<b>3</b>	35%	*	28.15	20.42	25.08	18.35	21.51	27.68	N/A	
<b>White Pine</b>	Baker Elementary	<b>4</b>	*	*	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.00	14.00	14.00	0.00	
	D.E. Norman Elementary	<b>1</b>	47%	*	21.38	19.77	19.48	20.87	19.03	23.41	N/A	
	Lund Elementary	<b>1</b>	*	*	13.14	16.07	16.07	20.71	20.71	20.71	N/A	
	McGill Elementary	<b>2</b>	48%	*	21.97	18.13	24.89	24.89	19.08	26.27	N/A	
<b>Reg.</b>	Abston, Sandra B ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	23.89	24.58	21.46	26.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Adams, Kirk ES	<b>3</b>	64%	32%	16.88	22.00	19.10	23.71	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Adcock, O K ES	<b>2</b>	100%	35%	20.23	16.19	17.37	21.91	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Alamo, Tony ES	<b>4</b>	47%	16%	19.49	20.05	23.41	23.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Allen, Dean LaMar ES	<b>3</b>	27%	*	20.76	23.44	27.94	23.93	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Antonello, Lee ES	<b>1</b>	65%	15%	19.45	20.65	27.60	29.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Bailey, Sister Robert Joseph ES	<b>2</b>	100%	23%	20.63	16.84	17.04	14.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Barber,	<b>3</b>	#N/A	#N/A	21.87	23.60	22.62	17.11	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	Shirley A ES											
Bartlett, Selma F ES	<b>4</b>	29%	*	22.81	22.82	18.80	23.44	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bass, John C ES	<b>3</b>	51%	11%	23.03	23.19	22.26	20.85	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Batterman, Kathy L ES	<b>5</b>	33%	11%	21.43	22.00	24.34	22.58	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Beatty, John R ES	<b>3</b>	100%	10%	26.13	19.67	19.86	25.31	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Beckley, Will ES	<b>2</b>	100%	46%	21.83	16.34	17.27	20.44	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bell, Rex ES	<b>2</b>	100%	41%	16.54	17.91	18.39	18.62	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bendorf, Patricia A ES	<b>3</b>	53%	19%	23.21	25.29	22.14	21.47	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bennett, William G ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	18.19	14.06	18.38	18.82	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Berkley, Shelley ES	<b>3</b>	50%	*	26.84	19.59	25.88	29.84	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bilbray, James ES	<b>5</b>	100%	*	21.86	21.12	24.21	26.96	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bonner, John W ES	<b>4</b>	22%	12%	18.31	19.35	21.60	27.17	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Booker, Kermit R Sr ES	<b>2</b>	100%	32%	19.34	18.30	19.01	18.02	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bowler, Grant ES	<b>5</b>	19%	*	21.13	16.99	17.39	34.79	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bowler, Joseph L ES	<b>2</b>	75%	30%	21.25	17.85	18.12	18.14	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bozarth, Henry & Evelyn ES	<b>4</b>	17%	*	18.95	22.64	24.11	26.61	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bracken, Walter ES	<b>3</b>	59%	35%	21.02	26.45	26.94	23.63	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Brookman, Eileen B ES	<b>2</b>	61%	24%	20.32	16.85	22.61	28.42	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bruner, Lucile ES	<b>2</b>	100%	25%	22.22	13.86	19.82	22.05	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bryan, Richard H ES	<b>3</b>	48%	11%	21.47	20.68	22.57	26.07	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bryan, Roger M ES	<b>4</b>	56%	20%	23.24	19.65	18.65	20.72	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Bunker, Berkeley L	<b>2</b>	100%	25%	20.77	19.03	15.98	16.99	N/A	N/A	N/A		

ES											
