

**Nevada State Board of Education**  
**October 4<sup>th</sup>, 2023 Meeting**

**2022-2023 Smarter Balanced Assessment Results  
and the  
2022-2023 Nevada School Performance Framework  
Star Ratings**

**Office of Assessment, Data, and Accountability Management (ADAM)**

**Nevada Department of Education**



# Agenda

1.  
**2022-2023  
Smarter  
Balanced  
Assessment  
Results**

2.  
**Star Ratings  
Overview**

- How are star ratings calculated?
- Star Ratings Rubric

3.  
**2022-23  
Star Ratings Data**


- Visual data slides

# 2022-2023 Smarter Balanced Assessment Results


# SBAC English Language Arts and Math Participation Rates

District	Number Enrolled	SBAC ELA Participation	Number Tested in ELA	SBAC Math Participation	Number Tested in Math
<b>State Overall</b>	215,591	98.1%	211,401	97.9%	210,963
Carson City	3,322	98.6%	3,275	98.2%	3,261
Churchill	1,400	97.7%	1,368	97.4%	1,363
Clark	133,035	97.8%	130,094	97.6%	129,783
Douglas	2,291	99.2%	2,272	99.0%	2,269
Elko	4,526	96.4%	4,363	96.3%	4,359
Esmeralda	38	89.5%	34	89.5%	34
Eureka	164	100.0%	164	100.0%	164
Humboldt	1,457	98.6%	1,437	98.5%	1,435
Lander	474	90.9%	431	93.0%	441
Lincoln	367	94.3%	346	94.8%	348
Lyon	4,155	93.2%	3,873	93.4%	3,880
Mineral	278	97.1%	270	96.8%	269
Nye	2,588	96.8%	2,506	96.8%	2,504
Pershing	314	95.9%	301	95.9%	301
SPCSA	31,830	99.5%	31,670	99.4%	31,644
Storey	173	99.4%	172	100.0%	173
University	50	100.0%	50	100.0%	50
Washoe	28,590	98.8%	28,244	98.5%	28,156
White Pine	539	98.5%	531	98.1%	529


# SBAC ELA Participation Rates Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Race/Ethnicity




# SBAC ELA Participation Rates Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Student Groups



# SBAC MATH Participation Rates Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Race/Ethnicity




# SBAC MATH Participation Rates Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Student Groups





# SBAC ELA Proficiency Rates




Note: Assessments were waived (not completed) for school year (SY) 19-20 in accordance with the US Department of Education waiver of accountability in response to the COVID 19 pandemic.


# SBAC ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Race/Ethnicity




# SBAC ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Student Groups



# SBAC ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Grades




# SBAC Math Proficiency Rates




Note: Assessments were waived (not completed) for school year 19-20 in accordance with the US Department of Education waiver of accountability in response to the COVID 19 pandemic.


# SBAC MATH Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Race/Ethnicity



# SBAC MATH Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Student Groups



# SBAC MATH Proficiency Rate Comparison for SY21-22 - SY22-23 by Grades





# District SBAC ELA / Math Proficiency

	SY2021 ELA	SY2122 ELA	SY2223 ELA	Difference	SY2021 Math	SY2122 Math	SY2223 Math	Difference
State	41.4%	43.7%	41.0%	-2.7	26.3%	29.8%	31.3%	1.5
Carson	39.7%	44.5%	37.9%	-6.6	28.2%	33.8%	32.0%	-1.8
Churchill	39.7%	37.8%	32.0%	-5.8	22.5%	24.5%	21.7%	-2.8
Clark	37.1%	41.2%	39.0%	-2.2	21.3%	26.4%	28.2%	1.8
Douglas	50.9%	48.2%	46.6%	-1.6	37.9%	34.9%	34.0%	-0.9
Elko	38.4%	37.6%	35.4%	-2.2	22.2%	26.4%	27.5%	1.1
Esmeralda	32.1%	19.1%	20.6%	1.5	21.1%	17.0%	14.7%	-2.3
Eureka	54.1%	61.3%	51.8%	-9.5	39.7%	47.1%	51.8%	4.7
Humboldt	37.6%	38.8%	34.7%	-4.1	26.8%	29.8%	31.2%	1.4
Lander	33.9%	31.1%	21.3%	-9.8	19.7%	18.3%	15.0%	-3.3
Lincoln	45.8%	51.1%	50.3%	-0.8	42.5%	46.1%	42.5%	-3.5
Lyon	34.5%	34.5%	29.2%	-5.3	21.5%	26.8%	24.4%	-2.4
Mineral	31.1%	32.4%	23.3%	-9.1	16.0%	14.9%	8.9%	-6.0
Nye	33.4%	34.7%	31.0%	-3.7	21.3%	21.8%	23.3%	1.5
Pershing	32.9%	36.0%	31.6%	-4.4	19.9%	17.2%	14.3%	-2.9
SPCSA	53.2%	56.1%	53.3%	-2.8	36.4%	42.2%	44.3%	2.1
Storey	54.4%	48.1%	45.3%	-2.8	32.7%	36.5%	38.7%	2.2
Washoe	43.5%	45.4%	41.2%	-4.2	30.9%	33.6%	33.6%	0.0
White Pine	31.5%	35.5%	27.7%	-7.8	22.2%	26.0%	28.0%	2.0

# Star Ratings Overview

# NSPF Timeline

NSPF 1.0 was released, and schools were rated under a compensatory accountability model, meaning poor performance in one area could be compensated for with strong performance in another area.