Cahlan, Marion ES	<b>3</b>	100%	54%	21.71	22.53	20.25	20.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cambeiro, Arturo ES	<b>3</b>	100%	53%	21.85	21.20	17.79	23.78	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Carl, Kay ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	22.54	17.80	14.44	19.88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Carson, Kit ES	<b>2</b>	100%	24%	16.40	17.14	14.32	13.97	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cartwright, Roberta C ES	<b>4</b>	52%	10%	20.14	18.55	26.24	26.99	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Christense n, M J ES	<b>4</b>	51%	14%	19.65	23.59	27.07	19.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Conners, Eileen ES	<b>3</b>	52%	*	22.01	21.93	20.74	21.43	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cortez, Manuel J ES	<b>3</b>	100%	51%	21.56	16.68	16.31	19.28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cox, Clyde C ES	<b>2</b>	100%	39%	23.56	16.25	15.84	19.04	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cox, David M ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	18.74	17.67	20.17	21.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cozine, Steve and Linda ES	<b>2</b>	100%	16%	24.14	23.73	21.77	23.82	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Craig, Lois ES	<b>1</b>	100%	40%	22.53	17.15	17.80	22.81	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Crestwood ES	<b>2</b>	81%	55%	22.19	17.49	22.07	25.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Culley, Paul E ES	<b>2</b>	100%	41%	20.17	17.89	15.90	20.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cunningha m, Cynthia ES	<b>2</b>	100%	20%	19.78	17.84	16.82	25.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dailey, Jack ES	<b>3</b>	100%	49%	20.37	20.06	19.14	34.02	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Darnell, Marshall C ES	<b>3</b>	100%	*	19.21	16.80	20.41	22.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dearing, Laura ES	<b>2</b>	100%	35%	23.47	21.40	27.80	23.38	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Decker, C H ES	<b>2</b>	100%	30%	18.00	19.68	15.99	21.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Derfelt, Herbert A ES	<b>2</b>	57%	18%	17.91	19.95	19.96	29.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Deskin,	<b>3</b>	100%	18%	19.41	24.98	17.53	28.39	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Ruthe ES											
Detwiler, Ollie ES	<b>2</b>	100%	32%	20.63	17.08	15.18	16.79	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diaz, Ruben P ES	<b>5</b>	100%	48%	22.91	18.70	20.95	28.05	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dickens, D L Dusty ES	<b>2</b>	74%	13%	22.35	21.27	22.83	26.79	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diskin, P A ES	<b>2</b>	100%	36%	21.27	20.79	22.31	22.87	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Divich, Kenneth ES	<b>5</b>	#N/A	#N/A	20.84	20.73	23.35	26.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dondero, Harvey N ES	<b>4</b>	100%	43%	20.55	22.95	24.49	23.22	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dooley, John ES	<b>3</b>	100%	*	16.61	21.84	25.17	22.72	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Duncan, Ruby ES	<b>1</b>	68%	*	22.79	14.52	13.76	19.69	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Earl, Ira J ES	<b>2</b>	100%	62%	21.95	15.05	16.73	19.91	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Earl, Marion B ES	<b>2</b>	63%	20%	21.53	20.58	20.52	19.27	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Edwards, Elbert ES	<b>2</b>	100%	45%	19.69	22.89	20.37	20.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Eisenberg, Dorothy ES	<b>3</b>	100%	12%	20.67	20.05	21.83	24.77	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Elizondo, Raul P ES	<b>1</b>	100%	21%	18.29	23.06	23.52	24.84	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ellis, Robert & Sandy ES	<b>4</b>	#N/A	#N/A	25.88	24.17	22.87	23.53	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ferron, William E ES	<b>2</b>	100%	29%	19.79	18.62	19.84	24.28	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fine, Mark L ES	<b>4</b>	46%	15%	23.32	21.65	22.09	25.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fitzgerald, H P ES	<b>2</b>	100%	31%	15.60	13.24	16.42	16.73	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fong, Wing and Lilly ES	<b>3</b>	100%	26%	18.76	21.60	19.56	20.24	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Forbuss, Robert L ES	<b>3</b>	40%	*	27.76	19.95	20.53	23.64	N/A	N/A	N/A	
French, Doris ES	<b>3</b>	100%	23%	19.95	24.73	23.68	23.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Frias, Charles &	<b>5</b>	31%	*	25.68	22.66	18.69	23.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Phyllis ES											
Galloway, Fay ES	<b>2</b>	44%	*	19.82	17.07	20.67	18.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Garehime, Edith ES	<b>3</b>	42%	*	17.59	17.08	18.35	21.24	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gehring, Roger D Acad of Science & Tech	<b>5</b>	55%	*	N/A	20.76	20.64	23.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gibson, James ES	<b>4</b>	100%	*	20.04	18.39	25.67	22.62	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gilbert, CVT ES	<b>2</b>	61%	15%	18.72	16.83	20.13	22.59	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Givens, Linda Rankin ES	<b>5</b>	14%	*	27.47	22.21	24.21	35.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Goldfarb, Daniel ES	<b>3</b>	100%	36%	20.47	16.21	15.95	33.67	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Goolsby, Judy & John L ES	<b>5</b>	23%	10%	22.94	22.72	22.03	21.99	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Goynes, Theron H & Naomi D ES	<b>3</b>	39%	*	25.69	20.91	26.83	22.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gragson, Oran K ES	<b>3</b>	100%	46%	19.34	16.85	16.83	18.94	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gray, R Guild ES	<b>2</b>	100%	30%	21.27	15.82	15.90	17.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Griffith, E W ES	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	24.09	16.73	14.43	19.69	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Guy, Addeliar D III ES	<b>3</b>	100%	16%	19.45	19.72	20.51	22.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hancock, Doris ES	<b>1</b>	100%	28%	22.61	14.96	16.31	20.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Harmon, Harley ES	<b>1</b>	80%	39%	19.91	22.94	16.38	21.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Harris, George E ES	<b>2</b>	100%	28%	22.90	14.74	19.61	27.36	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hayden, Don E ES	<b>3</b>	66%	12%	24.60	16.74	17.47	20.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hayes, Keith C & Karen W ES	<b>2</b>	100%	12%	27.66	20.48	20.71	24.21	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Heard,	<b>2</b>	100%	33%	N/A	14.27	15.99	19.32	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Lomie G ES											
Heckethorn, Howard E ES	<b>4</b>	29%	*	25.42	20.45	20.81	22.46	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Herr, Helen ES	<b>1</b>	78%	32%	19.32	14.72	14.84	18.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Herron, Fay ES	<b>5</b>	100%	57%	22.79	20.72	25.18	23.37	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hewetson, Halle ES	<b>2</b>	100%	58%	20.94	16.73	17.17	17.36	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hickey, Liliam Lujan ES	<b>2</b>	100%	31%	22.55	16.70	17.78	19.64	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hill, Charlotte ES	<b>4</b>	100%	13%	22.51	16.20	19.09	23.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hinman, Edna F ES	<b>2</b>	100%	19%	19.03	14.99	15.99	19.95	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hoggard, Mabel ES	<b>4</b>	100%	30%	19.68	20.38	23.42	24.83	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hollingsworth, Howard ES	<b>1</b>	100%	50%	15.50	17.99	14.65	18.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hummel, John R ES	<b>2</b>	100%	13%	18.93	16.34	21.00	18.87	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Indian Springs ES	<b>1</b>	100%	*	11.87	17.96	14.98	19.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Iverson, Mervin ES	<b>2</b>	100%	25%	18.62	18.69	19.92	19.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Jacobson, Walter ES	<b>2</b>	58%	18%	23.60	18.27	16.89	18.04	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Jeffers, Jay W ES	<b>2</b>	100%	55%	22.13	15.67	19.90	17.91	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Jenkins, Earl N ES	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	21.58	20.63	22.89	29.24	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Jones Blackhurst, Jan L ES	<b>5</b>	28%	*	23.57	22.16	21.74	22.59	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Jydstrup, Helen ES	<b>4</b>	100%	24%	24.68	20.20	21.55	23.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Kahre, Marc ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	18.58	14.61	15.55	16.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Katz, Edythe & Lloyd ES	<b>1</b>	100%	17%	23.33	16.30	16.33	16.41	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Keller, Charlotte &	<b>2</b>	100%	47%	20.75	13.65	15.08	17.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Jerry ES											