2012

2013

2014

2015

NSPF 1.0 was put on hold due to testing irregularities and the state switched assessments systems to align with the newly adopted academic content standards.

The NDE began drafting the State ESSA plan and NSPF 2.0. There were no school ratings because there was lack of an ability to calculate growth under the new assessment system.

2016

2017

NSPF 2.0 was released. ES and MS star ratings were released. Some schools were identified for CSI. HS did not receive a 2017 rating primarily due to changes in the high school assessment system.

The HS framework was developed. All school levels received a star rating. Schools were identified for CSI at all levels and ES and MS were identified for TSI and ATSI.

2018

2019

All school levels receive a star-rating if sufficient data is available in the system's required measures. All school levels are evaluated for supports under CSI, TSI and ATSI criteria.

Accountability waived by the USED - prior ratings from 2018-2019 carried forward.

2020


2021

Accountability waived by the USED - ratings from 2018-2019 carried forward.

Accountability waived by the USED – no star ratings, but the State provided the Index Scores and calculated all indicators and measures designate schools, as required by the USED.

2022

# Elementary School Performance Framework



# Middle School Performance Framework



Pooled Proficiency

Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP)

ELA Median Growth Percentile (MGP)

Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP)

ELA Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP)

WIDA Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP)

Math Closing Opportunity Gaps


ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps

Chronic Absenteeism

Academic Learning Plans

8<sup>th</sup> Grade Credit Requirements (NAC 389)

# High School Performance Framework



# How are Star Ratings Calculated?

- Under the NSPF, each public school is issued an annual index score based on school performance measures;
- A school's star rating is associated with their Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) index score—the total points received by a school based on their performance across various performance measures;
- Each star rating corresponds to a score range which is based on a school's total index score.

# Star Rating System

The star rating is the summative annual determination made for all Nevada public schools. A school's star rating is associated with their ***NSPF INDEX SCORE***, which is the total points received by a school based on their performance across various ***Indicators and Measures*** over the prior school year.


Star Level	Total Index Points		
	Elementary	Middle	High
★	< 27	< 29	< 27
★★	≥ 27 and < 50	≥ 29 and < 50	≥ 27 and < 50
★★★	≥ 50 and < 67	≥ 50 and < 70	≥ 50 and < 70
★★★★	≥ 67 and < 84	≥ 70 and < 80	≥ 70 and < 82
★★★★★	≥ 84 and ≤ 100	≥ 80 and ≤ 100	≥ 82 and ≤ 100



# 2022-23 Star Ratings Data

# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend


	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	109	13.5%	120	14.8%	85	9.8%
<b>4-Star</b>	96	11.9%	113	13.9%	79	9.2%
<b>3-Star</b>	188	23.4%	200	24.6%	179	20.7%
<b>2-Star</b>	187	23.2%	191	23.5%	195	22.6%
<b>1-Star</b>	98	12.2%	95	11.7%	223	25.8%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	127	15.8%	93	11.5%	102	11.8%
<i>Grand Total</i>	<i>805</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>812</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>863</i>	<i>100.0%</i>



\* "Not Rated" if they lacked data in one or more measures required for receiving a Star Rating

## 2022-23 Star Ratings by School Levels

	5-Star	4-Star	3-Star	2-Star	1-Star	Not-Rated*	Total
Elementary	26	42	90	126	149	32	465
	5.6%	9.0%	19.4%	27.1%	32.0%	6.9%	100%
Middle	27	13	44	50	56	31	221
	12.2%	5.9%	19.9%	22.6%	25.3%	14.0%	100%
High	32	24	45	19	18	39	177
	18.1%	13.6%	25.4%	10.7%	10.2%	22.0%	100%
Total	85	79	179	195	223	102	863
	9.8%	9.2%	20.7%	22.6%	25.8%	11.8%	100%



\* "Not Rated" if they lacked data in one or more measures required for receiving a Star Rating

# Thank You.

# Questions?

## Office of Assessment, Data, and Accountability Management

- Peter Zutz
  - ADAM Administrator: [pzutz@doe.nv.gov](mailto:pzutz@doe.nv.gov)
- Dr. Gunes Kaplan
  - Education Programs Supervisor / Accountability : [gkaplan@doe.nv.gov](mailto:gkaplan@doe.nv.gov)


# 2023 School Star Ratings by LEA

	1-Star			2-Star			3-Star			4-Star			5-Star			Not Rated		
District Name	ES	MS	HS	ES	MS	HS	ES	MS	HS	ES	MS	HS	ES	MS	HS	ES	MS	HS
Churchill	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Clark	89	29	7	66	17	10	53	13	20	16	5	8	9	6	13	9	10	17
Douglas	1	0	2	4	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
Elko	4	3	2	6	4	0	3	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	6	1
Esmeralda	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
Eureka	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	1	1	4	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	1
Lander	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Lyon	7	4	0	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mineral	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nye	5	3	1	4	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
Carson City	1	1	1	3	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Pershing	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Storey	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washoe	25	8	3	20	7	4	13	7	3	14	0	4	2	1	5	2	3	4
White Pine	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SPCSA	9	2	1	14	10	0	11	18	6	7	8	5	14	17	12	2	0	7

\* "Not Rated" if they lacked data in one or more measures required for receiving a Star Rating


# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Churchill

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	5	100.0%	5	100.0%	5	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Clark

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	46	11.7%	44	11.2%	28	7.1%
<b>4-Star</b>	48	12.2%	54	13.8%	29	7.3%
<b>3-Star</b>	99	25.2%	101	25.8%	86	21.7%
<b>2-Star</b>	101	25.7%	107	27.3%	93	23.4%
<b>1-Star</b>	53	13.5%	54	13.8%	125	31.5%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	46	11.7%	32	8.2%	36	9.1%
<i>Grand Total</i>	<i>393</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>392</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>397</i>	<i>100.0%</i>



# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Douglas


	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	1	5.6%	6	33.3%	2	9.5%
<b>3-Star</b>	4	22.2%	4	22.2%	4	19.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	6	28.6%
<b>1-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	3	14.3%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	6	28.6%
<i>Grand Total</i>	18	100.0%	18	100.0%	21	100.0%






# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Elko

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	1	2.6%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	3	7.9%	3	8.3%	2	5.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	9	23.7%	11	30.6%	7	17.5%
<b>2-Star</b>	11	28.9%	7	19.4%	10	25.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	2	5.3%	4	11.1%	9	22.5%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	12	31.6%	9	25.0%	12	30.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	38	100.0%	36	100.0%	40	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Esmeralda

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	28.6%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	4	66.7%	3	50.0%	5	71.4%
<i>Grand Total</i>	6	100.0%	6	100.0%	7	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Eureka

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	3	42.9%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	1	14.3%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	7	100.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Humboldt

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	5.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	1	5.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	2	9.5%	5	23.8%	3	15.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	3	14.3%	4	19.0%	5	25.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	3	15.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	11	52.4%	8	38.1%	7	35.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	21	100.0%	21	100.0%	20	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Lander

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
<b>1-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	6	100.0%	6	100.0%	6	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Lincoln

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	10	100.0%	10	100.0%	10	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Lyon

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%
<b>3-Star</b>	7	31.8%	10	45.5%	4	18.2%
<b>2-Star</b>	9	40.9%	7	31.8%	4	18.2%
<b>1-Star</b>	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	11	50.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	2	9.1%
<i>Grand Total</i>	22	100.0%	22	100.0%	22	100.0%



# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Mineral


	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	1	16.7%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	2	33.3%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	6	100.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%






# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Nye

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	3	11.1%	7	25.9%	6	24.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	5	18.5%	5	18.5%	6	24.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	6	22.2%	7	25.9%	9	36.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	8	29.6%	6	22.2%	4	16.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	27	100.0%	27	100.0%	25	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Carson City

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	7.7%
<b>4-Star</b>	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	2	15.4%
<b>3-Star</b>	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	2	15.4%
<b>2-Star</b>	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	4	30.8%
<b>1-Star</b>	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%
<i>Grand Total</i>	11	100.0%	11	100.0%	13	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Pershing

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	1	20.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	1	20.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	2	40.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	5	100.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Storey

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>4-Star</b>	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	3	75.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
<b>2-Star</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%
<b>1-Star</b>	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Grand Total</i>	4	100.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%




# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: Washoe

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	22	18.6%	20	16.9%	8	6.4%
<b>4-Star</b>	13	11.0%	18	15.3%	18	14.4%
<b>3-Star</b>	29	24.6%	31	26.3%	23	18.4%
<b>2-Star</b>	28	23.7%	29	24.6%	31	24.8%
<b>1-Star</b>	14	11.9%	11	9.3%	36	28.8%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	12	10.2%	9	7.6%	9	7.2%
<i>Grand Total</i>	118	100.0%	118	100.0%	125	100.0%



# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: White Pine

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%
<b>4-Star</b>	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%
<b>3-Star</b>	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%
<b>2-Star</b>	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%
<b>1-Star</b>	3	33.3%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%
<i>Grand Total</i>	9	100.0%	9	100.0%	9	100.0%



# School Star Ratings - Three Year Trend by LEA: SPCSA

	2017-18		2018-19		2022-23	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<b>5-Star</b>	29	30.5%	47	42.3%	43	30.1%
<b>4-Star</b>	16	16.8%	18	16.2%	20	14.0%
<b>3-Star</b>	19	20.0%	14	12.6%	35	24.5%
<b>2-Star</b>	13	13.7%	20	18.0%	24	16.8%
<b>1-Star</b>	8	8.4%	3	2.7%	12	8.4%
<b>Not-Rated*</b>	10	10.5%	9	8.1%	9	6.3%
<i>Grand Total</i>	95	100.0%	111	100.0%	143	100.0%