Kelly, Matt ES	<b>1</b>	100%	10%	25.84	18.26	17.35	16.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Kesterson, Lorna J ES	<b>3</b>	47%	*	21.01	21.99	23.95	22.57	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Kim, Frank ES	<b>2</b>	69%	22%	27.10	15.28	17.73	15.79	N/A	N/A	N/A	
King Jr, Martin Luther ES	<b>2</b>	100%	40%	24.35	15.60	16.30	15.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	
King, Martha P ES	<b>3</b>	100%	*	N/A	N/A	N/A	23.88	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lake, Robert E ES	<b>3</b>	100%	47%	21.38	16.90	19.08	20.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lamping, Frank ES	<b>4</b>	17%	*	29.45	19.48	19.03	22.59	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lincoln ES	<b>2</b>	100%	60%	19.51	15.07	17.37	17.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Long, Walter V ES	<b>1</b>	100%	44%	22.32	17.82	15.01	21.46	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lowman, Mary & Zel ES	<b>1</b>	100%	16%	21.40	16.21	15.36	20.91	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lummis, William ES	<b>4</b>	22%	*	21.81	17.89	22.35	27.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lunt, Robert ES	<b>2</b>	100%	55%	21.04	17.99	15.68	17.11	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lynch, Ann ES	<b>1</b>	100%	48%	20.95	15.40	18.17	18.28	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mack, Nate ES	<b>4</b>	47%	*	23.91	23.41	21.57	20.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mackey, Jo ES	<b>4</b>	70%	19%	20.19	17.86	18.80	24.36	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Manch, J E ES	<b>1</b>	100%	20%	18.90	17.72	15.26	17.66	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Martinez, Reynaldo L ES	<b>3</b>	100%	39%	18.98	22.60	18.45	32.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathis, Dr. Beverly S ES	<b>4</b>	52%	15%	23.96	19.47	18.80	23.58	N/A	N/A	N/A	
May, Ernest ES	<b>4</b>	50%	*	22.17	19.54	23.65	29.92	N/A	N/A	N/A	
McCall, Quannah ES	<b>1</b>	100%	51%	18.15	13.49	18.58	16.19	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	McCaw, Gordon ES	<b>5</b>	58%	*	23.05	18.87	29.43	22.45	N/A	N/A	N/A
	McDoniel, Estes M ES	<b>5</b>	100%	*	24.52	24.30	21.58	24.79	N/A	N/A	N/A
	McMillan, James B ES	<b>2</b>	100%	21%	21.58	21.15	19.27	20.46	N/A	N/A	N/A
	McWilliam s, J T ES	<b>2</b>	100%	48%	21.51	18.94	17.58	19.36	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mendoza, John F ES	<b>2</b>	77%	44%	21.92	15.49	17.43	17.25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Miller, Sandy Searles ES	<b>3</b>	70%	34%	N/A	19.34	16.53	20.74	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mitchell, Andrew ES	<b>Not Rated</b>	100%	*	16.62	18.82	21.55	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Moore, William K ES	<b>1</b>	100%	45%	22.55	18.63	17.54	19.94	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Morrow, Sue H ES	<b>4</b>	42%	*	22.69	20.43	29.91	24.04	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mountain View ES	<b>2</b>	82%	30%	18.49	18.09	15.77	24.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Neal, Joseph M ES	<b>4</b>	100%	*	24.13	15.85	16.92	20.51	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Newton, Ulis ES	<b>4</b>	100%	*	19.22	22.28	21.89	25.66	N/A	N/A	N/A
	NW Career- Technical Academy ES	#N/A	*	*	15.81	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	O Roarke, Thomas ES	<b>5</b>	26%	*	21.97	18.77	24.89	22.09	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ober, D'Vorre & Hal ES	<b>4</b>	33%	13%	19.72	21.83	18.19	27.71	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ortwein, Dennis ES	<b>3</b>	#N/A	#N/A	22.57	22.55	26.16	24.28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Paradise Prof Dev ES	<b>2</b>	100%	39%	23.59	16.00	19.56	21.46	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Park, John S ES	<b>2</b>	100%	40%	20.34	15.66	16.25	19.65	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Parson, Claude & Stella ES	<b>2</b>	100%	26%	18.31	15.38	13.20	18.41	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Perkins, Dr	<b>1</b>	100%	25%	20.37	16.40	18.96	20.42	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Claude G ES											
	Perkins, Ute ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	22.72	19.52	15.37	17.23	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Petersen, Dean ES	<b>1</b>	100%	44%	22.87	16.82	16.69	18.42	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Piggott Academy ES	<b>3</b>	31%	11%	21.75	19.75	19.59	25.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Pittman, Vail ES	<b>3</b>	100%	37%	21.89	24.28	24.07	22.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Priest, Richard C ES	<b>2</b>	100%	20%	21.47	17.20	11.89	29.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Red Rock ES	<b>1</b>	100%	34%	17.29	15.52	18.11	18.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Reed, Doris M ES	<b>2</b>	100%	29%	19.23	15.00	17.05	17.32	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Reedom, Carolyn S ES	<b>3</b>	32%	*	19.62	16.70	19.26	23.63	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Rhodes, Betsy ES	<b>3</b>	38%	*	23.29	23.65	19.35	25.14	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Ries, Aldeane Comito ES	<b>3</b>	42%	11%	17.88	19.40	19.62	18.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Roberts, Aggie ES	<b>2</b>	100%	11%	21.22	18.59	19.33	16.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Rogers, Lucille S ES	<b>4</b>	45%	12%	22.45	20.76	20.27	21.41	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Ronnow, C C ES	<b>2</b>	100%	50%	20.01	15.71	22.15	17.55	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Ronzone, Bertha ES	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	20.32	16.84	19.62	17.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Roundy, Dr C Owen ES	<b>2</b>	100%	55%	19.91	22.03	18.37	20.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Rowe, Lewis E ES	<b>4</b>	100%	32%	21.91	19.97	18.20	18.93	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Rundle, Richard ES	<b>2</b>	100%	39%	21.31	18.98	15.34	16.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Sandy Valley ES	<b>3</b>	100%	19%	16.46	18.47	12.44	14.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Scherkenba ch, William & Mary ES	<b>4</b>	100%	*	21.60	19.81	23.29	22.64	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Schorr, Steve ES	<b>3</b>	45%	*	18.92	22.46	28.17	23.83	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	Scott, Jesse D ES	<b>1</b>	76%	17%	24.85	17.28	17.54	22.76	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Sewell, C T ES	<b>2</b>	100%	*	18.33	25.03	25.32	27.68	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Simmons, Eva G ES	<b>4</b>	60%	13%	22.01	25.52	24.02	21.89	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Smalley, James E & A Rae ES	<b>5</b>	12%	*	13.87	22.56	32.60	23.45	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Smith, Hal ES	<b>1</b>	100%	24%	18.46	18.65	17.38	18.80	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Smith, Helen M ES	<b>4</b>	100%	17%	22.94	17.77	32.40	26.03	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Snyder, Don & Dee ES	<b>3</b>	42%	*	18.17	17.52	35.90	17.27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Snyder, William E ES	<b>3</b>	100%	42%	16.87	23.27	19.22	16.04	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Squires, C P ES	<b>2</b>	100%	63%	34.49	22.43	16.17	27.44	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Stanford ES	<b>2</b>	100%	42%	20.22	18.22	17.28	17.06	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Staton, Ethel W ES	<b>4</b>	20%	*	24.01	19.47	21.37	23.09	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Steele, Judith D ES	<b>5</b>	32%	12%	22.31	21.35	20.05	26.40	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Stevens, Josh ES	<b>3</b>	57%	11%	21.80	23.03	25.77	22.32	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Stuckey, Evelyn ES	<b>5</b>	32%	*	17.98	21.49	19.42	22.97	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Sunrise Acres ES	<b>2</b>	100%	53%	20.15	20.17	18.86	23.21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tanaka, Wayne N ES	<b>2</b>	50%	*	32.74	14.35	16.28	21.87	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tarr, Sheila Academy of Int'l Studies ES	<b>4</b>	40%	*	20.88	19.26	19.72	23.93	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tartan, John ES	<b>1</b>	100%	13%	18.98	17.03	18.87	18.92	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tate, Myrtle ES	<b>2</b>	100%	43%	22.00	21.70	20.97	21.44	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Taylor, Glen C ES	<b>5</b>	22%	*	20.70	22.86	20.49	28.03	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Taylor, Robert L ES	<b>1</b>	100%	*	17.65	16.27	18.62	17.15	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Thiriot, Joseph E ES	<b>3</b>	100%	32%	18.89	20.62	19.32	23.66	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Thomas, Ruby S ES	<b>1</b>	100%	46%	18.19	15.81	15.63	20.95	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Thompson, Sandra L ES	<b>4</b>	40%	*	22.83	22.89	25.05	25.07	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Thorpe, Jim ES	<b>2</b>	69%	17%	19.53	16.15	13.50	18.43	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tobler, R E ES	<b>3</b>	100%	19%	19.31	19.39	17.58	25.32	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tomiyasu, Bill Y ES	<b>2</b>	58%	20%	22.72	16.71	18.34	18.79	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Treem, Harriet ES	<b>4</b>	100%	14%	19.94	16.08	17.61	19.42	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Triggs, Vincent L ES	<b>5</b>	100%	*	20.22	16.78	17.55	18.61	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Twin Lakes ES	<b>2</b>	100%	58%	27.46	16.41	15.58	19.38	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Twitchell, Neil C ES	<b>4</b>	20%	*	24.03	20.38	21.35	23.21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ullom, J M ES	<b>2</b>	100%	36%	20.78	16.76	18.52	19.63	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Vanderburg, John ES	<b>4</b>	10%	*	23.09	21.94	23.07	22.84	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Vassiliadis, Billy & Rosemary ES	<b>5</b>	*	*	25.28	23.22	20.53	25.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Vegas Verdes ES	<b>3</b>	100%	42%	17.96	18.29	15.39	20.62	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Virgin Valley ES	<b>4</b>	62%	22%	22.28	19.73	18.51	23.66	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Walker, J Marlan Int'l School ES	<b>3</b>	26%	*	25.13	22.69	24.71	20.38	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wallin, Shirley & Bill ES	<b>5</b>	10%	*	19.43	22.75	22.87	24.26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ward, Gene ES	<b>1</b>	100%	41%	20.71	16.49	19.05	19.52	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ward, Kitty McDonoug h ES	<b>4</b>	33%	*	22.38	20.62	23.49	21.35	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Warren, Rose ES	<b>3</b>	100%	50%	20.13	14.42	18.38	19.71	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wasden,	<b>3</b>	100%	23%	20.44	16.44	14.95	20.61	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Howard ES										
	Watson, Fredric ES	<b>2</b>	71%	18%	21.56	16.40	15.40	21.01	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Watson, Fredric W ES	<b>2</b>	71%	18%	19.90	15.89	15.40	21.09	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wengert, Cyril ES	<b>3</b>	100%	43%	21.66	26.02	22.66	19.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
	West Prep ES	<b>2</b>	86%	53%	19.77	17.97	18.83	19.68	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Whitney ES	<b>1</b>	100%	23%	19.71	15.85	12.87	18.78	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wiener, Jr , Louis ES	<b>4</b>	100%	13%	22.03	23.72	23.72	23.41	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wilhelm, Elizabeth ES	<b>1</b>	100%	18%	18.66	14.81	16.23	17.34	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Williams, Tom ES	<b>2</b>	100%	61%	20.87	18.78	21.17	23.92	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Williams, Wendell ES	<b>1</b>	100%	17%	20.52	11.97	17.60	20.39	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wolfe, Eva ES	<b>2</b>	100%	17%	22.93	19.21	17.48	22.03	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wolff, Elise L ES	<b>5</b>	15%	*	20.18	23.02	21.98	28.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Woolley, Gwendolyn ES	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	22.17	15.69	17.29	21.81	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wright, William V ES	<b>3</b>	34%	*	17.28	19.79	21.94	28.14	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Wynn, Elaine ES	<b>2</b>	100%	53%	18.54	17.14	16.33	17.63	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Esmeralda</b>	Dyer Elementary	<b>1</b>	52%	30%	0.00	7.89	7.94	6.90	7.04	13.04	12.96
	Goldfield Elementary	<b>Not Rated</b>	62%	*	7.96	10.23	4.55	8.58	9.09	0.00	4.25
	Silver Peak Elementary	<b>Not Rated</b>	#N/A	#N/A	4.00	3.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Lincoln</b>	Caliente Elem	<b>4</b>	64%	*	9.67	11.00	6.00	10.00	12.00	22.22	21.88
	Caliente Elementary School	<b>4</b>	64%	*	8.00	11.00	6.00	11.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pahranagat Valley Elementary School	<b>3</b>	42%	*	20.00	23.00	24.00	14.00	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Panaca Elem	<b>3</b>	46%	*	20.33	20.67	18.67	21.67	16.67	21.33	13.00
	Panaca Elementary School	<b>3</b>	46%	*	22.00	19.00	18.00	21.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pioche Elem	<b>4</b>	51%	*	9.43	14.89	11.36	14.29	12.67	8.00	10.00
	Pioche Elementary School	<b>4</b>	51%	*	15.09	14.89	15.91	16.07	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PVES	<b>3</b>	42%	*	20.00	22.00	24.00	16.00	15.33	23.67	22.00
<b>Pershing</b>	Imlay Elementary School	<b>Not Rated</b>	100%	*	23.70	22.75	27.46	18.13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lovelock Elementary School	<b>2</b>	55%	*	18.23	19.89	19.87	25.51	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Washoe</b>	Alice Smith Elementary	<b>2</b>	60%	23%	23.84	16.91	17.50	19.87	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Allen Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	18.53	16.41	15.40	18.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Anderson Elementary	<b>1</b>	100%	43%	18.27	14.62	16.17	21.52	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Beasley Elementary	<b>3</b>	20%	*	21.90	18.26	18.36	22.08	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Beck Elementary	<b>5</b>	24%	11%	20.44	20.37	18.24	21.42	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Bennett Elementary	<b>2</b>	70%	30%	22.11	15.46	16.01	17.84	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Booth Elementary	<b>1</b>	100%	33%	21.23	16.67	14.26	18.24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Brown Elementary	<b>5</b>	10%	*	21.92	18.33	15.44	24.46	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Cannan Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	39%	19.67	16.44	15.85	17.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Caughlin Ranch Elementary	<b>5</b>	*	*	19.59	16.66	16.99	24.19	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Corbett Elementary	<b>4</b>	100%	57%	17.85	19.34	18.26	22.13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Desert Heights Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	24%	16.98	16.65	15.64	17.82	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Diedrichse n Elementary	<b>4</b>	39%	*	18.05	18.48	17.34	17.61	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Dodson	<b>3</b>	61%	28%	17.71	14.36	16.50	18.17	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Elementary										
Donner Springs Elementary	<b>4</b>	53%	21%	20.55	15.74	15.68	19.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Double Diamond Elementary	<b>3</b>	22%	10%	21.04	19.58	16.79	20.96	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Drake Elementary	<b>4</b>	67%	28%	16.70	18.91	13.47	18.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Duncan Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	51%	23.26	17.15	15.37	16.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dunn Elementary	<b>2</b>	53%	22%	24.38	17.44	17.67	20.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Elmcrest Elementary	<b>2</b>	63%	18%	14.92	15.88	15.55	18.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gomes Elementary	<b>2</b>	39%	*	24.17	17.72	16.56	19.75	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gomm Elementary	<b>5</b>	*	*	21.46	19.61	18.86	19.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Greenbrae Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	45%	23.39	16.31	17.18	20.43	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hall Elementary	<b>3</b>	27%	*	22.19	17.35	18.43	21.89	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hidden Valley Elementary	<b>3</b>	46%	12%	22.57	20.81	17.51	18.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Huffaker Elementary	<b>4</b>	25%	*	20.38	17.45	17.24	19.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hunsberger Elementary	<b>5</b>	*	*	23.63	16.48	17.22	20.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hunter Lake Elementary	<b>5</b>	42%	*	23.90	17.99	18.68	25.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Incline Elementary	<b>3</b>	32%	38%	22.71	17.84	16.98	20.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Juniper Elementary	<b>3</b>	45%	18%	23.49	15.18	16.68	23.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Kate Smith Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	55%	16.79	20.78	16.84	17.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lemelson Elementary	<b>3</b>	100%	38%	16.10	20.15	14.69	22.45	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lemmon Valley Elementary	<b>2</b>	54%	26%	22.21	16.89	16.99	19.87	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lenz Elementary	<b>4</b>	*	*	23.19	16.96	22.44	24.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	Lincoln Park Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	17.35	17.47	14.11	17.41	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Loder Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	60%	22.53	16.65	14.83	19.29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathews Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	49%	20.61	15.97	17.52	18.88	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Maxwell Elementary	<b>4</b>	100%	32%	23.69	15.54	16.15	21.26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Melton Elementary	<b>5</b>	*	*	18.44	19.04	19.83	24.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mitchell Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	38%	18.40	15.74	15.58	16.93	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Moss Elementary	<b>3</b>	37%	16%	21.17	19.19	16.71	21.61	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mount Rose Elementary	<b>5</b>	37%	*	18.87	17.14	20.31	24.45	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Natchez Elementary	<b>1</b>	100%	*	24.78	6.78	11.81	8.98	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Palmer Elementary	<b>3</b>	67%	33%	21.77	15.75	14.34	16.53	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Peavine Elementary	<b>3</b>	43%	12%	23.96	19.48	18.38	20.83	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pleasant Valley Elementary	<b>4</b>	#N/A	#N/A	19.22	19.36	17.97	20.79	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Poulakidas Elementary	<b>#N/A</b>	#N/A	#N/A	23.62	17.94	19.88	22.76	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Risley Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	48%	19.84	16.39	18.14	23.47	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Sepulveda Elementary	<b>3</b>	25%	12%	21.35	17.72	19.13	23.01	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Silver Lake Elementary	<b>4</b>	43%	19%	18.59	18.71	18.10	19.01	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Smithridge Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	51%	19.45	17.72	16.61	19.31	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Spanish Springs Elementary	<b>4</b>	12%	*	20.22	16.91	16.39	22.47	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Stead Elementary	<b>2</b>	65%	27%	20.63	16.75	15.38	18.07	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Sun Valley Elementary	<b>2</b>	100%	52%	18.10	16.36	16.87	18.70	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Taylor Elementary	<b>5</b>	18%	*	20.97	16.91	18.36	23.03	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Towles	<b>4</b>	43%	*	19.31	20.77	16.31	17.42	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Elementary										
	Van Gorder Elementary	<b>5</b>	*	*	20.54	16.24	16.09	23.23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Verdi Elementary	<b>3</b>	16%	*	21.75	20.10	20.79	21.38	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Veterans Elementary	<b>1</b>	100%	43%	18.41	16.46	14.10	18.22	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Warner Elementary	<b>3</b>	65%	17%	17.47	16.09	15.09	22.07	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Westergar d Elementary	<b>3</b>	16%	*	22.69	18.86	17.25	23.26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Whitehead Elementary	<b>4</b>	26%	*	19.82	17.52	16.76	17.56	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Winnemuc ca Elementary	<b>4</b>	35%	10%	17.82	19.11	19.82	19.78	N/A	N/A	N/A